

CHARACTERISATION OF CORRELATION MATRICES OF SPIN VARIABLES

By J.C. GUPTA
Indian Statistical Institute, Calcutta

SUMMARY. Necessary and sufficient conditions are given for a correlation matrix of order $n \geq 2$ to be the correlation matrix of spin variables in the classical sense. It is shown that Bell's inequalities (1964) are not sufficient for matrices of order $n \geq 5$.

1. Introduction

A random variable ξ is called a spin variable if $P(\xi = 1) = P(\xi = -1) = \frac{1}{2}$. If $\{\xi_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ is a family of spin variables and $\mathbb{E}(\xi_i \xi_j) = \sigma_{ij}$ so that $\sigma_{ii} = 1$ for every i , then the well-known Bell's inequalities (see Bell, 1964 and Parthasarathy, 1992) are

$$1 + \epsilon_i \epsilon_j \sigma_{ij} + \epsilon_i \epsilon_k \sigma_{ik} + \epsilon_j \epsilon_k \sigma_{jk} \geq 0 \quad \forall 1 \leq i < j < k \leq n. \quad \dots(1.1)$$

where $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_n$ are ± 1 .

In Balasubramanian, Gupta and Parthasarathy (1998) it is shown that conditions (1.1) are necessary and sufficient when $n = 3$ or 4 . Here we give necessary and sufficient conditions for *any* $n \geq 2$.

2. Preliminaries

Let $\Omega_n = \{-1, 1\}^n$ be the space of all n -length sequences $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n)$ of ± 1 . For $T \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ we denote by ω^T that sequence ω for which $\omega_i = -1$ for all i in T and $\omega_j = 1$ for all j in T' where T' denotes the complement of T . Let $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n$ denote the coordinate variables on $\Omega_n : \xi_i(\omega) := \omega_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$. For each $T \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ we introduce probability P^T as follows:

$$P^T(\{\omega^T\}) = P^T(\{\omega^{T'}\}) = \frac{1}{2}. \quad \dots(2.1)$$

Paper received. April 1998.

AMS (1991) *subject classification.* 62H20

Key words and phrases. Bell's inequality, spin variables.

Under P^T , $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n$ are spin variables with correlation matrix

$$\Sigma^T = ((\sigma_{ij}^T)), \quad \sigma_{ii}^T = 1 \text{ for all } i \text{ and } \sigma_{ij}^T = (-1)^{|T \cap \{i,j\}|} \text{ for } i \neq j \quad \dots (2.2)$$

We note that $P^T = P^{T'}$ and $\{ \Sigma^T : T \in \mathcal{T} \}$ where

$$\mathcal{T} = \{ T \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\} : 1 \in T \} \quad \dots (2.3)$$

gives an enumeration of 2^{n-1} distinct realisable correlation matrices of n spin variables.

3. Realisable Correlation Matrices of Spin Variables

THEOREM 3.1. *The class of realisable correlation matrices of spin variables is given by*

$$\mathcal{C}_n = \text{Convex Hull}\{\Sigma^T : T \in \mathcal{T}\} \quad \dots (3.1)$$

where Σ^T and \mathcal{T} are given by (2.2) and (2.3).

PROOF. *Sufficiency:* Clearly the matrix $\sum \lambda_T \Sigma^T$, $\lambda_T \geq 0$, $\sum \lambda_T = 1$, is the correlation matrix of $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n$ under the probability $P = \sum \lambda_T P^T$ on Ω_n .

Necessity: Let Λ be the correlation matrix of n spin variables $\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_n$ defined, say, on the probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, Q) . On Ω_n define P as follows:

$$P(\{\omega^T\}) = P(\{\omega^{T'}\}) = \frac{1}{2}Q\{ \eta_i = -1 \forall i \in T, \eta_j = 1 \forall j \notin T \} \\ + \frac{1}{2}Q\{ \eta_i = 1 \forall i \in T, \eta_j = -1 \forall j \notin T \}, \quad T \in \mathcal{T}.$$

Under P , $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n$ are spin variables with correlation matrix Λ . Clearly $P = \sum_T \lambda_T P^T$ with $\lambda_T = P(\{\omega^T\}) + P(\{\omega^{T'}\})$ so that $\Lambda = \sum \lambda_T \Sigma^T \in \mathcal{C}_n$. \square

REMARK 3.1. The extreme points of \mathcal{C}_n are given by $\{\Sigma^T : T \in \mathcal{T}\}$. Suppose

$$\Sigma^T = \alpha \Sigma_1 + (1 - \alpha) \Sigma_2, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1, \quad \Sigma_1, \Sigma_2 \in \mathcal{C}_n.$$

Restricting this to any 2×2 principal submatrix we get an identity of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \pm 1 \\ \pm 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \alpha \begin{pmatrix} 1 & p_1 \\ p_1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + (1 - \alpha) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & p_2 \\ p_2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $1 - p_i^2 \geq 0$, $i = 1, 2$. If $1 - p_i^2 > 0$ then LHS is singular and RHS is nonsingular. So $p_i = \pm 1$, $i = 1, 2$. Varying the 2×2 principal submatrices we conclude that $\Sigma_1 = \Sigma_2 = \Sigma^T$ and hence Σ^T is extremal.

REMARK 3.2. Parthasarathy (1998) has recently characterised the set \mathcal{E}_n of extremal points of *all* correlation matrices of order n . He shows that

$$\mathcal{E}_n = \bigcup_{k:k \geq 1, k(k+1) \leq 2n} \mathcal{E}_{n,k} \quad \dots (3.2)$$

where $\mathcal{E}_{n,k}$ is the set of extremal correlation matrices of order n and rank k ; he has a complete description of $\mathcal{E}_{n,k}$.

It is easily seen that

$$\partial \mathcal{C}_n = \mathcal{E}_{n,1}, \quad \dots (3.3)$$

where $\partial \mathcal{C}_n$ stands for the set of extremal points of \mathcal{C}_n .

4. Examples

Let $n = 5$, $\sigma_{ii} = 1$ for all i , $\sigma_{1j} = \theta$ for $j = 2, 3, 4, 5$ and $\sigma_{ij} = \rho$ for $i \neq j = 2, 3, 4, 5$. Then it is easily seen that Bell's inequalities reduce to

$$-\frac{1}{3} \leq \rho \leq 1, \quad 1 + 2\theta + \rho \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 1 - 2\theta + \rho \geq 0. \quad \dots (4.1)$$

Also $\sum = ((\sigma_{ij}))$ is positive semi-definite if and only if

$$\theta^2 \leq \frac{1}{4}(1 + 3\rho). \quad \dots (4.2)$$

EXAMPLE 1. Take $\theta = \frac{1}{4}$ and $\rho = -\frac{7}{24}$. Then \sum satisfies Bell's inequalities but it is not positive semi-definite.

EXAMPLE 2. Take $\theta = \frac{1}{4}$ and $\rho = -\frac{5}{24}$. Then \sum is positive semi-definite and satisfies Bell's inequalities. It is not difficult to check that this \sum is not in \mathcal{C} given by (3.1) so that it is not realisable as a correlation matrix of spin variables.

Acknowledgements. The author thanks B. V. Rao and K.R. Parthasarathy for fruitful discussions. The author thanks the Indian Statistical Institute, both at Calcutta and Delhi, for the facilities extended to him.

References

- BALASUBRAMANIAN, K., GUPTA, J.C. AND PARTHASARATHY, K.R. (1998). Remarks on Bell's inequality for spin correlations, *Sankhyā A* **60**, 29-35.
 BELL, J. S. (1964). On the Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen paradox, *Physics* **1**, 195-200.

PARTHASARATHY, K. R. (1992). *An Introduction to Quantum Stochastic Calculus*, Birkhauser Verlag, Basel.

— — — (1999). On extremal correlations. To appear in *J. Statist. Plann. Inference*.

J. C. GUPTA
32, MIRDHA TOLA
BUDAUN 243601
UTTAR PRADESH
INDIA