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## 1. Introduction and Main result

Suppose $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}$ are all the eigenvalues of a square matrix $A_{n}$ of order $n$. Then the empirical spectral distribution function (ESDF) of $A_{n}$ is defined as

$$
F_{n}(x, y)=n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} I\left\{R e \lambda_{i} \leq x, \operatorname{Im} \lambda_{i} \leq y\right\} .
$$

Let $\left\{A_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of square matrices with the corresponding ESDF $\left\{F_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. The Limiting Spectral Distribution (or measure) (LSD) of the sequence is defined as the weak limit of the sequence $\left\{F_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, if it exists.

If $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ are random, the limit is understood to be in some probabilistic sense, such as "almost surely" or "in probability". Suppose elements of $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ are defined on some probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$, that is $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ are random. Let $F$ be a nonrandom distribution function. We say the ESD of $A_{n}$ converges to the limiting spectral distribution (LSD) $F$ in $L_{2}$ if at all continuty points $(x, y)$ of $F$,

$$
\int_{\omega}\left(F_{n}(x, y)-F(x, y)\right)^{2} d P(\omega) \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

and converges in probability to $F$ if for every $\epsilon>0$ and at all continuty points $(x, y)$ of $F$,

$$
P\left(\left|F_{n}(x, y)-F(x, y)\right|>\epsilon\right) \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty .
$$

[^0]For detailed information on limiting spectral distributions of large dimensional random matrices see [Bai(1999)] and also [Bose and $\operatorname{Sen}(2008)]$.

In this article we focus on obtaining the LSD of the circulant matrix $\left(C_{n}\right)$ given by

$$
C_{n}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
x_{0} & x_{1} & x_{2} & \ldots & x_{n-2} & x_{n-1} \\
x_{n-1} & x_{0} & x_{1} & \ldots & x_{n-3} & x_{n-2} \\
x_{n-2} & x_{n-1} & x_{0} & \ldots & x_{n-4} & x_{n-3} \\
& & & \vdots & & \\
x_{1} & x_{2} & x_{3} & \ldots & x_{n-1} & x_{0}
\end{array}\right]
$$

So, the $(i, j) t h$ element of the matrix is $x_{(j-i+n) \bmod n}$. The eigenvalues are given by (see for example [Brockwell and Davis(2002)]),

$$
\lambda_{k}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} x_{l} e^{i \omega_{k} l}=b_{k}+i c_{k} \quad \forall k=1,2, \cdots, n,
$$

where

$$
\omega_{k}=\frac{2 \pi k}{n}, \quad b_{k}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} x_{l} \cos \left(\omega_{k} l\right), \quad c_{k}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} x_{l} \sin \left(\omega_{k} l\right) .
$$

The existence of the LSD of $C_{n}$ is given by the following theorem of [Bose and Mitra(2002)].
Theorem 1.1. Let $\left\{x_{i}\right\}$ be a sequence of independent random variables with mean 0 and variance 1 and $\sup _{i} E\left|x_{i}\right|^{3}<\infty$. Then the ESD of $C_{n}$ converges in $L_{2}$ to the two-dimensional normal distribution given by $N_{2}(0, D)$ where $D$ is a diagonal matrix with diagonal entries $1 / 2$.

We investigate the existence of LSD of $C_{n}$ under a dependent situation. Let $\left\{x_{n} ; n \geq 0\right\}$ be a two sided moving average process,

$$
x_{n}=\sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{i} \epsilon_{n-i}
$$

where $\left\{a_{n} ; n \in \mathbb{Z}\right\} \in l_{1}$, that is $\sum_{n}\left|a_{n}\right|<\infty$, are nonrandom and $\left\{\epsilon_{i} ; i \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$ are iid random variables with mean zero and variance one. We show that the LSD of $C_{n}$ continues to exist in this dependent situation. Define $\gamma_{h}=\operatorname{Cov}\left(x_{t+h}, x_{t}\right)$. Then it is easy to see that $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|\gamma_{j}\right|<\infty$ and the spectral density function of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is given by

$$
f(\omega)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \gamma_{k} \exp (i k \omega)=\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left[\gamma_{0}+2 \sum_{k \geq 1} \gamma_{k} \cos (k \omega)\right] \text { for } \omega \in[0,2 \pi] .
$$

Let $f^{*}=\inf _{\omega \in[0,2 \pi]} f(\omega)$ and $C_{0}=\{\omega \in[0,2 \pi] ; f(\omega)=0\}$. For $k=1,2, \cdots, n$, define

$$
\xi_{2 k-1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} \epsilon_{t} \cos \left(\omega_{k} t\right), \quad \xi_{2 k}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} \epsilon_{t} \sin \left(\omega_{k} t\right) .
$$

Define

$$
B(\omega)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{1}\left(e^{i \omega}\right) & -a_{2}\left(e^{i \omega}\right) \\
a_{2}\left(e^{i \omega}\right) & a_{1}\left(e^{i \omega}\right)
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $a_{1}\left(e^{i \omega}\right)=\mathcal{R}\left[a\left(e^{i \omega}\right)\right], a_{2}\left(e^{i \omega}\right)=\mathcal{I}\left[a\left(e^{i \omega}\right)\right], a\left(e^{i \omega}\right)$ is same as defined in Lemma 1.3 and for $z \in \mathbb{C}, \mathcal{R}(z), \mathcal{I}(z)$ denote the real and imaginary part of $z$ respectively. It is easy to see that

$$
\left|a\left(e^{i \omega}\right)\right|^{2}=a_{1}\left(e^{i \omega}\right)^{2}+a_{2}\left(e^{i \omega}\right)^{2}=2 \pi f(\omega) .
$$

Define for $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ and $\omega \in[0,2 \pi]$,

$$
H(\omega, x, y)= \begin{cases}P\left(B(\omega)\left(N_{1}, N_{2}\right)^{\prime} \leq \sqrt{2}(x, y)^{\prime}\right) & \text { if } f(\omega) \neq 0 \\ \mathbb{I}(x \geq 0, y \geq 0) & \text { if } f(\omega)=0\end{cases}
$$

Since $a\left(e^{i \omega}\right)$ is continuous on $[0,2 \pi]$, it is easy to verify that for fixed $(x, y), H$ is bounded continuous function in $\omega$. Hence we may define

$$
F(x, y)=\int_{0}^{1} H(2 \pi s, x, y) d s
$$

$F$ is a proper distribution function.
For any Borel set $B$, let $\lambda(B)$ denote the corresponding Lebesgue measure. It is easy to see that
(i) if $\lambda\left(C_{0}\right)=0$ then $F$ is continuous everywhere and,
(ii) if $\lambda\left(C_{0}\right) \neq 0$ then $F$ is discontinuous only on $D_{1}=\{(x, y): x y=0\}$.

Theorem 1.2. Suppose $\left\{\epsilon_{i}\right\}$ are iid with $E\left|\epsilon_{i}\right|^{(2+\delta)}<\infty$. Then the ESD of $C_{n}$ converges in $L_{2}$ to the LSD

$$
F(x, y)=\int_{0}^{1} H(2 \pi s, x, y) d s
$$

and if $\lambda\left(C_{0}\right)=0$ we have

$$
F(x, y)=\iint \mathbb{I}_{\left\{\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right) \leq(x, y)\right\}}\left[\int_{0}^{1} \mathbb{I}_{\{f(2 \pi s) \neq 0\}} \frac{1}{2 \pi^{2} f(2 \pi s)} e^{-\frac{v_{1}^{2}+v_{2}^{2}}{2 \pi f(2 \pi s)}} d s\right] d v_{1} d v_{2} .
$$

Remark 1.1. If $\inf _{\omega \in[0,2 \pi]} f(\omega)>0$, we can write $\mathcal{F}_{g}$ in the following form

$$
F(x, y)=\iint \mathbb{I}_{\left\{\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right) \leq(x, y)\right\}}\left[\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{2 \pi^{2} f(2 \pi s)} e^{-\frac{v_{1}^{2}+v_{2}^{2}}{2 \pi f(2 \pi s)}} d s\right] d v_{1} d v_{2} .
$$

Remark 1.2. If $\left\{x_{i}\right\}$ are i.i.d, then $f(\omega)=1 / 2 \pi$ for all $\omega \in[0,2 \pi]$ and the LSD is standard complex normal distribution. This agrees with Theorem 1.1.

Proof of the theorem mainly depends on following two lemmas. Lemma 1.3 follows from [Fan and Yao(2003)] (Theorem 2.14(ii), page 63). For completeness, we have provided a proof. The proof of Lemma 1.4 follows easily from [Bhattacharya and Ranga Rao(1976)] (Corollary 18.3, page 184). We omit the details.

Lemma 1.3. Let $x_{t}=\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{t} \epsilon_{t-j}$ for $t \geq 0$, where $\left\{\epsilon_{t}\right\}$ are i.i.d random variables with mean 0 , variance 1 and $\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}\left|a_{j}\right|<\infty$. Then for $k=1,2, \cdots, n$,

$$
\lambda_{k}=a\left(e^{i \omega_{k}}\right)\left[\xi_{2 k-1}+i \xi_{2 k}\right]+Y_{n}\left(\omega_{k}\right),
$$

where $a\left(e^{i \omega_{k}}\right)=\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{j} e^{i \omega_{k} j}$ and $\max _{0 \leq k<n} E\left|Y_{n}\left(\omega_{k}\right)\right|^{2} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{k} & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} x_{t} e^{i \omega_{k} t} \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{j} e^{i \omega_{k} j} \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} \epsilon_{t-j} e^{i \omega_{k}(t-j)} \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{j} e^{i \omega_{k} j}\left(\sum_{t=0}^{n-1} \epsilon_{t} e^{i \omega_{k} t}+U_{n j}\right) \\
& =a\left(e^{i \omega_{k}}\right)\left[\xi_{2 k-1}+i \xi_{2 k}\right]+Y_{n}\left(\omega_{k}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
a\left(e^{i \omega_{k}}\right)=\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{j} e^{i \omega_{k} j}, \quad U_{n j}=\sum_{t=-j}^{n-1-j} \epsilon_{t} e^{i \omega_{k} t}-\sum_{t=0}^{n-1} \epsilon_{t} e^{i \omega_{k} t}, \quad Y_{n}\left(\omega_{k}\right)=n^{-1 / 2} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{j} e^{i \omega_{k} j} U_{n j}
$$

Note that if $|j|<n, U_{n j}$ is a sum of $2|j|$ independent random variables, whereas if $|j| \geq n, U_{n j}$ is a sum of $2 n$ independent random variables. Thus $E\left|U_{n j}\right|^{2} \leq 2 \min (|j|, n)$. Therefore, for any fixed positive integer $l$ and $n>l$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left|Y_{n}\left(\omega_{k}\right)\right|^{2} & \leq \frac{1}{n}\left(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}\left|a_{j}\right|\left(E U_{n j}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right)^{2}\left(\because \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|a_{j}\right|<\infty\right) \\
& \leq \frac{2}{n}\left(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}\left|a_{j}\right|\{\min (|j|, n)\}^{1 / 2}\right)^{2} \\
& \leq 2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{|j| \leq l}\left|a_{j}\right||j|^{1 / 2}+\sum_{|j|>l}\left|a_{j}\right|\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the right-hand side of the above expression is independent of $k$ and as $n \rightarrow \infty$, it can be made smaller than any given positive constant by choosing $l$ large enough. Hence, $\max _{1 \leq k \leq n} E\left|Y_{n}\left(\omega_{k}\right)\right|^{2} \rightarrow 0$.

Lemma 1.4. Let $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k}$ be independent random vectors with values in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, having zero means and an average positive-definite covariance matrix $V_{k}=k^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \operatorname{Cov} X_{j}$. Let $G_{k}$
denote the distribution of $k^{-1 / 2} T_{k}\left(X_{1}+\ldots+X_{k}\right)$, where $T_{k}$ is the symmetric, positive-definite matrix satisfying $T_{k}^{2}=V_{k}^{-1}, n \geq 1$. If for some $\delta>0, E\left\|X_{j}\right\|^{(2+\delta)}<\infty$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup _{C \in \mathcal{C}}\left|G_{k}(C)-\Phi_{0, I}(C)\right| & \leq c k^{-\delta / 2}\left[k^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{k} E\left\|T_{k} X_{j}\right\|^{(2+\delta)}\right] \\
& \leq c k^{-\delta / 2}\left(\lambda_{\min }\left(V_{k}\right)\right)^{-(2+\delta)}\left[k^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{k} E\left\|X_{j}\right\|^{(2+\delta)}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\Phi_{0, I}$ is the normal probability function with mean zero and identity covariance matrix, $\mathcal{C}$, the class of all Borel-measurable convex subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ and $c$ is a constant, depending only on $d$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2: We first assume $\lambda\left(C_{0}\right)=0$. To prove the theorem it suffices to show that for each $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(F_{n}(x, y)\right) \rightarrow F(x, y) \text { and } V\left(F_{n}(x, y)\right) \rightarrow 0 . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that we may ignore the eigenvalue $\lambda_{n}$ and also $\lambda_{n / 2}$ whenever $n$ is even since they contribute atmost $2 / n$ to the $\operatorname{ESD} F_{n}(x, y)$. So for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
E\left[F_{n}(x, y)\right] \sim n^{-1} \sum_{k=1,(k \neq n / 2)}^{n-1} P\left(b_{k} \leq x, c_{k} \leq y\right)
$$

Define for $k=1,2, \cdots, n$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\eta_{k}=\left(\xi_{2 k-1}, \xi_{2 k}\right)^{\prime}, \quad Y_{1 n}\left(\omega_{k}\right)=\mathcal{R}\left[Y_{n}\left(\omega_{k}\right)\right], \quad Y_{2 n}\left(\omega_{k}\right)=\mathcal{I}\left[Y_{n}\left(\omega_{k}\right)\right], \\
A_{k}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{1}\left(e^{i \omega_{k}}\right) & -a_{2}\left(e^{i \omega_{k}}\right) \\
a_{2}\left(e^{i \omega_{k}}\right) & a_{1}\left(e^{i \omega_{k}}\right)
\end{array}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

where $a\left(e^{i \omega_{k}}\right), Y_{n}\left(\omega_{k}\right)$ are same as defined in Lemma 1.3. Then $\left(b_{k}, c_{k}\right)^{\prime}=A_{k} \eta_{k}+$ $\left(Y_{1 n}\left(\omega_{k}\right), Y_{2 n}\left(\omega_{k}\right)\right)^{\prime}$. From Lemma 1.3, it is intutively clear that for large $n, \lambda_{k} \sim a\left(e^{i \omega_{k}}\right)\left[\xi_{2 k-1}+\right.$ $\left.i \xi_{2 k}\right]$. So first we show that for large $n$

$$
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1,(k \neq n / 2)}^{n-1} P\left(b_{k} \leq x, c_{k} \leq y\right) \sim \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1,(k \neq n / 2)}^{n-1} P\left(A_{k} \eta_{k} \leq(x, y)^{\prime}\right)
$$

Note

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1,(k \neq n / 2)}^{n-1} P\left(b_{k} \leq x, c_{k} \leq y\right)-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1,(k \neq n / 2)}^{n-1} P\left(A_{k} \eta_{k} \leq(x, y)^{\prime}\right)\right| \\
= & \left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1,(k \neq n / 2)}^{n-1} P\left(A_{k} \eta_{k}+\left(Y_{1 n}\left(\omega_{k}\right), Y_{2 n}\left(\omega_{k}\right)\right)^{\prime} \leq(x, y)^{\prime}\right)-P\left(A_{k} \eta_{k} \leq(x, y)^{\prime}\right)\right| \\
\leq \quad & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1,(k \neq n / 2)}^{n-1} P\left(\left(\left|Y_{1 n}\left(\omega_{k}\right)\right|,\left|Y_{2 n}\left(\omega_{k}\right)\right|\right)>(\epsilon, \epsilon)\right) \\
& +\left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1,(k \neq n / 2)}^{n-1} P\left(A_{k} \eta_{k} \leq(x, y)^{\prime},\left(\left|Y_{1 n}\left(\omega_{k}\right)\right|,\left|Y_{2 n}\left(\omega_{k}\right)\right|\right) \leq(\epsilon, \epsilon)\right)-P\left(A_{k} \eta_{k} \leq(x, y)^{\prime}\right)\right| \\
= & T_{1}+T_{2}, \text { say. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Now using Lemma 1.3, as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$
\begin{gathered}
T_{1} \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1,(k \neq n / 2)}^{n-1} P\left(\left|Y_{n}\left(\omega_{k}\right)\right|^{2}>2 \epsilon^{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2 \epsilon^{2}} \sup _{k} E\left|Y_{n}\left(\omega_{k}\right)\right|^{2} \rightarrow 0 \\
T_{2} \leq \max \left\{\left\lvert\, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1,(k \neq n / 2)}^{n-1} P\left(A_{k} \eta_{k} \leq(x+\epsilon, y+\epsilon)^{\prime}-P\left(A_{k} \eta_{k} \leq(x, y)^{\prime}\right) \mid\right.\right.\right. \\
\left\lvert\, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1,(k \neq n / 2)}^{n-1} P\left(A_{k} \eta_{k} \leq(x-\epsilon, y-\epsilon)^{\prime}-P\left(A_{k} \eta_{k} \leq(x, y)^{\prime}\right) \mid\right\}\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\left\lvert\, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1,(k \neq n / 2)}^{n-1} P\left(A_{k} \eta_{k} \leq(x+\epsilon, y+\epsilon)^{\prime}-P\left(A_{k} \eta_{k} \leq(x, y)^{\prime}\right) \mid \leq T_{3}+T_{4}+T_{5}\right.\right.
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
T_{3}=\left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1,(k \neq n / 2)}^{n-1} P\left(A_{k} \xi_{k} \leq(x, y)^{\prime}\right)-P\left(A_{k}\left(N_{1} N_{2}\right)^{\prime} \leq(\sqrt{2} x, \sqrt{2} y)^{\prime}\right)\right| \\
T_{4}=\left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1,(k \neq n / 2)}^{n-1} P\left(A_{k} \xi_{k} \leq(x+\epsilon, y+\epsilon)^{\prime}\right)-P\left(A_{k}\left(N_{1} N_{2}\right)^{\prime} \leq(\sqrt{2} x+\sqrt{2} \epsilon, \sqrt{2} y+\sqrt{2} \epsilon)^{\prime}\right)\right| \\
T_{5}=\left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1,(k \neq n / 2)}^{n-1} P\left(A_{k}\left(N_{1} N_{2}\right)^{\prime} \leq(\sqrt{2} x+\sqrt{2} \epsilon, \sqrt{2} y+\sqrt{2} \epsilon)^{\prime}\right)-P\left(A_{k}\left(N_{1} N_{2}\right)^{\prime} \leq(\sqrt{2} x, \sqrt{2} y)^{\prime}\right)\right|
\end{gathered}
$$

To show $T_{3}, T_{4} \rightarrow 0$ define for $k=1,2, \cdots, n-1$, (except for $\left.k=n / 2\right)$ and $l=0,1,2, \cdots, n-1$,

$$
X_{l, k}=\left(\sqrt{2} \epsilon_{l} \cos \left(\omega_{k} l\right), \quad \sqrt{2} \epsilon_{l} \sin \left(\omega_{k} l\right)\right)^{\prime}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(X_{l, k}\right)=0 \quad \forall l, k, n \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
n^{-1} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \operatorname{Cov}\left(X_{l, k}\right)=I \quad \forall \quad k, n . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that for $k \neq n / 2$

$$
\left\{A_{k} \eta_{k} \leq(x, y)^{\prime}\right\}=\left\{A_{k}\left(n^{-1 / 2} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} X_{l, k}\right) \leq(\sqrt{2} x, \sqrt{2} y)^{\prime}\right\} .
$$

Since $\left\{(r, s): A_{k}(r, s)^{\prime} \leq(\sqrt{2} x, \sqrt{2} y)^{\prime}\right\}$ is a convex set in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ and $\left\{X_{l, k}, l=0,1, \ldots(n-1)\right\}$ satisfies (1.2) and (1.3), we can apply Lemma 1.4 for $k \neq n / 2$ to get

$$
\left|P\left(A_{k}\left(n^{-1 / 2} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} X_{l, k}\right) \leq(\sqrt{2} x, \sqrt{2} y)^{\prime}\right)-P\left(A_{k}\left(N_{1}, N_{2}\right)^{\prime} \leq(\sqrt{2} x, \sqrt{2} y)^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq c n^{-\delta / 2}\left[n^{-1} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} E\left\|X_{l k}\right\|^{(2+\delta)}\right]
$$

where $N_{1}, N_{2}$ are independent standard normal variates. Note that

$$
\sup _{1 \leq k \leq n}\left[n^{-1} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} E\left\|X_{l k}\right\|^{(2+\delta)}\right] \leq M<\infty
$$

and, as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1,(k \neq n / 2)}^{n-1}\left|P\left(A_{k}\left(n^{-1 / 2} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} X_{l, k}\right) \leq(\sqrt{2} x, \sqrt{2} y)^{\prime}\right)-P\left(A_{k}\left(N_{1}, N_{2}\right)^{\prime} \leq(\sqrt{2} x, \sqrt{2} y)^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq c M n^{-\delta / 2} \rightarrow 0
$$

Hence $T_{3} \rightarrow 0$ and similarly $T_{4} \rightarrow 0$. and also

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1,(k \neq n / 2)}^{n-1} P\left(A_{k} \eta_{k} \leq(x, y)^{\prime}\right) & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1,(k \neq n / 2)}^{n-1} H\left(\frac{2 \pi k}{n}, x, y\right) \\
& =\int_{0}^{1} H(2 \pi s, x, y) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} T_{5} & =\left|\int_{0}^{1} H(2 \pi s, x+\epsilon, y+\epsilon) d s-\int_{0}^{1} H(2 \pi s, x, y) d s\right| \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{1}|H(2 \pi s, x+\epsilon, y+\epsilon) d s-H(2 \pi s, x, y)| d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that

$$
|H(2 \pi s, x+\epsilon, y+\epsilon) d s-H(2 \pi s, x, y)| \leq 2
$$

and for fixed $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|H(2 \pi s, x+\epsilon, y+\epsilon) d s-H(2 \pi s, x, y)| \rightarrow 0 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence by DCT $\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} T_{5}=0$ and

$$
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\lvert\, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1,(k \neq n / 2)}^{n-1} P\left(A_{k} \eta_{k} \leq(x+\epsilon, y+\epsilon)^{\prime}-P\left(A_{k} \eta_{k} \leq(x, y)^{\prime}\right) \mid=0 .\right.\right.
$$

Also note that for fixed $(x, y)$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|H(2 \pi s, x-\epsilon, y-\epsilon) d s-H(2 \pi s, x, y)| \rightarrow 0, \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

outside the measure zero set $C_{0}$. Using this fact, proceeding as above we can show that

$$
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\lvert\, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1,(k \neq n / 2)}^{n-1} P\left(A_{k} \eta_{k} \leq(x-\epsilon, y-\epsilon)^{\prime}-P\left(A_{k} \eta_{k} \leq(x, y)^{\prime}\right) \mid=0\right.\right.
$$

and hence $\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} T_{2}=0$. Therefore as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
E\left[F_{n}(x, y)\right] \sim \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1,(k \neq n / 2)}^{n-1} P\left(A_{k} \eta_{k} \leq(x, y)^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \int_{0}^{1} H(2 \pi s, x, y) d s,
$$

and since $\lambda\left(C_{0}\right)=0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{1} H(2 \pi s, x, y) d s & =\int_{0}^{1} \mathbb{I}_{\{f(2 \pi s) \neq 0\}} H(2 \pi s, x, y) d s \\
& =\int_{0}^{1} \mathbb{I}_{\{f(2 \pi s) \neq 0\}}\left[\iint \mathbb{I}_{\left\{B(2 \pi s)\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)^{\prime} \leq(x, y)^{\prime}\right\}} \frac{1}{2 \pi} e^{-\frac{u_{1}^{2}+u_{2}^{2}}{2}} d u_{1} d u_{2}\right] d s \\
& =\int_{0}^{1} \mathbb{I}_{\{f(2 \pi s) \neq 0\}}\left[\iint \mathbb{I}_{\left\{\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right) \leq(x, y)\right\}} \frac{1}{2 \pi^{2} f(2 \pi s)} e^{-\frac{v_{1}^{2}+v_{2}^{2}}{2 \pi f(2 \pi s)}} d v_{1} d v_{2}\right] d s \\
& =\iint \mathbb{I}_{\left\{\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right) \leq(x, y)\right\}}\left[\int_{0}^{1} \mathbb{I}_{\{f(2 \pi s) \neq 0\}} \frac{1}{2 \pi^{2} f(2 \pi s)} e^{-\frac{v_{1}^{2}+v_{2}^{2}}{2 \pi f(2 \pi s)}} d s\right] d v_{1} d v_{2} \\
& =F(x, y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, to show $V\left[F_{n}(x, y)\right] \rightarrow 0$, it is enough to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{k \neq k^{\prime} ; k, k^{\prime}=1}^{n} \operatorname{Cov}\left(J_{k}, J_{k^{\prime}}\right) \rightarrow 0 . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for $1 \leq k \leq n, J_{k}$ is the indicator that $\left\{b_{k} \leq x, c_{k} \leq y\right\}$. Observe that

$$
\frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{k \neq k^{\prime} ; k, k^{\prime}=1}^{n} \operatorname{Cov}\left(J_{k}, J_{k^{\prime}}\right)=\frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{k \neq k^{\prime} ; k, k^{\prime}=1}^{n}\left[E\left(J_{k}, J_{k^{\prime}}\right)-E\left(J_{k}\right) E\left(J_{k^{\prime}}\right)\right] .
$$

Now as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{k \neq k^{\prime} ; k, k^{\prime}=1}^{n} E\left(J_{k}\right) E\left(J_{k^{\prime}}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} E\left(J_{k}\right)\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(E\left(J_{k}\right)\right)^{2} \rightarrow H(x, y)^{2} .
$$

So to show (1.6), it is enough to show as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{k \neq k^{\prime} ; k, k^{\prime}=1}^{n} E\left(J_{k}, J_{k^{\prime}}\right) \rightarrow H(x, y)^{2} .
$$

Along the lines of the proof used to show $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} P\left(A_{k}\left(N_{1} N_{2}\right)^{\prime} \leq(\sqrt{2} x, \sqrt{2} y)^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow F(x, y)$, one may now extend the vectors of two coordinates defined above to ones with four coordinates and proceed exactly as above to verify this. We omit the routine details.

When $\lambda\left(C_{0}\right) \neq 0$, we have to show (1.1) only at continuty points of $F$ and it is continuous on complement of $D_{2}$. All the above steps except (1.4),(1.5) in the proof will go through for all $(x, y)$, but on complement of $D(1.4),(1.5)$ also holds. Hence if $\lambda\left(C_{0}\right) \neq 0$, we have our required LSD. This proves the Theorem.
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