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#### Abstract

We explain the relations between the existence of a minimum-norm inverse, the existence of an approximate-norm inverse, and the linear approximation property, for any norm on $R^{n}$.


## 1. INTRODUCTION

This is a sequel to [1] and [2].
First we shall quickly recall the definitions. Let us consider an $m \times n$ real matrix $A$, a norm $\|\cdot\|_{1}$ on $R^{n}$ and a norm $\|\cdot\|_{2}$ on $R^{m}$. A minimum- $\|\cdot\|_{1}$ inverse $\left(\mathrm{m}\|\cdot\|_{1} \mathrm{i}\right)$ of $A$ is a g-inverse $G$ of $A$ (i.e. $A G A=A$ ) such that for each $y$ which makes the system $A x=y$ consistent, we have that $\|G y\|_{1} \leqslant$ $\|x\|_{1}$ for all solutions $x$ of $A x=y$. An approximate- $\|\cdot\|_{2}$ inverse ( $a\|\cdot\|_{2} i$ ) of $A$ is a g-inverse $G$ of $A$ such that for all $y,\|A G y-y\|_{2}=\min _{x}\|A x-y\|_{2}$. References [1-5] give some results on the existence and evaluations of the above generalized inverses.

In the present note we shall explain the relations between the above types of $g$-inverses for general norms. Let us also recall a definition from [1]. If $(X,\|\cdot\|)$ is a finite-dimensional normed linear space and $\mathscr{S}$ is a subspace of $X$, we say that $\mathscr{S}$ has the linear approximation property if for every $x$ in $X$
there is an $M(x)$ in $\mathscr{S}$ such that $\|x-M(x)\| \leqslant\|x-z\|$ for all $z$ in $\mathscr{S}$ and such that the map $x \rightarrow M(x)$ is linear. The existence of $a\|\cdot\| i$ 's and $m\|\cdot\|$ 's with respect to a general norm is closely related to various subspaces having the linear approximation property, as the present paper shows. Recall that [2] a finite-dimensional normed linear space of dimension $\geqslant 3$ has the property that every subspace has the linear approximation property if and only if the norm is given by an inner product.

## 2. RESULTS

We shall deal with norms on finite-dimensional real vector spaces, not just with $R^{n}$. Depending on the suitability, we shall state some of our results for linear transformations and some for matrices.

Theorem 1. Let $T: Y \rightarrow X$ be a linear transformation and $\|\cdot\|$ be a norm on $X$. Let $\mathscr{S}=T(Y)$. Then the following are equivalent:
(i) $T$ has an $a\|\cdot\| i$.
(ii) $\mathscr{S}$ has the linear approximation property.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): Let $S$ be an a\|• $\|$ i of $T$. Then for any $x \in X$, $\|x-T S(x)\|=\inf _{z \in \mathscr{S}}\|x-z\|$. So $T S: X \rightarrow \mathscr{S}$ is a linear projection, which gives the linear approximation property of $\mathscr{S}$.
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): If $\mathscr{S}$ has the linear approximation property, let $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathscr{S}$ be such that

$$
\|x-\pi(x)\|=\inf _{z \in \mathscr{S}}\|x-z\| .
$$

Let $U$ be any g-inverse of $T$. If we define

$$
S(x)=U \pi(x) \quad \text { for all } \quad x \in X
$$

then

$$
\|x-\operatorname{TS}(x)\|=\|x-T U \pi(x)\|=\|x-\pi(x)\|=\inf _{z \in \mathscr{S}}\|x-z\|
$$

because $T U$ is the identity on $\mathscr{S}$. So $S$ is an approximate $\|\cdot\|$ inverse of $T$.

Theorem 2. Let $T: X \rightarrow Y$ be a linear transformation and $\|\cdot\|$ be a norm on $X$. Let $\mathscr{S}=T^{-1}(\{0\})$, the kernel of $T$. Then the following are equivalent:
(i) $T$ has a $m\|\cdot\| i$.
(ii) $\mathscr{S}$ has the linear approximation property.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): Let $S$ be a $\mathrm{m}\|\cdot\|$ i of $T$. If $x_{0} \in X$, then all $x$ such that $T(x)=T\left(x_{0}\right)$ are given by $x+z$ for $z \in \mathscr{S}$. So, if $x \in X$,

$$
\|S T(x)\|=\inf _{z \in \mathscr{S}}\|x+z\|=\inf _{z \in \mathscr{S}}\|x-z\| .
$$

So, if we denote $I-S T$ by $\pi$, then

$$
\|S T(x)\|=\|x-\pi(x)\|=\inf _{z \in \mathscr{S}}\|x-z\|
$$

But $\pi=I-S T$ is a linear transformation from $X$ to $\mathscr{S}$, because $T((I-$ $S T)(x))=0$. This shows that $\mathscr{S}$ has the linear approximation property.
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): If $\mathscr{S}$ has the linear approximation property, let $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathscr{S}$ be such that

$$
\|x-\pi(x)\|=\inf _{z \in \mathscr{S}}\|x-z\|
$$

Let $U$ be any g-inverse of $T$. If we define

$$
S(y)=(I-\pi) U(y) \quad \text { for all } \quad y \in Y
$$

then

$$
\|S T(x)\|=\|(I-\pi) U T(x)\|=\inf _{z \in \mathscr{S}}\|U(T(x))-z\|=\inf _{z \in \mathscr{S} \mathscr{}}\|x-z\|
$$

the last equality follows because the sets $\{U(T(x))-z: z \in \mathscr{S}\}$ and $\{x-z: z \in \mathscr{P}\}$ are identical. This $S$ is a $m\|\cdot\|$ of $T$.

Remark 1. The above two theorems say that, for the existence of $\mathrm{m}\|\cdot\|$ i's or a $\|\cdot\|$ i's of $T$, the actual structure of $T$ is unimportant and only the linear approximation property of the kernel or the range has to be decided, as the case may be.

In [1], it was observed that the existence of a $m\|\cdot\| i$ of a matrix can be reduced to the existence of a $m\|\cdot\|$ of a matrix of the type [ $I A$ ], if the norm is permutation invariant. The following theorem relates the existence of a $m\|\cdot\| i$ to the existence of an $a\|\cdot\| i$ for an appropriate matrix if $\|\cdot\|$ is permutation invariant.

Theorem 3. Let $\|\cdot\|$ be a norm on $R_{n}$ which is permutation invariant. Then a $k \times n$ matrix [I A] has a $m\|\cdot\| i$ if and only if the $n \times(n-k)$ matrix $\binom{I}{-A}$ has an a $\|\cdot\| i$.

Proof. Observe that the null space of [ $I A$ ] is the same as the range space of $\binom{-A}{I}$, and use Theorems 1 and 2.

A more general result for any norm is the following.

## Theorem 4.

(a) Let $A$ be an $m \times n$ matrix and $\|\cdot\|$ be a norm on $R^{n}$. Let $G$ be a $g$-inverse of $A$. Then A has a $m\|\cdot\|$ if and only if the $n \times n$ matrix $I-G A$ has an a\| $\cdot \| i$.
(b) Let $A$ be an $m \times n$ matrix and $\|\cdot\|$ be a norm on $R^{m}$. Let $G$ be a $g$-inverse of $A$. Then A has an $a\|\cdot\| i$ if and only if the $m \times m$ matrix $I-A G$ has a $m\|\cdot\| i$.

Proof. For (a) observe that the null space of $A$ is same as the range space of $I-G A$, and for (b) observe that the range space of $A$ is same as the null space of $I-A G$.

Using both the parts (a) and (b) of the above theorem, we get

Theorem 5. Let $G$ be a g-inverse of $A$. Then
(i) A has a $m\|\cdot\| i$ if and only if GA has a $m\|\cdot\| i$.
(ii) A has an a\|•\|i if and only if $A G$ has an a\| $\cdot \|$ i.

Remark 2. The above results say that any result about $m\|\cdot\|$ 's can be translated to a result about a $\|\cdot\|$ i's and vice-versa, for the same norm.

The present paper and two earlier papers in this sequence grew out of $a$ conversation the author had with Professor C. R. Rao some years ago.
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