Distribution of p-Statistics on the Non-null Hypothesis

In a couple of notes published in this journal ', ' sometime ago, I announced that I had obtained the joint distribution of a class of p-statistics intended to test for the hypothesis that two samples have come from the same p-variate normal population and intended also to serve a variety of other purposes. If $k \neq_i$ (i=1,2... p) be the p roots of the determinantal equation $|n_iy_i| = 0$, where $|n_iy_i| = 0$, $|n_iy_i| = 0$, where $|n_iy_i| = 0$ and $|n_iy_i| = 0$ are the dispersion matrices of two samples E and $E \setminus E$, $|n_iy_i| = 0$, and $|n_iy_i| = 0$.

supposed to have been drawn from the same p-variate

normal population with dispersion matrix $|| \prec_{ij} ||$, then the distribution I had obtained was of the form

Const.
$$(k_1^2-k_2^2)$$
 $(k_1^2-k_p^2)(k_2^2-k_3^2)...(k_2^2-k_p^2)$

at being equal to n I n'

This has also come out in a paper since published in Sankhya. ^a Following up my previous investigation I proceeded to tackle the case where the hypothesis tested is not true i.e., where the two samples are supposed to have been drawn from two populations with different dispersion matrices $\|\mathbf{x}_0\| \|\mathbf{n}\mathbf{u}_0\| \|\mathbf{x}_0\| \|\mathbf{n}\|$ In that attempt I have since obtained the joint distribution of the k'_{1N} for this general case of which the previous one is a special case.

If $\xi_i(i=1,2,...|r)$ denote the p roots of the determinantal equation $|\alpha_{\mathcal{T}}| \xi^{2}\alpha^{2}y| = r$ (3) and if $\lambda^{2}=n |n|^{r}$ and if we define quantities $\xi_{\mathcal{C}}$ such that

$$\xi^{m}g = \left\lceil \left(\frac{m}{2}\right), \ \xi^{m}g \ \xi^{n}ff = \left\lceil \left(\frac{m}{2}\right)\right\rceil \left(\frac{n}{2}\right) \text{when}$$

$$i + i^{*} \text{ and } j + j^{*} \text{ but } \xi^{m}g \ \xi^{n}fj = \left\lceil \left(\frac{m+n}{2}\right)\right| \text{when}$$

$$i = i^{*} \text{ and } i = i^{*}$$

then the joint distribution of p-statistics k_i (i=1,2,...p) comes out in the form

Const.
$$(k_1^2 - k_2^2)...(k_1^2 - k_p^2)(k_2^2 - k_3^2)$$

 $...(k_2^2 - k_p^2)...(k_{p-1}^2 - k_p^2)$

$$\times \mathbf{E}^{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{n}'\cdot\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{2}} \left\{ \prod_{ij=1}^{\mathbf{p}} \frac{\xi_{ij}}{(\xi_{i}+\lambda^{2}k_{j}^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right\} \times \\ \times \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^{\mathbf{p}} k_{i}^{\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{p}-1} dk_{i} \right\}$$
(5)

where E is the determinant

$$\left|\frac{\xi_{ij}}{(\xi_i^2+\lambda^2\mathbf{k}_i^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right|$$

In is easily seen that if the two populations have the same dispersion matrix i.e., if

$$\| \langle ij \| = \| \langle ij \|$$
, then ξ_i (i = 1, 2,...p)=1

and the joint distribution (5) is easily seen to reduce to (3) as it should.

(2.1) only after we have broken up E as a multinomial and multiplied it with the other factors. We cannot start with the substitutions. There is much work to be done on this distribution function before

SCIENCE &

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

we can get at the separate distribution of the individual k/s and some other suitable functions of $k_i's$ which may be more appropriate for testing certain classes of hypothesis than the $k_i's$ themselves. Work is at present being continued on this.

S. N. Roy.

Statistical Laboratory, Presidency College, Calcutta, 20-1-1940.

- ' SCIENCE AND CULTURE, 5, 131, 1939.
- 1 Ibid., 5, 197, 1939.
- ¹ Sankhya, 4, 3, 1939.