# Nonnegative Idempotent Matrices and the Minus Partial Order

B. B. Bapat\*
Indian Statistical Institute
New Delhi, 110016, India

S. K. Jain and L. E. Snyder Department of Mathematics Ohio University Athens. Ohio 45701-2979

Submitted by Richard A. Brualdi

#### ABSTRACT

We describe the structure of nonnegative matrices dominated by a nonnegative idempotent matrix under the minus order.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Matrix partial orders have been an area of intense research in the past few years. The minus partial order is one of the important partial orders for matrices, and it is related to several other concepts such as rank additivity, shorted operators, and the parallel sum [4]. It is well known that idempotent matrices play an important role in the theory of generalized inverses. In particular, the structure of idempotent matrices that are entrywise nonnegative is well understood, and it is a natural problem to investigate how the

minus partial order behaves with reference to nonnegative idempotent matrices. The purpose of this paper is to describe the structure of nonnegative matrices which are dominated by a given nonnegative idempotent matrix under the minus partial order. In Section 2 we introduce some definitions and prove some preliminary results. The main result is proved in Section 3.

### 2. DEFINITIONS AND PRELIMINARY RESULTS.

We consider only real matrices. A matrix  $A \in [a_{ij}]$  is nonnegative if  $a_{ij} \ge 0$  for all i, j, in which case we write  $A \ge 0$ . Similarly, A is positive if  $a_{ij} \ge 0$  for all i, j, denoted  $A \ge 0$ . The square matrix A is idempotent if  $A^3 = A$ . The transpose of A is denoted by  $A^I$ , and the identity matrix of the appropriate order is denoted by I.

If A is an  $m \times n$  matrix, then an  $n \times m$  matrix G is a generalized inverse (or a g-inverse) of A if AGA = A. We denote an arbitrary g-inverse of A by A.

A matrix J is a direct sum of matrices  $J_1, \ldots, J_r$ , denoted by  $J = J_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus J_r$ , if

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} J_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & J_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & J_r \end{bmatrix}.$$

If A, B are  $m \times n$  matrices, then we say that A is dominated by B in the minus order, denoted by  $A \leqslant^- B$ , if rank  $B = \operatorname{rank} A + \operatorname{rank}(B - A)$ .

It is well known that the minus order is a partial order, and several characterizations of it are available in the literature (for example, see [3]). In particular, we note the following result which will be used.

**THEOREM 1.** Let  $\Lambda$ . B by  $m \times n$  matrices. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i)  $\Lambda \leqslant^- B$ .
- (ii) There exists a g-inverse  $\Lambda^-$  of  $\Lambda$  such that  $(B \Lambda)\Lambda^- = 0$  and  $A (B \Lambda) > 0$ .
  - (iii) Every g-inverse of B is a g-inverse of A.
- (iv) Every g-inverse  $B^-$  of B satisfies  $AB^-(B-A)=0$  and (B-A)B A=0,

We remark that (iv) can be interpreted as saying the "parallel sum" of A and B = A is zero.

We will need the following characterization of nonnegative idempotent matrices due to Flor [2] (also see Theorem 3.1, p. 65 in [1]).

THEOREM 2. If E is a nonnegative idempotent matrix of rank r, then there exists a permutation matrix P such that

$$PEP^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} J & JD & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ CJ & CJD & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

where all the diagonal blocks are square; j is a direct sum of matrices  $x_i y_i^T$ ,  $x_i > 0$ ,  $y_i > 0$ , and  $y_i^T x_i = 1$ ,  $i = 1, 2, ..., \tau$ ; and C, D are nonnegative matrices of suitable sizes.

It follows from Theorem 2 that if E is a positive idempotent matrix, then E must be of rank one.

The main purpose of this paper is to describe nonnegative matrices dominated by a given nonnegative idempotent matrix under the minus order. We first prove some preliminary results which are needed for such a description.

LEMMA 3. Let A, E be  $n \times n$  matrices such that  $E^2 - E$ , and suppose that  $A \leq {}^{-}E$ . Then A is idempotent and AE = A = EA.

**Proof.** Since  $E^2 = E$ , the  $n \times n$  identity matrix I is a g-inverse of E. Since  $A \le E$ , by Theorem 1(iii) I must be a g-inverse of A and therefore  $A^2 = A$ . Also, by Theorem 1(iv), At(E - A) = (E - A)IA = 0, and this completes the proof.

Lemma 4. Let X, J be  $n \times n$  nonnegative matrices such that JX = X = XJ and suppose  $J = J_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus J_r$ , where  $J_i = x_i y_i^T$ ,  $x_i > 0$ ,  $y_i > 0$ , with  $y_i^T x_i = 1$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, r$ . Let  $X = [X_{ij}]$  be the  $\tau \times r$  block partitioning of X in conformity with that of J. Then for each i, j. either  $X_{ij} = 0$  or  $X_{ij}$  is a positive matrix of rank 1.

*Proof.* Let i, j be fixed,  $1 \le i, j \le r$ . Since JX = X = XJ, we have

$$J_i X_{ij} = X_{ij} = X_{ij} J_j. \tag{I}$$

Therefore rank  $X_{ij} \le \operatorname{rank} J_j = 1$ . If rank  $X_{ij} = 0$  then  $X_{ij} = 0$ . Suppose rank  $X_{ij} = 1$ , and let  $X_{ij} = uv^T$  be a nonnegative rank factorization. Then from (1)

$$J_i u v^T = u v^T = u v^T J_i. (2)$$

Since u, v must be nonzero vectors, it follows from (2) that  $J_i u = u$  and  $v^T = v^T J_j$ . Since  $J_i, J_j$  are positive matrices, u, v must be positive vectors. Thus  $X_{ij} = uv^T$  is positive and the proof is complete.

### 3. THE MAIN RESULT

THEOREM 5. Let A, E be  $n \times n$  nonnegative matrices such that  $E^2 = E$ , and suppose  $A \leq^- E$ . Then there exists a permutation matrix P such that

$$PEP^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} J & JD & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ CJ & CJD & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad and \quad PAP^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} U & UD & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ CU & CUD & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{f} & \hat{f}\hat{D} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hat{C}\hat{f} & \hat{C}\hat{f}\hat{D} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

I and  $\hat{J}$  are direct sums of positive idempotent matrices of rank 1, and  $C, D, \hat{C}, \hat{D}$  are nonnegative matrices of the appropriate sizes.

*Proof.* Since  $E\geqslant 0$  and  $E^2=E$ , by Theorem 2 there exists a permutation matrix R such that

$$RER^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{J} & \vec{J}\vec{D} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hat{C}\vec{J} & \hat{C}\vec{J}\vec{D} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (3)

where  $\tilde{f} = f_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus f_r$ ,  $f_i = x_i y_i^T$ ,  $x_i$ ,  $y_i$  are positive vectors, and  $y_i^T x_i = 1$ , i = 1, 2, ..., r. For convenience we assume that E itself is of the form given in (3), as this will not affect the conclusion of the theorem. Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} Y_{11} & Y_{12} & Y_{13} & Y_{14} \\ Y_{21} & Y_{22} & Y_{23} & Y_{24} \\ Y_{31} & Y_{12} & Y_{35} & Y_{34} \\ Y_{41} & Y_{42} & Y_{43} & Y_{44} \end{bmatrix}$$

be the corresponding partitioning of A. Since  $A \le E$ , by Lemma 3 we have AE = A = EA, and from these equations it follows that

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} Y_{11} & Y_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ Y_{31} & Y_{32} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The equation AE = A now gives

$$\begin{bmatrix} Y_{11}\vec{f} & Y_{11}\vec{f}\vec{D} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ Y_{31}\vec{f} & Y_{31}\vec{f}\vec{D} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Y_{11} & Y_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ Y_{31} & Y_{32} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus  $Y_{11}=Y_{11}\tilde{f}$ ,  $Y_{12}=Y_{11}\tilde{f}\tilde{D}$ , and hence  $Y_{12}=Y_{11}\tilde{D}$ . Similarly, from A=EA we conclude that  $Y_{11}=\tilde{f}Y_{11}$ ,  $Y_{31}=\tilde{C}\tilde{f}Y_{11}$ , and hence  $Y_{31}=\tilde{C}Y_{11}$ . It follows that  $Y_{32}=Y_{31}\tilde{f}\tilde{D}=\tilde{C}Y_{11}\tilde{f}\tilde{D}=\tilde{C}Y_{11}\tilde{D}$ . Therefore, setting  $X=Y_{11}$ , we have

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} X & X\bar{D} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \bar{C}X & \bar{C}X\bar{D} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We now take a closer look at the equation  $X\bar{I} = X = \bar{I}X$ . Let

$$\bar{f} = \begin{bmatrix} f_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & f_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & f_r \end{bmatrix}, \qquad X = \begin{bmatrix} X_{11} & X_{12} & \cdots & X_{1r} \\ X_{21} & X_{22} & \cdots & X_{2r} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ X_{r1} & X_{r2} & \cdots & X_{rr} \end{bmatrix}$$

be conformal partitions. By Lemma 4, each  $X_{ij}$  is either zero or a positive, rank one matrix. We also note from the equation  $A^2 = A$  that  $X^2 = X$ . Construct the  $r \times r$  matrix  $Z = \{z_{ij}\}$  by setting  $z_{ij} = y_i^T X_{ij} x_j$ ,  $1 \le i, j \le r$ . We claim that Z is idempotent. This is seen as follows. For any  $i, k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, r\}$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \sum_{j=1}^{r} z_{ij} z_{jk} &= \sum_{j=1}^{r} y_i^T X_{ij} x_j y_j^T X_{jk} x_k \\ &= y_i^T \bigg\{ \sum_{j=1}^{r} X_{ij} J_j X_{jk} \bigg\} x_k \qquad \left( \text{since } x_j y_j^T = J_j \right) \\ &= y_i^T \bigg\{ \sum_{j=1}^{r} X_{ij} X_{jk} \bigg\} x_k \qquad \left( \text{since } X_{ij} J_j = X_{ij} \right) \\ &= y_i^T X_{ik} x_k \qquad \left( \text{since } X^2 = X \right) \\ &= z_{ik}, \end{split}$$

and therefore the claim is proved.

Since Z is a nonnegative idempotent matrix, by Theorem 2 there exists a permutation matrix  $Q_1$  such that

$$Q_1 Z Q_1^T = \begin{bmatrix} J_1 & J_1 D_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ C_1 J_1 & C_1 J_1 D_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $f_1$  is a direct sum of positive idempotent matrices, each of rank one. Let Q be the permutation matrix obtained from  $Q_1$  by replacing the 1 in the ith column by the identity matrix of the same order as  $X_{ii}$ ,  $i=1,2,\ldots,r$ , and replacing each zero by a zero matrix of appropriate size. Then by block matrix multiplication it can be seen that  $QXQ^T$  permutes the blocks  $X_{ij}$  of X in the same way as  $Q_1ZQ_1^T$  permutes the entries  $z_{ij}$  of Z. Therefore, keeping in mind that each  $X_{ij}$  is either zero or positive, we have

$$QXQ^T = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{f} & \hat{D} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hat{C} & \hat{M} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $\hat{f}$  is a direct sum of positive matrices and  $\hat{D}$ ,  $\hat{C}$ ,  $\hat{M}$  are nonnegative matrices.

The equation  $(QXQ^T)^3 = QXQ^T$  now leads to  $\hat{f}^2 = \hat{f}$ ,  $\hat{D} + \hat{f}\hat{D}$ ,  $\hat{C} = \hat{C}\hat{f}$ , and  $\hat{M} = \hat{C}\hat{f}\hat{D}$ . It follows that  $\hat{f}$  must be a direct sum of positive idempotent matrices of rank 1 and we have

$$QXQ^T = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{f} & \hat{f}\hat{D} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hat{C}\hat{f} & \hat{C}\hat{f}\hat{D} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Set

$$P \approx \begin{bmatrix} Q & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & I \end{bmatrix}.$$

where the partitioning is conformal with that of A and E. Then

$$PEP^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} Q\hat{f}Q^{T} & Q\hat{f}\bar{D} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \bar{C}\hat{f}Q^{T} & \bar{C}\hat{f}\bar{D} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad PAP^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} QXQ^{T} & QX\bar{D} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \bar{C}XQ^{T} & \bar{C}X\bar{D} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The construction of Q and the structure of  $\bar{f}$  (as a block sum) show that  $Q\bar{f}Q^T$  is also a direct sum of positive, rank one idempotent matrices. In fact if Q, corresponds to the permutation  $\sigma$ , then

$$Q ilde{f}Q^T = egin{bmatrix} J_{\sigma(1)} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \ 0 & J_{\sigma(2)} & \cdots & 0 \ dots & dots & \ddots & dots \ 0 & 0 & \cdots & J_{\sigma(r)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Finally, set  $U = QXQ^T$ ,  $C = \tilde{C}Q^T$ ,  $D = Q\bar{D}$ , and  $J = Q\tilde{J}Q^T$  to get forms of  $PEP^T$  and  $PAP^T$  as asserted. That completes the proof.

COROLLARY 6. Let A, E be  $n \times n$  nonnegative matrices with no zero row or column. Suppose  $E^2 = E$  and  $A \leq^- E$ . Then the following assertions hold.

- (i) There exists a permutation matrix P such that PEP<sup>T</sup> and ΓΛP<sup>T</sup> are both direct sums of positive idempotent matrices of rank 1.
  - (ii) For any i, j if  $e_{ij} > 0$  then  $a_{ij} > 0$ , where  $A = [a_{ij}]$  and  $E = [e_{ij}]$ .
- (iii) Let  $\{1, 2, ..., n\} = S_1 \cup \cdots \cup S_r$  be the partition of  $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$  induced by the direct sum representation of  $PEP^T$ , and let  $\{1, 2, ..., n\} = T_1 \cup \cdots \cup T_k$  be the partition induced by the direct sum representation of  $PAP^T$ . Then the former partition is a refinement of the latter, and if  $S_i = T_j$  for some i, j then the corresponding blocks in the direct sum representations are identical.

The proof of Corollary 6 is essentially contained in the proof of Theorem 5. Statement (ii) of the corollary follows from AE = A = EA together with the fact that the diagonal of A is positive, and statement (iii) follows from (ii).

The next example shows that in the case of Theorem 5, the natural converse implication is not true.

Example 7. Let

$$A = \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad E = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then A, E are both nonnegative idempotent matrices. Also the condition in Theorem 5 is trivially satisfied. However, A is not dominated by E in the minus order, since rank E = 2, which does not equal rank  $A + \operatorname{rank}(E = A)$ , which is 3.

The following example illustrates the structure described in Corollary 6.

## EXAMPLE 8. Let

$$E = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{3} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and }$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & 0 & 0 & \frac{3}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then it can be verified that the hypotheses in Corollary 6 are satisfied. Also, if P is the permutation matrix

then

$$PAP^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and }$$

$$PAP^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

which are in the form asserted in Corollary 6.

In the remainder of the paper we show that the set of nonnegative matrices dominated in the minus order by a given nonnegative idempotent matrix of rank r can be "identified" with the set of  $r \times r$  nonnegative idempotent matrices. This jibes well with the simple observation that the set of nonnegative matrices dominated by the  $r \times r$  identity matrix is precisely the set of all  $r \times r$  nonnegative idempotent matrices.

We now introduce some notation. Let E be an  $n \times n$  nonnegative idempotent matrix of rank r. Let  $\mathscr{M}_r = \{A : A \ge 0, A \le^- E\}$ , and let  $\mathscr{F}_r$  be the set of  $r \times r$  nonnegative idempotent matrices. With this notation we have the following result.

THEOREM 9. Let E be a nonnegative idempotent matrix of rank r. Then there exists a map  $\phi : \mathcal{M}_E \to \mathcal{I}_r$  satisfying the following properties:

- φ is one-to-one.
- (ii) φ is onto.
- (iii) If  $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_E$  and AB = BA = 0, then  $A + B \in \mathcal{M}_E$ ,  $\phi(A + B) = \phi(A) + \phi(B)$ , and  $\phi(A)\phi(B) = 0$ .

*Proof.* We first describe the construction of the map  $\phi$ . Let  $A \in \mathscr{M}_{\mathbb{K}}$ . By the proof of Theorem 5 we may write, without loss of generality, that

$$E = \begin{bmatrix} J & JD & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ CJ & CJD & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad A = \begin{bmatrix} X & XD & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ CX & CXD & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(4)

where  $X \ge 0$ , where fX = X = Xf, and where fX = X = Xf, and where fX = X = Xf, and where fX = Xf and fX = Xf and fX = Xf and fX = Xf be the corresponding block partitioning of X. By Lemma 4, each  $X_{ij}$  is either zero or a positive matrix of rank 1. Since fX = X = Xf, we have

$$J_i X_{ij} = X_{ij} = X_{ij} J_j, \tag{5}$$

We define  $\phi(A) = Z$ , where  $Z = [x_{ij}] = [y_i^T X_{ij} x_j]$  is the matrix constructed in the proof of Theorem 5. As observed in that proof, Z is idempotent and thus  $\phi$  is clearly a map from  $\mathscr{M}_E$  into  $\mathscr{L}_E$ .

(i): Suppose  $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_E$  and  $\phi(A) = \phi(B)$ . As in the proof of Theorem 5, we conclude that

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} X & XD & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ CX & CXD & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad B = \begin{bmatrix} Y & YD & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ CY & CYD & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{6}$$

Let  $X = [X_{ij}]$ ,  $Y = [Y_{ij}]$  be block partitionings of X, Y in conformity with that of J. Since  $\phi(A) = \phi(B)$ , we have, in view of the definition of  $\phi$ , that

$$y_i^T X_{ij} x_j = y_i^T Y_{ij} x_j, \qquad 1 \leqslant i,j \leqslant r.$$

Thus.

$$x_iy_i^TX_{ij}x_jy_i^T=x_iy_i^TY_{ij}x_jy_i^T, \qquad 1 \leq i,j \leq r,$$

and, hence  $J_iX_{ij}J_j=J_iY_{ij}J_p,\ 1\leqslant i,j\leqslant r.$  It follows from (5) and a similar equation for  $Y_{ij}$  that  $X_{ij}=Y_{ij},\ 1\leqslant i,j\leqslant r.$  Thus X=Y and hence A=B. Therefore  $\phi$  is one-to-one.

(ii): To show that  $\phi$  is onto, let  $L = [l_{ij}]$  be a nonnegative idempotent  $r \times r$  matrix. Let  $X_{ij} = l_{ij}x_iy_j^T$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, r$ . Set  $X = [X_{ij}]$ , a block partitioned matrix, and let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} X & XD & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ CX & CXD & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then it can be verified that  $X^2 = X$  and JX = X = XJ. Thus  $A \in \mathscr{M}_E$ , Also,  $\phi(A) = L$ , and therefore  $\phi$  is onto.

(iii): Suppose  $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_F$  and AB = BA = 0. Then clearly A + B is idempotent and [E - (A + B)](A + B) = (A + B)[E - (A + B)] = 0, thus  $A + B \in M_F$ . We assume, without loss of generality, that E, A, B have the form given in (4) and (6). Then AB - BA = 0 leads to XY = YX = 0. Furthermore, X + Y is idempotent. Let  $X = [X_{ij}], Y = [Y_{ij}]$  be the block partitioning of X, Y, compatible with that of J, and let

$$\mathbf{z}_{ij} = \mathbf{y}_i^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{X}_{ij} \mathbf{x}_j, \qquad \mathbf{w}_{ij} = \mathbf{y}_i^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{Y}_{ij} \mathbf{x}_j$$

for all i, j. Then

$$\phi(A + B) = [z_{ij} + w_{ij}] = [z_{ij}] + [w_{ij}] = \phi(A) + \phi(B).$$

Since XY = 0, it is easy to verify that  $\phi(A)\phi(B) = 0$ , completing the proof.

We express our sincere thanks to the referee, whose helpful remarks have led to a better presentation.

### REFERENCES

- A. Berman and B. J. Plemmons, Nonnegative Matrices in the Mathematical Sciences. SIAM, New York, 1994.
- 2 P. Flor, On groups of nonnegative matrices, Compositio Math. 21:376-382 (1969).
- 3 S. K. Mitra, Matrix partial orders through generalized inverses: Unified theory, Linear Algebra Appl. 148:237-263 (1991).
- S. K. Mitta and P. L. Odell, On parallel summability of matrices, Linear Algebra Appl. 74:239–255 (1986).

Received 31 July 1995; final manuscript accepted 22 May 1996