## RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN STRONG MONOTONICITY PROPERTY, $P_2$ -PROPERTY, AND THE GUS-PROPERTY IN SEMIDEFINITE LINEAR COMPLEMENTARITY PROBLEMS

## T. PARTHASARATHY, D. SAMPANGI RAMAN, AND B. SRIPARNA

In a recent paper on semidefinite linear complementarity problems, Gowda and Song (2000) introduced and studied the P-property,  $P_2$ -property, GUS-property, and strong monotonicity property for linear transformation  $L: S^n \to S^n$ , where  $S^n$  is the space of all symmetric and real  $n \times n$  matrices. In an attempt to characterize the  $P_2$ -property, they raised the following two questions: (i) Does the strong monotonicity imply the  $P_2$ -property? (ii) Does the GUS-property imply the  $P_2$ -property? In this paper, we show that the strong monotonicity property implies the  $P_2$ -property for any linear transformation and describe an equivalence between these two properties for Lyapunov and other transformations. We show by means of an example that the GUS-property need not imply the  $P_2$ -property, even for Lyapunov transformations.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $S^n$  be the space of all symmetric real  $n \times n$  matrices and  $S^n_+$  the space of symmetric and real  $n \times n$  positive semidefinite matrices. Given a linear transformation  $L: S^n \to S^n$  and  $Q \in S^n$ , the semidefinite linear complementarity problem  $SDLCP(L, S^n_+, Q)$  is the problem of finding a matrix  $X \in S^n$  such that

$$X \in S_+^n$$
,  $Y = L(X) + Q \in S_+^n$ ,  $\langle X, Y \rangle = \operatorname{tr}(XY) = 0$ ,

where "tr" denotes the trace.

This problem was originally introduced by Kojima et al. (1997), although in a slightly different form. The SDLCP can be considered as a generalization of the linear complementarity problem (LCP); see Cottle et al. (1992). Motivated by various useful results in the linear complementarity theory, Gowda and Song (2000) introduced the P, GUS, and various other properties for the SDLCP. For related results on SDLCP, see Gowda and Parthasarathy (2000). As mentioned in Gowda and Song (2000), the commutativity of X and L(X) makes the analysis of P-property simpler, since X and L(X) can be simultaneously diagonalized. The question that naturally arises is, "What can we say about the linear transformations for which X and L(X) do not commute?" This, as has been pointed out in Gowda and Song (2000), motivated the introduction of the  $P_1$ - and  $P_2$ -properties. So the  $P_2$ -property can be thought of as a variation of the P-property of a linear transformation in SDLCP. We know that when L has the strong monotonicity property, then it satisfies the P-property. We have shown that if a linear transformation L satisfies the strong monotonicity property, then it also satisfies the P2-property. For some special type of transformations, for example the Lyapunov transformation, we could show that the strong monotonicity property and the P2property are equivalent. However, if L is monotone but not strongly monotone, then from Example 1 it is clear that it may not satisfy the  $P_2$ -property.

The significance of the  $P_2$ -property also lies in the fact that it can be thought of as a generalization of the P-matrix condition of the LCP, since the two conditions are equivalent

Received October 18, 2000; revised May 20, 2001, and November 14, 2001.

MSC 2000 subject classification. Primary: 90C33, 93D05.

OR/MS subject classification. Primary: Programming/complementarity.

Key words. Semidefinite linear complementarity problem, positive semidefinite matrix, Lyapunov transformation, GUS-property, strong monotonicity property, P<sub>2</sub>-property.

for a matrix M; see Gowda and Song (2000). Hence, an interesting problem would be to derive relationships between the  $P_2$ - and GUS-properties. Gowda and Song (2000) showed that the  $P_2$ -property always implies the GUS-property. We show here, by means of a counterexample, that the converse is not always true. We have also shown that if the matrix A is positive definite, then the Lyapunov transformation satisfies the  $P_2$ -property, and vice versa. Also, if we make the additional assumption that A is symmetric, then for the Lyapunov transformation and for the transformation  $M_A(X)$  defined by AXA, we could show that the GUS-property and the  $P_2$ -property are equivalent.

- 1.1. Preliminaries. For a matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  we recall the following definitions.
- The trace of A is the sum of all diagonal elements of A or, equivalently, the sum of all eigenvalues of A.
- (2) A is positive semidefinite (definite) if the usual inner product ⟨Ax, x⟩ ≥ 0 (>0) for all nonzero x ∈ R<sup>n</sup>.
  - (3) A is positive stable if every eigenvalue of A has a positive real part.
  - (4) A is orthogonal if  $AA^T = I = A^T A$ , where I is the  $n \times n$  identity matrix.

We write  $X \succ 0$  when  $X \in S_{\perp}^n$ .

We list below some well-known matrix theoretic properties; see Bellman (1995) and Zhang (1999).

- X ≥ 0 ⇒ PXP<sup>T</sup> ≥ 0 for any nonsingular matrix P.
- (2)  $X \succeq 0, Y \succeq 0 \Rightarrow \langle X, Y \rangle \geq 0$ .
- (3)  $X \succeq 0, Y \succeq 0, \langle X, Y \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow XY = YX = 0.$

Definition 1. For a linear transformation  $L: S^n \to S^n$ , we say that

- L has the GUS-property if for all Q ∈ S<sup>n</sup>, SDLCP(L,Q) has a unique solution.
- (2) L has the strong monotonicity property if ⟨L(X), X⟩ > 0 for all nonzero X ∈ S<sup>n</sup>.
- (3) L has the monotonicity property if  $\langle L(X), X \rangle > 0$  for all nonzero  $X \in S^n$ .
- (4) L has the P<sub>2</sub>-property if  $X \succeq 0$ ,  $Y \succeq 0$ ,  $(X Y)L(X Y)(X + Y) \preceq 0 \Rightarrow X = Y$ .
- (5) L has the P-property if X and L(X) commute,  $XL(X) < 0 \Rightarrow X = 0$ .

Note that if L has the strong monotonicity property then L has the P-property.

(6) L has the cross commutative property if for every  $Q \in S^n$  and solutions  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  of SDLCP(L, Q), the following holds:

$$X_1Y_2 = Y_2X_1$$
 and  $X_2Y_1 = Y_1X_2$ ,

where  $Y_i = L(X_i) + Q$ , i = 1, 2.

DEFINITION 2. For a matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  we define the corresponding Lyapunov transformation  $L_A \colon S^n \to S^n$  by

$$L_A(X) = AX + XA^T$$
.

Theorem 1 (Karamardian 1976). Consider a linear transformation  $L: S^n \to S^n$ . If the problems SDLCP(L, 0) and SDLCP(L, E) for some E positive definite have unique solutions, then for all  $Q \in S^n$ , SDLCP(L, Q) has a solution.

Theorem 2 (Gowda and Song 2000). For a linear transformation  $L: S^n \to S^n$ , the following are equivalent:

- For all Q ∈ S<sup>n</sup>, SDLCP(L, Q) has at most one solution.
- (2) L has the P- and cross-commutative properties.
- (3) L has the GUS-property.

Theorem 3 (Gowda and Song 2000). For a matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , consider the Lyapunov transformation  $L_A$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:

- L<sub>A</sub> has the GUS-property.
- (2) A is positive stable and positive semidefinite.

 Main results. Gowda and Song (2000) have shown that the P<sub>2</sub>-property always implies the GUS-property. The following example shows that the converse need not be true.

EXAMPLE 1. For  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ , consider the Lyapunov transformation  $L_A$ . Since A is positive semidefinite and positive stable,  $L_A$  has the GUS-property by Theorem 3. Now let  $X = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -2 \\ -2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $Y = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Then  $X \succeq 0$ ,  $Y \succeq 0$  and  $(X - Y)L_A(X - Y) \times 1$ 

(X + Y) = 0.

Since  $X \neq Y$ ,  $L_A$  does not satisfy the  $P_2$ -property, we see that  $L_A$ , although monotone, does not satisfy the P2-property. Thus we see that although the P2-property always implies the GUS-property (Gowda and Song 2000), the converse is not always true.

It is obvious from the definition that for a given L:  $S^n \to S^n$ , if it satisfies the strong monotonicity property, then it satisfies the P-property. Below we prove a stronger result.

THEOREM 4. If a linear transformation  $L: S^n \to S^n$  has the strong monotonicity property, then it has the P2-property.

Proof. We will prove this by contradiction. Suppose there exists an  $X \geq 0$  and  $Y \geq 0$ such that  $(X-Y)L(X-Y)(X+Y) \leq 0$ .

Assume  $X \neq Y$  and without loss of generality, let  $X + Y \neq 0$ . Then there exists an orthogonal matrix U, positive numbers  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_r$   $(1 \le r \le n)$  with

$$U^{T}(X+Y)U=D\begin{bmatrix} I_{r} & 0\\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}D,$$

where  $D = \text{diag}(\sqrt{\lambda_1}, \dots, \sqrt{\lambda_r}, 1, \dots, 1)$  and  $I_r$  is the identity matrix of size  $r \times r$ . Let  $A = (D)^{-1}U^TXUD^{-1}$  and  $B = (D)^{-1}U^TYUD^{-1}$ . Then A and B are symmetric positive

semidefinite with

 $A+B=\begin{bmatrix} I_r & 0\\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$ 

It follows that

 $A = \begin{bmatrix} A_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ 

and

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} B_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $A_r$  and  $B_r$  are  $r \times r$  matrices. Now premultiplying and postmultiplying  $(X - Y) \times$ L(X-Y)(X+Y) by  $D^{-1}U^{T}$  and  $UD^{-1}$ , respectively, and introducing appropriate matrices between the three factors of (X - Y)L(X - Y)(X + Y), we get

$$(A-B)[\widehat{L}(A)-\widehat{L}(B)](A+B) \leq 0,$$

where  $\widehat{L}(Z) = DU^T L(UDZDU^T)UD$ . Note that  $\widehat{L}$  is a strongly monotone linear transformation on  $S^n$ . Writing

$$\widehat{L}(A) - \widehat{L}(B) = \begin{bmatrix} P & Q \\ Q^T & R \end{bmatrix},$$

we get

$$\mathrm{tr} \bigg( \begin{bmatrix} A_r - B_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} P & Q \\ Q^T & R \end{bmatrix} \bigg) = \langle \widehat{L}(A-B), A-B \rangle > 0,$$

i.e.,  $tr[(A_r - B_r)P] > 0$ . On the other hand,

$$(A-B)[\widehat{L}(A)-\widehat{L}(B)](A+B) \leq 0$$

gives (after simplification)  $tr[(A_r - B_r)P] \le 0$ , leading to a contradiction. Hence, we must have X = Y, giving us the  $P_2$ -property.  $\square$ 

REMARK. Theorem 4 is false if the transformation is just monotone; see Example 1. However, one can prove the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 1. Let  $L: S^n \to S^n$  be monotone. Suppose L has the following property:

$$X \succeq 0$$
,  $Y \succeq 0$ ,  $(X - Y)L(X - Y)(X + Y) = 0 \Rightarrow X = Y$ .

Then L has the  $P_2$ -property.

The proof follows along lines similar to those of Theorem 4, and so we have omitted it. This proposition is motivated by Example 1. Regarding the converse statement of Theorem 4, we do not have a complete answer. We can give a partial answer. We show that for the Lyapunov transformation and for  $M_A(X) = AXA^T$  when A is symmetric, the strong monotonicity property and the  $P_2$ -property are equivalent. Note that in Example 1, A is positive semidefinite and we have shown that  $L_A$  does not satisfy the  $P_2$ -property. However, if A is positive definite, then the following theorem shows that  $L_A$  satisfies the  $P_2$ -property and vice versa.

Theorem 5. The following statements are equivalent for a Lyapunov transformation  $L_A$ .

- (i) A is positive definite.
- (ii) LA has the strong monotonicity property.
- (iii) L<sub>A</sub> has the P<sub>2</sub>-property.

PROOF. To show (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Suppose A is positive definite. If X is a nonzero matrix in  $S^n$  where  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  are the columns of X, then  $trL_A(X)X = 2tr(XAX) = 2\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^T Ax_i > 0$ . This proves (ii).

- (ii) ⇒ (iii) has already been established in Theorem 4.
- (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). If  $L_A$  satisfies  $P_2$ , then it has the GUS-property. From Gowda and Song (2000), we get that A is positive stable and positive semidefinite. Suppose if A is not positive definite; then there exists an  $x \neq 0$  such that  $x^T A x = 0$ . Take  $X = x x^T$ ; then X is symmetric and  $X L_A(X) X = x x^T (A x x^T + x x^T A^T) x x^T = 0$  since  $x^T A x = 0$ . However, since  $L_A$  satisfies  $P_2$ , this implies that X = 0; that is, x = 0, which is a contradiction. Thus, A is positive definite.  $\square$

Note that if we take  $L_A$  to be monotone instead of strongly monotone, then it is clear from Example 1 that the above theorem does not hold good. While  $P_2$  and GUS are not equivalent, they are so for  $L_A$  when  $(A + A^t)$  is nonsingular.

COROLLARY 1. If  $det(A + A^T) \neq 0$ , then the following are equivalent for the Lyapunov transformation  $L_A$ .

- L<sub>A</sub> has the GUS-property.
- (ii) L<sub>A</sub> satisfies the P<sub>2</sub>-property.

The following theorem shows that when A is symmetric,  $P_2$ , GUS, and the strong monotonicity properties are equivalent for  $M_A(X) = AXA$ .

Theorem 6. When A is symmetric, the following statements are equivalent for the transformation  $M_A(X) = AXA$ .

- A is positive definite or negative definite.
- M<sub>A</sub> has the strong monotonicity property.
- (iii) M<sub>A</sub> has the P<sub>2</sub>-property.
- (iv) M<sub>A</sub> has the GUS-property.

PROOF. (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Since  $M_A(X) = M_{-A}(X)$ , without loss of generality we assume A to be positive definite. Suppose  $\operatorname{tr} M_A(X)X \leq 0$  for some  $X \in S^n \neq 0$ . Then  $\operatorname{tr}(AXAX) \leq 0$ . Since A is symmetric and positive definite,  $\operatorname{tr}(AXAX) \geq 0$  (since XAX is also positive semidefinite). Thus  $\operatorname{tr} AXAX = 0 \Rightarrow AXAX = 0$ ; this implies that  $XAX = 0 \Rightarrow X = 0$ , which is a contradiction. Thus,  $M_A$  has strong monotonicity property.

- (ii) ⇒ (iii) follows from Theorem 4.
- (iii) ⇒ (iv) follows from Gowda and Song 2000.
- (iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Suppose  $M_A$  has the GUS-property. If the order of the matrix is one, then it is easy to see that (iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Assume that the order of the matrix is at least two. If A is not positive definite or negative definite, then there exists an  $x \neq 0$  such that  $x^T A x = 0$ . Suppose not. Then let us consider the sets  $E = \{x : x^T A x < 0\}$  and  $F = \{x : x^T A x > 0\}$ . These two sets are open and since there exists no  $x \neq 0$  such that  $x^T A x = 0$ ,  $E \cup F = R^n \setminus 0$ . This implies that  $R^n \setminus 0$  is disconnected, which is a contradiction. Hence there exists an  $x \neq 0$  such that  $x^T A x = 0$ . Take  $X = x x^T$ ; then X is symmetric and positive semidefinite  $XL_A(X) = x x^T A x x^T A = 0$ . So we have two solutions for SDLCP( $M_A$ , 0) contradicting the GUS-property. Thus (iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (i).  $\square$

Theorem 6 need not hold good if A is not symmetric, as the following example shows. Example 2. Consider the following transformation:  $M_A(X) = AXA^T$  where  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .

Note that A is not symmetric but is positive definite. Let  $X = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -2 \\ -2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . Then  $AXA^TX = \begin{bmatrix} -20 & 24 \\ -16 & -20 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $tr(AXA^TX) = -40 < 0$ .

In other words  $M_A$  does not have the strong monotonicity property. In this example  $M_A$  also does not have the  $P_2$ -property, and this can be seen as follows. Let  $X_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -2 \\ -2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $X_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ . Now  $(X_1 - X_2)M_A(X_1 - X_2)(X_1 + X_2)$  is negative semidefinite but  $X_1 \neq X_2$ . In other words  $M_A$  fails to have the  $P_2$ -property. However, this transformation  $M_A$  has the GUS-property. In fact, it is shown in Bhimshankaram et al. (2000) that A is positive definite if and only if  $M_A$  has the GUS-property. Note that the result is not true for the Lyapunov transformation (see Example 1) unless A is symmetric (see Corollary 1).

Combining Theorems 5, 6, and Corollary 1, we have the following result.

COROLLARY 2. Suppose A is symmetric. Then  $L_A$  has the GUS-property if and only if  $M_A$  has the GUS-property.

Summarizing, our findings in this paper are as follows. Every strongly monotone linear transformation has the  $P_2$ -property. For the Lyapunov transformation and the transformation  $M_A$ , strong monotonicity is equivalent to the  $P_2$ -property. An example is given to show that the GUS-property need not imply the  $P_2$ -property in general (although the  $P_2$ -property always implies the GUS-property; see Gowda and Song 2000). The following problem remains open: Does the  $P_2$ -property imply the strong monotonicity for a general linear transformation L?

Acknowledgments. We are grateful to Professor Seetharam Gowda for introducing us to this topic of SDLCP and for some useful suggestions. We are also grateful to Dr. G. Ravindran and Mr. A. K. Biswas for their useful comments. We are extremely indebted to the two anonymous referees and an associate editor for several extremely useful comments that improved the presentation and simplified the proof of some of the results. The first author would like to thank Professor Eitan Altman for making his visit to INRIA, Sophia Antipolis, during August 17–September 8, 2000 possible, under an Indo-French exchange program, where part of this work was carried out. The third author gratefully acknowledges CSIR for financial support to carry out this research.

## References

Bellman, R. 1995. Introduction to Matrix Analysis. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Philadelphia, PA.

Bhimshankaram, P., A. L. N. Murthy, G. S. R. Murthy, T. Parthasarathy. 2000. Complementarity problems and positive definite matrices. Preliminary report, Indian Statistical Institute, Hyderabad, India.

Cottle, R. W., J. S. Pang, R. E. Stone. 1992. The Linear Complementarity Problem. Academic Press, Boston, MA.

- Gowda, M. S., T. Parthasarathy. 2000. Complementarity forms of theorems of Lyapunov and Stein and related results. Linear Algebra Appl. 320 131–144.
- Y. Song. 2000. Semidefinite linear complementarity problems and a theorem of Lyapunov. Math. Programming Ser. A 88 575–587.
- Karamardian, S. 1976. An existence theorem for the complementarity problem. J. Optim. Theory Appl. 19 227–232.
  Kojima, M., S. Shindoh, S. Hara. 1997. Interior point methods for the monotone semidefinite linear complementarity problems in symmetric matrices. SIAM J. Optim. 7 86–125.
- Zhang, F. 1999. Matrix Theory—Basic Results and Techniques. Springer-Verlag, New York.
  - T. Parthasarathy: Indian Statistical Institute, 7 S.J.S. Sansanwal Marg, New Delhi 110016, India
  - D. S. Raman: Indian Statistical Institute, 110 Nelson Manickam Road, Chennai 600029, India
  - B. Sriparna: Indian Statistical Institute, 7 S.J.S. Sansanwal Marg, New Delhi 110016, India