

## REMARKS ON A RESULT OF KHALIL AND SALEH

T. S. S. R. K. RAO

(Communicated by Joseph A. Ball)

ABSTRACT. We give a short proof of a recent result that describes onto isometries of  $L(X, Y)$  for certain pairs of Banach spaces  $X, Y$ .

### 1. RESULT

**Definition 1** ([4]). Let  $X, Y$  be Banach spaces. The pair  $(X, Y)$  is called an ideal pair if both are reflexive,  $X^*$  has the approximation property,  $X$  and  $Y^*$  are strictly convex and  $K(X, Y)$  is an  $M$ -ideal in  $L(X, Y)$ .

The main result of [4] describes onto isometries of  $L(X, Y)$  for an ideal pair. In this short note we point out a geometric procedure for describing onto isometries of  $L(X, Y)$ , once a description of isometries of  $K(X, Y)$  is known (Theorem 1.1 of [4]). Thus our approach yields a simpler proof of the above-described result and also enlarges the class of Banach spaces for which this result is valid.

Our idea is based on well-known results in the general structure of Banach spaces which are  $M$ -ideals when canonically embedded in their biduals ( $M$ -embedded spaces; see [3], Chapters III and VI).

First we note that the hypothesis of reflexivity and the assumption that compacta form an  $M$ -ideal implies that  $L(X, Y)$  is indeed the bidual of  $K(X, Y)$  and the inclusion map is the canonical embedding of the space in its bidual (see [2] and [5]). Thus we are in the situation of the so-called  $M$ -embedded spaces.

It is to be noted that if one were to only assume that  $K(X, Y)$  is an  $M$ -ideal in its bidual, then this hypothesis implies that  $X$  is reflexive,  $Y$  is an  $M$ -embedded space and the additional hypothesis of the approximation property leads to  $L(X, Y^{**})$  being the bidual of  $K(X, Y)$ ; see [5].

Thus a description of isometries of  $L(X, Y^{**})$  in this situation involves knowing information on isometries of the bidual of  $M$ -embedded spaces. Let  $Z$  be an  $M$ -embedded space. According to Proposition 2.2 of chapter III in [3], any onto isometry  $T$  of  $Z^{**}$  is of the form  $S^{**}$  for an onto isometry  $S$  of  $Z$ . This is the key ingredient of our remark (we recall the analogy with  $Z = K(\ell^2)$ ).

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $(X, Y)$  be an ideal pair. Any onto isometry of  $L(X, Y)$  is given by  $T \rightarrow UTV$  for some onto isometries  $U$  and  $V$  of  $Y$  and  $X$  respectively.*

*Proof.* By our earlier remarks any onto isometry of  $L(X, Y)$  is the bitranspose of an onto isometry of  $K(X, Y)$ . Thus we are reduced to the study of onto isometries of  $K(X, Y)$ . As we now have a general way of lifting isometries of compacts, the conclusion follows from Theorem 1.1 of [4].  $\square$

*Remark 3.* Under an appropriate assumption of the approximation property that ensures  $K(X, Y) = X^* \otimes^v Y$ , it can be seen that when  $X$  is reflexive and  $Y$  is an  $M$ -embedded space,  $X$  and  $Y^*$  are strictly convex, the arguments given during the proof of Theorem 1.1 in [4] go through giving a description of the onto isometries exactly as in Theorem 1.1. Thus when  $K(X, Y)$  is an  $M$ -embedded space with appropriate assumptions of strict convexity and the approximation property, the isometries of the bidual,  $L(X, Y^{**})$  have a description similar to the one given above. We also recall that by e) of Theorem III.4.6 of [3], any  $M$ -embedded space can be renormed so that the dual space is strictly convex and the space is still  $M$ -embedded.

We also take this opportunity to point out that Theorem 2.2 of [4] is a part of general folklore. To see this we note the well-known identification of  $K(\ell^1)$  with  $C(\beta(N), \ell^1)$  (similarly  $L(\ell^1)$  can be identified with the  $\ell^\infty$ -direct sum of  $\ell^1$ ) and the general Banach-Stone theorem that describes onto isometries of these spaces ([1]).

**Note added on 1-9-04.** More information on isometries is available in my articles: 1) Some generalizations of Kadison's theorem: A survey, *Extracta Mathematicae* 19 (2004) and 2) Isometries of spaces of operators, preprint. It is shown here that Theorem 2.1 of [4] is incorrect and the assumption of strict convexity cannot be dropped on  $Y^*$  in Theorem 1.1 of [4].

#### REFERENCES

- [1] E. Behrends, *M-structure and the Banach-Stone theorem*, Springer LNM No. 736, Springer, Berlin, 1979. MR0547509 (81b:46002)
- [2] C. M. Cho, *Spaces of compact operators which are M-ideals in  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$* , *Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci.* 15 (1992) 617-620. MR1169829 (93h:47054)
- [3] P. Harmand, D. Werner and W. Werner, *M-ideals in Banach spaces and Banach algebras*, Springer LNM No. 1547, Springer, Berlin 1993. MR1238713 (94k:46022)
- [4] R. Khalil and A. Saleh, *Isometries of certain operator spaces*, *Proc. Amer. math. Soc.*, 132 (2004) 1483-1493. MR2053355
- [5] T. S. S. R. K. Rao, *Space of compact operators as an M-ideal in its bidual*, *Extracta Mathematicae* 7 (1993) 114-118. MR1248457 (94k:46037)

STAT-MATH UNIT, INDIAN STATISTICAL INSTITUTE, R. V. COLLEGE P.O., BANGALORE 560059, INDIA

*E-mail address:* [tss@isibang.ac.in](mailto:tss@isibang.ac.in)