On Joint Eigenvalues of Commuting Matrices

R. Bhatia * L. Elsner[†]

September 28, 1994

Abstract

A spectral radius formula for commuting tuples of operators has been proved in recent years. We obtain an analog for all the joint eigenvalues of a commuting tuple of matrices. For a single matrix this reduces to an old result of Yamamoto.

1 Introduction, formulation of the result

Let $T = (T_1, \ldots, T_s)$ be an s-tuple of complex $d \times d$ -matrices. The joint spectrum $\sigma_{pt}(T)$ is the set of all points $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_s) \in C^s$ (called joint eigenvalues) for which there exists a nonzero vector $x \in C^d$ (called joint eigenvector) satisfying

$$T_j x = \lambda_j x \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, s.$$
(1)

If the T'_is are commuting then $\sigma_{pt}(T) \neq \emptyset$. The joint spectrum can be read off the diagonal of the common triangular form: There exists a unitary $d \times d$ - matrix U such that

$$U^{H}T_{j}U = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{1}^{(j)} & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & \lambda_{2}^{(j)} & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda_{d}^{(j)} \end{pmatrix} \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, s.$$
(2)

^{*}Indian Statistical Institute, Delhi centre, 7, SJS Sansanwal Marg, New Delhi 110016, India. Supported by Sonderforschungsbereich 343 "Diskrete Modelle in der Mathematik".

[†]Fakultät für Mathematik, Universität Bielefeld, Postfach 100131, D-33501 Bielefeld, Germany.

Then

$$\sigma_{pt}(T) = \{\lambda_i = (\lambda_i^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda_i^{(s)}) : i = 1, \dots, d\}.$$

We order the joint eigenvalues according to their norms

$$||\lambda_1|| \ge \ldots \ge ||\lambda_d||. \tag{3}$$

Here ||.|| denotes the Euclidean norm in C^r and will later on also denote the associated operator norm for matrices. We omit the reference to the dimensions.

The s-tuple T can be identified with a linear operator mapping C^d into C^{sd} . If $S = (S_1, \ldots, S_m)$ is another m-tuple of $d \times d$ -matrices, we define as TS the sm-tuple of matrices, whose entries are T_iS_j , $i = 1, \ldots, s, j = 1, \ldots, m$, ordered lexicographically. Continuing in this way we define T^m , consisting of s^m entries, each of which is a product of m of the T'_is . Identifying again T^m with an operator mapping C^d into C^{s^md} , T^m has d singular values

$$s_1(T^m) \ge s_2(T^m) \ge \ldots \ge s_d(T^m).$$
(4)

In this note we will prove

Theorem 1 For any s-tuple $T = (T_1, \ldots, T_s)$ of commuting $d \times d$ -matrices

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} (s_j(T^m))^{\frac{1}{m}} = ||\lambda_j|| \qquad j = 1, \dots, d.$$
(5)

For j = 1 this has been proved in [2], hence we know

$$||\lambda_1|| = \lim_{m \to \infty} (s_1(T^m))^{\frac{1}{m}}.$$
(6)

We also remark that (6) has been proved in [1] for l_p -norms and in [5] for infinite-dimensional Hilbert spaces. If s = 1 then T^m is the usual m-th power of $T = T_1$, and the joint spectrum is the usual spectrum. For this case (5) has been proved by Yamamoto [6], who showed that for a $d \times d$ -matrix T with eigenvalues λ_i ordered according to their moduli

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} (s_j(T^m))^{\frac{1}{m}} = |\lambda_j| \qquad j = 1, \dots, d.$$
(7)

We will prove Theorem 1 in the following section.

2 Proof of the Theorem

It is convenient to introduce a Kronecker-type matrix product " $\tilde{\otimes}$ " in the following way:

Let A and B be two (r,s) and (t,u) block matrices

$$A = (A_{ij})_{i=1,...,r, j=1,...,s} \quad B = (B_{ij})_{i=1,...,t, j=1,...,u}$$

where the A_{ij} and B_{ij} are $d \times d$ matrices. Define

$$A_{ij}B = (A_{ij}B_{kl})_{k=1,...,t,-l=1,...,u}$$

and the $rt \times su$ - block matrix

$$A\tilde{\otimes}B = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11}B & \dots & A_{1s}B\\ \vdots & & \vdots\\ A_{r1}B & \dots & A_{rs}B \end{pmatrix}$$
(8)

of dimension $rtd \times sud$. This product is associative. For d = 1 this is the usual Kronecker product, which we will denote by " \otimes ", following the customary notation (see e.g. [4]). Except for d = 1 however $A \otimes B$ is different from $A \otimes B$ which is an $rtd^2 \times sud^2$ matrix. So the product depends on d. However in order to avoid an overload of indices and as we keep d fixed throughout, we refrained from stressing this fact in the notation.

The main relation for \otimes carries over to $\tilde{\otimes}$, namely

$$(A\tilde{\otimes}B)(C\tilde{\otimes}D) = AC\tilde{\otimes}BD \tag{9}$$

if all the blocks in B commute with those in C, and the dimensions are fitting. For this it suffices that AC and BD can be formed. We observe that T^m , as defined in the first section, has the representation

$$T^m = T\tilde{\otimes}\dots\tilde{\otimes}T$$

as the m-fold product of T with itself.

First we show that we can transform T to a simpler form without changing the magnitudes involved in (5). Then we prove the Theorem for this simple form using (6) and (7).

Let S be a nonsingular $d \times d$ - matrix,

$$T_i = ST_iS^{-1} \qquad i = 1, \dots, s,$$

$$\tilde{T} = (\tilde{T}_1, \dots, \tilde{T}_s)$$

Obviously the $\tilde{T}'_i s$ commute too, and $\sigma_{pt}(\tilde{T}) = \sigma_{pt}(T)$. We show

$$s_i(\tilde{T}^m) \le ||S|| \, ||S^{-1}|| s_i(T^m) \qquad i = 1, \dots, d,$$
 (10)

which implies that the lefthand side of (5) is not changed if we replace T^m by \tilde{T}^m .

 T^m consists of s^m blocks of $d \times d$ matrices $C_i, i = 1, \ldots, s^m$, each of which is a product of m of the $T'_i s$. Hence the corresponding block \tilde{C}_i of \tilde{T}^m satisfies $\tilde{C}_i = SC_iS^{-1}$. Thus

$$(\tilde{T}^m)^H \tilde{T}^m = \sum_{i=1}^{s^m} \tilde{C}_i^H \tilde{C}_i$$
(11)

$$= (S^{-1})^{H} (\sum_{i=1}^{s^{m}} C_{i}^{H} S^{H} S C_{i}) S^{-1}$$
(12)

$$\leq ||S||^{2} (S^{-1})^{H} (T^{m})^{H} T^{m} S^{-1}$$
(13)

Here " \leq " is the Loewner partial ordering. Let $z \in C^d$ and x = Sz. The last inequality implies

$$\frac{x^{H}(\tilde{T}^{m})^{H}\tilde{T}^{m}x}{x^{H}x} \le ||S||^{2}||S^{-1}||^{2}\frac{z^{H}(T^{m})^{H}T^{m}z}{z^{H}z}.$$
(14)

Using the Courant-Fischer representation of the eigenvalues $\mu_1 \geq \ldots \geq \mu_d$ of a hermitean $d \times d$ matrix B (e.g. [4])

$$\mu_i = \min_{dimV = d+1-i} \max_{x \in V, x \neq 0} \frac{x^H B x}{x^H x}$$

for $B = (\tilde{T}^m)^H \tilde{T}^m$ and then for $B = (T^m)^H T^m$ and taking (14) into account, (10) follows.

Another transformation of T which doesn't change the numbers $||\lambda_i||$ is the following:

Given a unitary $s \times s$ -matrix $U = (u_{ij})$, let $W = U \otimes I_d$, where I_d is the unit matrix of dimension d, and

$$\hat{T} = WT, \tag{15}$$

i.e.

$$\hat{T}_i = \sum_{j=1}^s u_{ij} T_j \quad i = 1, \dots, s$$

and

Then it is obvious that the joint spectrum of \hat{T} is given by the vectors $\hat{\lambda}_i = U\lambda_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, d$, where $\lambda_i \in \sigma_{pt}(T)$. Hence $||\hat{\lambda}_i|| = ||\lambda_i||$, $i = 1, \ldots, d$. Also by using (9) we get

$$\hat{T}^m = (WT)\tilde{\otimes}\dots\tilde{\otimes}(WT) \tag{16}$$

$$= (W\tilde{\otimes}\ldots\tilde{\otimes}W)(T\tilde{\otimes}\ldots\tilde{\otimes}T)$$
(17)

$$=: W^{(m)}T^m.$$

$$(18)$$

Again by (9) we see that $W^{(m)}$ defined in the last eaquation is a unitary mapping of $C^{s^{m_d}}$ into itself, hence

$$s_i(\hat{T}^m) = s_i(T^m), \qquad i = 1, \dots, d.$$

Having now assembled our tools, we invoke a result in ([3], Vol.I, p. 224), by which there exists a nonsingular $d \times d$ - matrix S and positive integers s_1, \ldots, s_t with $\sum_{i=1}^t s_i = d$, such that

$$\tilde{T}_i = ST_iS^{-1} = diag(\tilde{T}_i^1, \dots, \tilde{T}_i^t) \quad i = 1, \dots, s,$$

where

$$\tilde{T}_{i}^{\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\lambda}_{i}^{\nu} & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & \ddots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \tilde{\lambda}_{i}^{\nu} \end{pmatrix} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, s \quad \nu = 1, \dots, t$$
(19)

is an $s_{\nu} \times s_{\nu}$ – matrix, upper triangular with constant diagonal. Observe that also $(\tilde{T}^m)^H \tilde{T}^m$ is block diagonal with $s_{\nu} \times s_{\nu}$ blocks. This shows that we have to prove (5) only for $T'_i s$ of the form (19). Clearly then $||\lambda_1|| = \ldots = ||\lambda_d||$. Also by applying a suitable transformation of the form (15), we can assume that T_2, \ldots, T_d have zero diagonals, while the diagonal of T_1 is $||\lambda_1||$.

Now from

$$(T^m)^H T^m \ge (T_1^m)^H T_1^m$$

we get

$$(s_1(T^m))^{\frac{1}{m}} \ge (s_i(T^m))^{\frac{1}{m}} \ge (s_d(T_1^m))^{\frac{1}{m}} \quad i = 1, \dots, d.$$

But the leftmost term converges to $||\lambda_1||$ by (6), while the rightmost term converges to $min|\lambda_i(T_1)| = ||\lambda_1||$ by (7). Hence (5) holds for $i = 1, \ldots, d$.

This finishes the proof.

5

References

- [1] R. Bhatia and T. Bhattacharyya On the joint spectral radius of commuting matrices *Preprint*
- [2] M. Cho and T. Huruya, On the joint spectral radius Proc. Roy. Irish Acad. Sect. A 91, 39-44(1991)
- [3] F.R. Gantmacher, The Theory of Matrices Chelsea, (1977)
- [4] M. Marcus and H. Minc A Survey of Matrix Theory and Matrix inequalities *Prindle*, Weber and Schmidt, Boston (1964)
- [5] V. Müller and A. Soltysiak Spectral radius formula for commuting Hilbert space operators Studia Mathematica 103(1992), 329-333
- [6] T. Yamamoto On the extreme values of the roots of matrices J. Math. Soc. Japan 19, 173-178 (1967)