# QUANTUM MARKOV PROCESSES WITH A CHRISTENSEN-EVANS GENERATOR IN A VON NEUMANN ALGEBRA 

K. R. PARTHASARATHY and K. B. SINHA

## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathscr{A}$ be a unital von Neumann algebra of operators on a complex separable Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}_{0}$, and let $\left\{T_{t}, t \geqslant 0\right\}$ be a uniformly continuous quantum dynamical semigroup of completely positive unital maps on $\mathscr{A}$. The infinitesimal generator $\mathscr{L}$ of $\left\{T_{t}\right\}$ is a bounded linear operator on the Banach space $\mathscr{A}$. For any Hilbert space $\mathscr{K}$, denote by $\mathscr{B}(\mathscr{K})$ the von Neumann algebra of all bounded operators on $\mathscr{K}$. Christensen and Evans [3] have shown that $\mathscr{L}$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{L}(X)=R^{*} \pi(X) R+K_{0}^{*} X+X K_{0}, \quad X \in \mathscr{A} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\pi$ is a representation of $\mathscr{A}$ in $\mathscr{B}(\mathscr{K})$ for some Hilbert space $\mathscr{K}, R: \mathscr{H}_{0} \rightarrow \mathscr{K}$ is a bounded operator satisfying the 'minimality' condition that the set $\{(R X-\pi(X) R) u$, $\left.u \in \mathscr{H}_{0}, X \in \mathscr{A}\right\}$ is total in $\mathscr{K}$, and $K_{0}$ is a fixed element of $\mathscr{A}$. The unitality of $\left\{T_{t}\right\}$ implies that $\mathscr{L}(1)=0$, and consequently $K_{0}=i H-\frac{1}{2} R^{*} R$, where $H$ is a hermitian element of $\mathscr{A}$. Thus (1.1) can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{L}(X)=i[H, X]-\frac{1}{2}\left(R^{*} R X+X R^{*} R-2 R^{*} \pi(X) R\right), \quad X \in \mathscr{A} . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We say that the quadruple ( $\mathscr{K}, \pi, R, H)$ constitutes the set of Christensen-Evans (CE) parameters which determine the CE generator $\mathscr{L}$ of the semigroup $\left\{T_{t}\right\}$. It is quite possible that another set $\left(\mathscr{K}^{\prime}, \pi^{\prime}, R^{\prime}, H^{\prime}\right)$ of CE parameters may determine the same generator $\mathscr{L}$. In such a case, we say that these two sets of CE parameters are equivalent. In Section 2 we study this equivalence relation in some detail.

It is known from $[\mathbf{1 , 2}$ ] that, corresponding to the quantum dynamical semigroup $\left\{T_{t}\right\}$, there exists, up to unitary equivalence, a unique minimal Markov flow $\left(\mathscr{H}, F_{t}, j_{t}\right)$, $t \geqslant 0$, satisfying the following properties. (1) $\mathscr{H}$ is a Hilbert space containing $\mathscr{H}_{0}$ as a subspace. (2) $\left\{F_{t}\right\}$ is an increasing family of projections in $\mathscr{H}$, increasing to 1 (the identity projection) in $\mathscr{H}$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, and $F_{0}$ is the projection on $\mathscr{H}_{0}$. (3) $j_{t}$ is a * homomorphism from $\mathscr{A}$ into $\mathscr{B}(\mathscr{H})$ such that $j_{0}(X)=X F_{0}, j_{t}(1)=F_{t}, F_{s} j_{t}(X) F_{s}=$ $j_{s}\left(T_{t-s}(X)\right)$ for all $s \leqslant t$, and the map $t \rightarrow j_{t}(X)$ is strongly continuous for each $X$ in $\mathscr{A}$. (4) The set

$$
\left\{j_{t_{1}}\left(X_{1}\right) j_{t_{2}}\left(X_{2}\right) \cdots j_{t_{n}}\left(X_{n}\right) u, u \in \mathscr{H}_{0}, t_{1}>t_{2}>\cdots>t_{n} \geqslant 0, n=1,2, \ldots, X_{j} \in \mathscr{A}\right\}
$$

is total in $\mathscr{H}$.
If we drop condition (4) in the preceding paragraph, then we say that $\left(\mathscr{H}, F_{t}, j_{t}\right)$ is a Markov dilation for the semigroup $\left\{T_{t}\right\}$ or, equivalently, the generator $\mathscr{L}$. In [1, 2], the construction of the minimal dilation was achieved on the basis of a full knowledge
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of the semigroup $\left\{T_{t}\right\}$ and an application of the GNS principle. However, it would be desirable to construct Markov dilations starting from $\mathscr{L}$ or some parameters (like the CE parameters) determining $\mathscr{L}$. In the simplest case, when $\mathscr{A}=\mathscr{B}\left(\mathscr{H}_{0}\right)$, the CE generator assumes the Lindblad form [8]:

$$
\mathscr{L}(X)=i[H, X]-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j}\left(L_{j}^{*} L_{j} X+X L_{j}^{*} L_{j}-2 L_{j}^{*} X L_{j}\right),
$$

where $H, L_{j} \in \mathscr{B}\left(\mathscr{H}_{0}\right), H$ is hermitian, and $\sum_{j} L_{j}^{*} L_{j}$ is a finite or strongly convergent countable sum. From the methods of quantum stochastic calculus $[\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{9}, \mathbf{1 1}]$, it is known how to construct Markov dilations of $\mathscr{L}$ by solving quantum stochastic differential equations (qsde) involving $H$ and the $L_{j}$ in its 'diffusion' coefficients [6, 10, 11]. However, even in this case, there does not seem to exist a procedure for constructing the minimal dilation starting from the parameters $H, L_{j}$. In Section 3 of this paper we start from the CE parameters in (1.2), and construct a Markov dilation for $\mathscr{L}$. The Markov process thus obtained turns out to be a Poisson imbedding of a discrete time quantum Markov chain, but looked at in an 'interaction' picture. The idea of an interaction picture of a quantum diffusion goes back to [4], [5] and [7].

The Markov dilation presented here depends very much on the parameters $(\mathscr{K}, \pi, R, H)$ which determine $\mathscr{L}$ through (1.2). It should be interesting to explore the connection between the dilations determined by different parametrizations for the same generator $\mathscr{L}$.

## 2. An equivalence relation for the Christensen-Evans parameters

Let $\mathscr{H}_{0}, \mathscr{A}, \mathscr{L}$ be as in Section 1, and let $\left(\mathscr{K}_{j}, \pi_{j}, R_{j}, H_{j}\right), j=1,2$, be two quadruples determining the same CE generator $\mathscr{L}$ via (1.2), so that $H_{j}, R_{j}^{*} R_{j} \in \mathscr{A}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{L}(X)=i\left[H_{j}, X\right]-\frac{1}{2}\left(R_{j}^{*} R_{j} X+X R_{j}^{*} R_{j}-2 R_{j}^{*} \pi(X) R_{j}\right), \quad X \in \mathscr{A}, j=1,2 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote by $\mathscr{A}^{\prime}$ the commutant of $\mathscr{A}$ in $\mathscr{B}\left(\mathscr{H}_{0}\right)$.
Proposition 2.1. There exists a unitary isomorphism $\Gamma: \mathscr{K}_{1} \rightarrow \mathscr{K}_{2}$ such that, for all $X \in \mathscr{A}$, the following hold:
(1) $\Gamma \pi_{1}(X)=\pi_{2}(X) \Gamma$;
(2) $\left(\Gamma^{*} R_{2}-R_{1}\right) X=\pi_{1}(X)\left(\Gamma^{*} R_{2}-R_{1}\right)$.

Proof. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{j}(X)=R_{j} X-\pi_{j}(X) R_{j}, \quad X \in \mathscr{A}, j=1,2 . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By elementary algebra, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{j}(X)^{*} \delta_{j}(Y)=\mathscr{L}\left(X^{*} Y\right)-X^{*} \mathscr{L}(Y)-\mathscr{L}\left(X^{*}\right) Y, \quad X, Y \in \mathscr{A}, j=1,2 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathscr{L}$ satisfies (2.1). By the definition of the CE parameters, the set $\left\{\delta_{j}(X) u, u \in \mathscr{H}_{0}\right.$, $X \in \mathscr{A}\}$ is total in $\mathscr{K}_{j}$. Hence (2.3) implies that the correspondence $\delta_{1}(X) u \rightarrow \delta_{2}(X) u$ is scalar product preserving, and there exists a unique unitary isomorphism $\Gamma: \mathscr{K}_{1} \rightarrow \mathscr{K}_{2}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma \delta_{1}(X)=\delta_{2}(X), \quad X \in \mathscr{A} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing $X$ by $X Y$ and using the relation $\delta_{j}(X Y)=\delta_{j}(X) Y+\pi_{j}(X) \delta_{j}(Y)$ for all $X, Y$ in $\mathscr{A}$, we obtain from (2.4) the relation $\Gamma \pi_{1}(X) \delta_{1}(Y)=\pi_{2}(X) \Gamma \delta_{1}(Y)$, which proves property (1) of the proposition.

Substituting for $\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}$ in (2.4) from (2.2), and using property (1), we obtain property (2).

Proposition 2.2. Let $\Gamma$ be as in Proposition 2.1. Then there exist $C \in \mathscr{A}, D \in \mathscr{A}^{\prime}$, $Z \in \mathscr{A} \cap \mathscr{A}^{\prime}$ such that:
(1) $R_{2}^{*} \Gamma R_{1}=C+D$;
(2) $H_{2}-H_{1}=\frac{1}{2} i\left(C^{*}-C\right)+Z$.

Proof. Write $L=\Gamma^{*} R_{2}-R_{1}$. From the remarks at the beginning of this section, we know that $R_{j}^{*} \pi_{j}(X) R_{j} \in \mathscr{A}, j=1,2$, for all $X$ in $\mathscr{A}$. We have, from Proposition 2.1,

$$
\left(\Gamma\left(R_{1}+L\right)\right)^{*} \pi_{2}(X) \Gamma\left(R_{1}+L\right)=R_{1}^{*} \pi_{1}(X) R_{1}+L^{*} L X+R_{1}^{*} L X+X L^{*} R_{1}
$$

so

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{*} L X+R_{1}^{*} L X+X L^{*} R_{1} \in \mathscr{A} \text { for all } X \in \mathscr{A} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.1) and Proposition 2.1, we also have

$$
\begin{gathered}
i\left[H_{1}, X\right]-\frac{1}{2}\left(R_{1}^{*} R_{1} X+X R_{1}^{*} R_{1}-2 R_{1}^{*} \pi_{1}(X) R_{1}\right) \\
=i\left[H_{2}, X\right]-\frac{1}{2}\left(\left(R_{1}+L\right)^{*}\left(R_{1}+L\right) X+X\left(R_{1}+L\right)^{*}\left(R_{1}+L\right)-2\left(R_{1}+L\right)^{*} \pi_{1}(X)\left(R_{1}+L\right)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

which simplifies to

$$
i\left[H_{1}-H_{2}, X\right]=\frac{1}{2}\left[R_{1}^{*} L-L^{*} R_{1}, X\right], \quad X \in \mathscr{A}
$$

Since every derivation of $\mathscr{A}$ is inner and $H_{1}-H_{2} \in \mathscr{A}$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{2}=H_{1}+\frac{1}{2} i\left(R_{1}^{*} L-L^{*} R_{1}\right)+B \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B=B^{*} \in \mathscr{A}^{\prime}$.
Substituting for $L$ in (2.5), we conclude that $\left[R_{2}^{*} \Gamma R_{1}, X\right] \in \mathscr{A}$, and hence, by the same argument as above, $R_{2}^{*} \Gamma R_{1}$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{2}^{*} \Gamma R_{1}=C+D, \quad C \in \mathscr{A}, D \in \mathscr{A}^{\prime} . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting for $L$ in (2.6), we conclude that

$$
H_{2}-H_{1}-\frac{1}{2} i\left\{R_{1}^{*}\left(\Gamma^{*} R_{2}-R_{1}\right)-\left(R_{2}^{*} \Gamma-R_{1}^{*}\right) R_{1}\right\} \in \mathscr{A}^{\prime} .
$$

Now (2.7) implies that $H_{2}-H_{1}-\frac{1}{2} i\left(C^{*}-C\right) \in \mathscr{A} \cap \mathscr{A}^{\prime}$, which together with (2.7) completes the proof.

Theorem 2.3. Two CE quadruples $\left(\mathscr{K}_{j}, \pi_{j}, R_{j}, H_{j}\right), j=1,2$, determine the same $C E$ generator $\mathscr{L}$ if and only if there exist a unitary isomorphism $\Gamma: \mathscr{K}_{1} \rightarrow \mathscr{K}_{2}$, and elements $C \in \mathscr{A}, D \in \mathscr{A}^{\prime}, Z=Z^{*} \in \mathscr{A} \cap \mathscr{A}^{\prime}$ such that:
(1) $\Gamma \pi_{1}(X)=\pi_{2}(X) \Gamma$;
(2) $\left(\Gamma^{*} R_{2}-R_{1}\right) X=\pi_{1}(X)\left(\Gamma^{*} R_{2}-R_{1}\right)$;
(3) $R_{2}^{*} \Gamma R_{1}=C+D$;
(4) $H_{2}-H_{1}=\frac{1}{2} i\left(C^{*}-C\right)+Z$.

Proof. Propositions 2.1 and 2.2 imply the 'only if' part. To prove the converse, consider $\Gamma, C, D, Z$ satisfying conditions (1)-(4), and the CE generators $\mathscr{L}_{j}$ defined by

$$
\mathscr{L}_{j}(X)=i\left[H_{j}, X\right]-\frac{1}{2}\left(R_{j}^{*} R_{j} X+X R_{j}^{*} R_{j}-2 R_{j}^{*} \pi_{j}(X) R_{j}\right), \quad X \in \mathscr{A}, j=1,2 .
$$

Write $L=\Gamma^{*} R_{2}-R_{1}$, so that $L X=\pi_{1}(X) L$ and $R_{2}=\Gamma\left(R_{1}+L\right)$. Then, substituting
for $H_{2}, R_{2}$ and $\pi_{2}$ from (1)-(4) in $\mathscr{L}_{2}(X)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{L}_{2}(X)= & i\left[H_{1}, X\right]-\frac{1}{2}\left[C^{*}-C, X\right] \\
& -\frac{1}{2}\left\{\left(R_{1}+L\right)^{*}\left(R_{1}+L\right) X+X\left(R_{1}+L\right)^{*}\left(R_{1}+L\right)-2\left(R_{1}+L\right)^{*} \pi_{1}(X)\left(R_{1}+L\right)\right\} \\
= & \mathscr{L}_{1}(X)-\frac{1}{2}\left[C^{*}-C-R_{1}^{*} L+L^{*} R_{1}, X\right] \\
= & \mathscr{L}_{1}(X)-\frac{1}{2}\left[C^{*}-C-R_{1}^{*} \Gamma^{*} R_{2}+R_{2}^{*} \Gamma R_{1}, X\right] \\
= & \mathscr{L}_{1}(X)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $X \in \mathscr{A}$.
For constructing Markov dilations, it is useful to modify the CE parametrization. To this end, we prove the following result.

ThEOREM 2.4. Let $\mathscr{L}$ be the generator of a conservative and uniformly continuous quantum dynamical semigroup on a von Neumann algebra $\mathscr{A} \subset \mathscr{B}\left(\mathscr{H}_{0}\right)$. Then there exist a unital completely positive map $\Psi: \mathscr{A} \rightarrow \mathscr{A}$, a positive element $K \in \mathscr{A}$, and a hermitian element $H \in \mathscr{A}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{L}(X)=i[H, X]-\frac{1}{2}\left(K^{2} X+X K^{2}-2 K \Psi(X) K\right), \quad X \in \mathscr{A} . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. In (1.2), put $K=\left(R^{*} R\right)^{1 / 2}$ and consider the polar decomposition $R=V K$, where $V$ is an isometry from the closure of the range of $K$ in $\mathscr{H}_{0}$ onto the closure of the range of $R$ in $\mathscr{K}$. Denoting by $P$ the projection on the closure of the range of $K$ in $\mathscr{H}_{0}$, we see that
where

$$
R^{*} \pi(X) R=K P V^{*} \pi(X) V P K=K \Psi_{0}(X) K
$$

Clearly, $\Psi_{0}$ is a contractive completely positive map satisfying $\Psi_{0}(1)=P$. Now $\mathscr{L}$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{L}(X)=i[H, X]-\frac{1}{2}\left(K^{2} X+X K^{2}-2 K \Psi_{0}(X) K\right), \quad X \in \mathscr{A} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\mathscr{L}(X), H, K \in \mathscr{A}$, it follows that $K \Psi_{0}(X) K \in \mathscr{A}$ for all $X$ in $\mathscr{A}$. Hence $K^{m} \Psi_{0}(X) K^{n} \in \mathscr{A}$ for $m, n \geqslant 1$. Thus for any two polynomials $p, q$ such that $p(0)=$ $q(0)=0$, it follows that $p(K) \Psi_{0}(X) q(K) \in \mathscr{A}$. Hence for any two continuous functions $\varphi, \psi$ on $[0, \infty)$ satisfying $\varphi(0)=\psi(0)=0$, we have $\varphi(K) \Psi_{0}(X) \psi(K) \in \mathscr{A}$. Define

$$
\varphi_{n}(x)= \begin{cases}n x & \text { if } 0 \leqslant x<1 / n \\ 1 & \text { if } x \geqslant 1 / n\end{cases}
$$

and observe that

$$
w \cdot \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{n}(K) \Psi_{0}(X) \varphi_{n}(K)=P \Psi_{0}(X) P=\Psi_{0}(X) \in \mathscr{A}
$$

Define

$$
\Psi(X)=\Psi_{0}(X)+(1-P) X(1-P)
$$

Then $\Psi$ is a unital completely positive map from $\mathscr{A}$ into itself, and $\mathscr{L}$ assumes the form (2.8).

Remark. Our construction of a Markov dilation for $\mathscr{L}$ in the next section depends on the discrete time quantum Markov chain defined by the unital completely positive map $\Psi$ on $\mathscr{A}$. It should be interesting to know the exact relationship between the parameter triples $(H, K, \Psi)$ and $\left(H^{\prime}, K^{\prime}, \Psi^{\prime}\right)$ which determine the same $\mathscr{L}$ according to (2.8) in Theorem 2.4.

## 3. A Markov dilation for the semigroup $e^{t \mathscr{L}}$

We consider a CE generator $\mathscr{L}$ expressed in the form (2.8) of Theorem 2.4 in terms of the parameters $H, K, \Psi$. Since $\Psi$ is a unital completely positive map on $\mathscr{A}$, it follows from $[\mathbf{1 , 2}$ ] that there exists a unique (up to unitary equivalence) minimal discrete time Markov dilation $\left(\mathscr{H}, F_{n}, j_{n}\right), n=0,1,2, \ldots$, where $\mathscr{H}$ is a Hilbert space containing $\mathscr{H}_{0}$ as a subspace, $\left\{F_{n}\right\}$ is an increasing sequence of projections in $\mathscr{H}, F_{0}$ is the projection on $\mathscr{H}_{0}, s \cdot \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{n}=1$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
F_{m} j_{n}(X) F_{m}=j_{m}\left(\Psi^{n-m}(X)\right), \quad X \in \mathscr{A}, 0 \leqslant m \leqslant n<\infty, \\
j_{0}(X)=X F_{0}
\end{gathered}
$$

and $\left\{j_{n}\left(X_{n}\right) j_{n-1}\left(X_{n-1}\right) \cdots j_{0}\left(X_{0}\right) u, X_{i} \in \mathscr{A}, n=0,1,2, \ldots, u \in \mathscr{H}_{0}\right\}$ is total in $\mathscr{H}$.
Our strategy for constructing the dilation for $\mathscr{L}$ will be to imbed $\left(\mathscr{H}, F_{n}, j_{n}\right)$ in a quantum version of the Poisson process and look at it in an appropriate interaction picture. To this end, we introduce the boson Fock space $\Gamma\left(L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)\right)$, and consider the Poisson process $\{N(t)\}$, where $N(t)$ is a selfadjoint operator realized as the closure of $A^{\dagger}(t)+\Lambda(t)+A(t)+t$ on the domain of exponential vectors, $A^{\dagger}, \Lambda, A$ being the creation, conservation and annihilation processes of quantum stochastic calculus. We write (forgoing rigour) $N(t)=A^{\dagger}(t)+\Lambda(t)+A(t)+t$, with the convention that 1 denotes the identity operator, and a scalar times the identity operator is denoted by the scalar itself. We now make the Poisson imbedding of the discrete time chain by putting $\tilde{\mathscr{H}}=\mathscr{H} \otimes \Gamma\left(L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)\right)$and defining

$$
j_{N(t)}(X):=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} j_{n}(X) \otimes 1_{\{n\}}(N(t)),
$$

where $1_{\{n\}}$ denotes the indicator of the singleton $\{n\}$ in $\mathbb{R}$. We have used the fact that $N(t)$ has spectrum $\{0,1,2, \ldots\}$ for $t>0$, and $N(0)=0$.

Proposition 3.1. Let $F_{N(t)}=j_{N(t)}(1)$. Then:
(i) $F_{N(0)}=F_{0} \otimes 1_{\Gamma\left(L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)\right)}$;
(ii) $F_{N(s)} \leqslant F_{N(t)}$ for all $0 \leqslant s \leqslant t<\infty$;
(iii) $s \cdot \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} F_{N(t)}=1_{\tilde{\mathscr{H}}}$.

Proof. (i) is obvious since $N(0)=0$. To prove (ii), we first observe that $N(t)=N(s)+N(t)-N(s)$, where $N(s)$ and $N(t)-N(s)$ are ampliations of operators in $\Gamma\left(L^{2}[0, s]\right)$ and $\Gamma\left(L^{2}[s, t]\right)$, respectively, in the factorization

$$
\Gamma\left(L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)\right)=\Gamma\left(L^{2}[0, s]\right) \otimes \Gamma\left(L^{2}[s, t]\right) \otimes \Gamma\left(L^{2}[t, \infty)\right)
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{N(t)} & =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_{n} \otimes 1_{\{n\}}(N(t)) \\
& =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_{n} \otimes \sum_{j=0}^{n} 1_{\{j\}}(N(s)) \otimes 1_{\{n-j\}}(N(t)-N(s)) \\
& =\sum_{j \geqslant 0, k \geqslant 0} F_{j+k} \otimes 1_{\{j\}}(N(s)) \otimes 1_{\{k\}}(N(t)-N(s)) \\
& \geqslant \sum_{j \geqslant 0, k \geqslant 0} F_{j} \otimes 1_{\{j\}}(N(s)) \otimes 1_{\{k\}}(N(t)-N(s)) \\
& =F_{N(s)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves (ii). Finally,

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{N(t)} & =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_{n} \otimes\left(1_{\{n, n+1, \ldots\}}(N(t))-1_{\{n+1, n+2, \ldots\}}(N(t))\right) \\
& =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(F_{n}-F_{n-1}\right) \otimes\left(1-1_{\{0,1,2, \ldots, n-1\}}(N(t))\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By the isomorphism [11] between $\Gamma\left(L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)\right)$and the $L^{2}$ space with respect to the probability measure of the Poisson process of unit intensity, and the fact that $N(t)$ viewed as a Poisson random variable tends to $\infty$ with probability 1 as $t \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that

$$
s \cdot \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} F_{N(t)}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(F_{n}-F_{n-1}\right) \otimes 1_{\Gamma\left(L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)\right)}=1_{\tilde{\mathscr{H}}}
$$

In the von Neumann algebra $\mathscr{B}(\tilde{\mathscr{H}})$, we consider the Fock vacuum conditional expectation $\mathbb{E}_{t]}$ which is defined as follows. For any $X \in \mathscr{B}(\tilde{\mathscr{H}})$, consider the operator $X_{t}$ on $\mathscr{H} \otimes \Gamma\left(L^{2}[0, t]\right)$ defined by $\left\langle\varphi, X_{t} \psi\right\rangle=\left\langle\varphi \otimes \Omega_{[t}, X \psi \otimes \Omega_{[t}\right\rangle$, where $\Omega_{[t}$ is the Fock vacuum vector in $\Gamma\left(L^{2}[t, \infty)\right)$, and put $\mathbb{E}_{t]} X=X_{t} \otimes 1_{[t}$, where $1_{[t}$ is the identity operator in $\Gamma\left(L^{2}[t, \infty)\right)$.

Proposition 3.2. Let $F_{N(t)}, j_{N(t)}$ be as in Proposition 3.1. Then

$$
\mathbb{E}_{s]} F_{N(s)} j_{N(t)}(X) F_{N(s)}=j_{N(s)}\left(S_{t-s}(X)\right), \quad 0 \leqslant s \leqslant t<\infty, X \in \mathscr{A}
$$

where

$$
S_{t}(X)=e^{t(\Psi-\mathrm{id})}(X), \quad X \in \mathscr{A}
$$

id being the identity map on $\mathscr{A}$.
Proof. We have, from properties of the Poisson process $\{N(t)\}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{N(s)} j_{N(t)}(X) F_{N(s)} & =\sum_{n \geqslant 0} F_{n} \otimes 1_{\{n\}}(N(s)) \sum_{n \geqslant 0} j_{n}(X) \otimes 1_{\{n\}}(N(t)) \sum_{n \geqslant 0} F_{n} \otimes 1_{\{n\}}(N(s)) \\
& =\sum_{k, n \geqslant 0} F_{k} j_{n}(X) F_{k} \otimes 1_{\{k\}}(N(s)) 1_{\left\{n_{\}}\right\}}(N(t)) \\
& =\sum_{n \geqslant k \geqslant 0} F_{k} j_{n}(X) F_{k} \otimes 1_{\{k\}}(N(s)) 1_{\{n-k\}}(N(t)-N(s)) \\
& =\sum_{k \geqslant 0, n-k \geqslant 0} j_{k}\left(\Psi^{n-k}(X)\right) 1_{\left\{k k^{\prime}\right.}(N(s)) 1_{\{n-k\}}(N(t)-N(s)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, applying $\mathbb{E}_{s]}$ on both sides,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_{s]} F_{N(s)} j_{N(t)}(X) F_{N(s)} & =\sum_{k \geqslant \mathbf{0}, \ell \geqslant \mathbf{0}} j_{k}\left(\Psi^{\ell}(X)\right) 1_{\{k\}}(N(s)) e^{-(t-s)} \frac{(t-s)^{\ell}}{\ell!} \\
& =j_{N(s)}\left(e^{(t-s)(\Psi-\mathrm{id})}(X)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 3.3. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{j}_{t}(X)=j_{N(t)}(X) \otimes\left|\Omega_{[t}><\Omega_{[t}\right|, \\
& \tilde{F}_{t}=\tilde{j}_{t}(1)=F_{N(t)} \otimes\left|\Omega_{[t}><\Omega_{[t}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\left(\tilde{\mathscr{H}}, \tilde{F}_{t}, \tilde{j}_{t}\right), t \geqslant 0$, is a Markov dilation for the conservative quantum dynamical semigroup $\left\{e^{t(\Psi-\mathrm{id})}\right\}, t \geqslant 0$.

Proof. Immediate.

Proposition 3.4. Let $H, K$ be hermitian elements in $\mathscr{A}$. Then the quantum stochastic differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
d W(t)=\left\{j_{N(t)}(H)\left(d A^{\dagger}-d A\right)+j_{N(t)}\left(-i K-\frac{1}{2} H^{2}\right) d t\right\} W(t) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $W(0)=1$ admits a unique isometric solution $W(t)$.
Proof. The proof is along the same lines as in Section 4 of [4]. Write $W_{0}(t) \equiv 1$, and define iteratively

$$
W_{n}(t)=1+\int_{0}^{t}\left\{j_{N(s)}(H)\left(d A^{\dagger}-d A\right)+j_{N(s)}\left(-i K-\frac{1}{2} H^{2}\right) d s\right\} W_{n-1}(s)
$$

By the inequality (ii) of Proposition 27.1, page 222 of [11], we conclude that

$$
\sum_{n}\left\|\left(W_{n}(t)-W_{n-1}(t)\right) f e(u)\right\|<\infty
$$

for all $f \in \mathscr{H}$ and exponential vectors $e(u)$ in $\Gamma\left(L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)\right)$. This implies the convergence of $W_{n}(t) f e(u)$ in $\tilde{\mathscr{H}}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Denoting this limit by $W(t) f e(u)$, we obtain a solution of (3.1). A routine application of quantum Ito's formula implies the isometric property of $W(t)$. Uniqueness follows from the fact that any solution of (3.1) with initial value 0 is identically 0 .

Proposition 3.5. Let

$$
j_{N(t)+k}(X)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} j_{n+k}(X) \otimes 1_{\{n\}}(N(t)), \quad k \geqslant 0
$$

Then

$$
d j_{N(t)+k}(X)=\left(j_{N(t)+k+1}(X)-j_{N(t)+k}(X)\right) d N(t)
$$

Proof. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
d j_{N(t)+k}(X) & =\left\{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} j_{n+k}(X) \otimes\left(1_{\{n\}}(N(t)+1)-1_{\{n\}}(N(t))\right)\right\} d N(t) \\
& =\left\{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} j_{n+k}(X) \otimes 1_{\{n-1\}}(N(t))-j_{N(t)+k}(X)\right\} d N(t) \\
& =\left(j_{N(t)+k+1}(X)-j_{N(t)+k}(X)\right) d N(t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 3.6. The isometric process $\{W(t)\}$ of Proposition 3.4 is unitary.
Proof. Let $X(t)=1-W(t) W(t)^{*}$. Then $\{X(t)\}$ is a projection-valued Fock adapted process with initial value 0 . The proposition will be proved if we show that $d X(t)=0$. By a routine application of quantum Ito's formula and some algebra, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
d X(t)= & {\left[j_{N(t)}(H), X(t)\right]\left(d A^{\dagger}-d A\right)(t) } \\
& -\left[\left\{j_{N(t)}(i K), X(t)\right]+\frac{1}{2}\left[j_{N(t)}(H),\left[j_{N(t)}(H), X(t)\right]\right]\right\} d t . \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Define $P_{n}(t)=1_{\{n\}}(N(t))$, and observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d P_{0}(t)=-P_{0}(t) d N(t) \\
& d P_{n}(t)=\left(P_{n-1}(t)-P_{n}(t)\right) d N(t) \quad \text { if } n \geqslant 1
\end{aligned}
$$

This, together with (3.2), quantum Ito's formula and some tedious algebra, implies

$$
\begin{align*}
d P_{n} X P_{n}(t)= & \left(P_{n-1} X P_{n-1}-P_{n} X P_{n}\right)(t) d N(t) \\
& +P_{n-1}(t)\left[j_{N(t)}(H), X(t)\right] P_{n}(t) d A^{\dagger}(t)+P_{n}(t)\left[X(t), j_{N(t)}(H)\right] P_{n-1}(t) d A(t) \\
+ & \left\{P_{n-1}(t)\left[j_{N(t)}(H), X(t)\right] P_{n}(t)+P_{n}(t)\left[X(t), j_{N(t)}(H)\right] P_{n-1}(t)\right. \\
& \left.-P_{n}(t)\left(\left[j_{N(t)}(i K), X(t)\right]+\frac{1}{2}\left[j_{N(t)}(H),\left[j_{N(t)}(H), X(t)\right]\right]\right) P_{n}(t)\right\} d t . \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that operators and their ampliations to tensor products have been denoted by the same symbols. Since $P_{k}(t)$ and $j_{N(t)}(B)$ commute with each other, and $P_{k}(t) j_{N(t)}(B)$ $=j_{k}(B) P_{k}(t)=P_{k}(t) j_{k}(B)$ for any $B$ in $\mathscr{A}$, (3.3) can be expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
d P_{n} X P_{n}= & \left(P_{n-1} X P_{n-1}-P_{n} X P_{n}\right) d N \\
& +\left(j_{n-1}(H) P_{n-1} X P_{n}-P_{n-1} X P_{n} j_{n}(H)\right) d A^{\dagger} \\
& +\left(P_{n} X P_{n-1} j_{n-1}(H)-j_{n}(H) P_{n} X P_{n-1}\right) d A \\
& +\left\{j_{n-1}(H) P_{n-1} X P_{n}-P_{n-1} X P_{n} j_{n}(H)+P_{n} X P_{n-1} j_{n-1}(H)\right. \\
& \quad-j_{n}(H) P_{n} X P_{n-1}+\left[j_{n}(-i K), P_{n} X P_{n}\right] \\
& \left.\quad+\frac{1}{2}\left[j_{n}(H),\left[j_{n}(H), P_{n} X P_{n}\right]\right]\right\} d t . \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Putting $n=0$, we obtain

$$
d P_{0} X P_{0}=-P_{0} X P_{0} d N+\left\{\left[j_{0}(-i K), P_{0} X P_{0}\right]-\frac{1}{2}\left[j_{0}(H),\left[j_{0}(H), P_{0} X P_{0}\right]\right]\right\} d t
$$

This is a constant operator coefficient quantum stochastic differential equation (qsde) for $P_{0} X P_{0}$ with initial value 0 . Hence $\left(P_{0} X P_{0}\right)(t)=0$. Since $X(t)$ and $P_{0}(t)$ are projections, we conclude that $P_{0}(t) X(t)=X(t) P_{0}(t)=0$. Let us now make the induction hypothesis that $P_{n-1}(t) X(t)=X(t) P_{n-1}(t)=0$. Then (3.4) becomes

$$
d P_{n} X P_{n}=-P_{n} X P_{n} d N+\left\{\left[j_{n}(-i K), P_{n} X P_{n}\right]+\frac{1}{2}\left[j_{n}(H),\left[j_{n}(H), P_{n} X P_{n}\right]\right]\right\} d t
$$

which is once again a constant operator coefficient qsde for $P_{n} X P_{n}$ with initial value 0 . Hence $\left(P_{n} X P_{n}\right)(t)=0$, which implies that $P_{n}(t) X(t)=X(t) P_{n}(t)=0$. Thus $X(t) P_{n}(t)=0$ for every $n \geqslant 0$. Since $\sum_{n \geqslant 0} P_{n}(t)=1$, we conclude that $X(t) \equiv 0$.

Proposition 3.7. Let $\{W(t)\}$ be the unique unitary solution of the equation (3.1) in Proposition 3.4. Then, for any $X \in \mathscr{A}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& d W(t)^{*} j_{N(t)}(X) W(t) \\
& =W(t)^{*}\left\{\left(j_{N(t)+1}(X)-j_{N(t)}(X)\right) d N(t)+\left(j_{N(t)+1}(X) j_{N(t)}(H)-j_{N(t)}(H X)\right) d A^{\dagger}(t)\right. \\
& \quad+\left(j_{N(t)}(H) j_{N(t)+1}(X)-j_{N(t)}(X H)\right) d A(t) \\
& \quad+\left(j_{N(t)}\left(H \Psi(X) H-\frac{1}{2}\left(H^{2} X+X H^{2}\right)-H X-X H+i[K, X]\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left.\quad \quad+j_{N(t)+1}(X) j_{N(t)}(H)+j_{N(t)}(H) j_{N(t)+1}(X)\right) d t\right\} W(t) \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. This is immediate from Proposition 3.5 for the case $k=0$, equation (3.1), quantum Ito's formula, and the fact that

$$
\begin{aligned}
j_{N(t)}(H) j_{N(t)+1}(X) j_{N(t)}(H) & =j_{N(t)}(H) F_{N(t)} j_{N(t)+1}(X) F_{N(t)} j_{N(t)}(H) \\
& =j_{N(t)}(H \Psi(X) H)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 3.8. Let $W(t)$ be as in Proposition 3.7. Then

$$
F_{N(t)} W(t)=W(t) F_{N(t)} .
$$

Proof. Put $X=1$ in Proposition 3.7. Since $\Psi(1)=1$ and $F_{N(t)+1} \geqslant F_{N(t)}$, we have, from (3.5),

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(t)^{*} F_{N(t)} W(t)=F_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} W(s)^{*}\left(F_{N(s)+1}-F_{N(s)}\right) W(s) d N(s) . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, the differential equation for $W$ implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
W(t) & =1+\int_{0}^{t}\left\{j_{N(s)}(H)\left(d A^{\dagger}-d A\right)(s)+j_{N(s)}\left(-i K-\frac{1}{2} H^{2}\right) d s\right\} W(s) \\
& =1+F_{N(t)} \int_{0}^{t}\left\{j_{N(s)}(H)\left(d A^{\dagger}-d A\right)(s)+j_{N(s)}\left(-i K-\frac{1}{2} H^{2}\right) d s\right\} W(s) \\
& =1+F_{N(t)}(W(t)-1),
\end{aligned}
$$

or $W(t)=1-F_{N(t)}+F_{N(t)} W(t)$. Substituting this in the right-hand side of (3.6), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
W(t)^{*} F_{N(t)} W(t) & =F_{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left(F_{N(s)+1}-F_{N(s)}\right) d N(s) \\
& =F_{N(t)}
\end{aligned}
$$

by Proposition 3.5.
Proposition 3.9. Let $\{W(t)\}$ be as in Proposition 3.7. Then

$$
F_{N(s)} \mathbb{E}_{s]}\left(W(t)^{*} j_{N(t)}(X) W(t)\right) F_{N(s)}=W(s)^{*} j_{N(s)}\left(e^{(t-s) \cdot \mu}(X)\right) W(s)
$$

for all $X \in \mathscr{A}, 0 \leqslant s \leqslant t<\infty$, where

$$
\mathscr{M}(X)=i[K, X]-\frac{1}{2}\left((H+1)^{2} X+X(H+1)^{2}-2(H+1) \Psi(X)(H+1)\right)
$$

Proof. From Proposition 3.7 and basic quantum stochastic calculus, we have

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
\mathbb{E}_{s]} W & W(t) j^{*} j_{N(t)}(X) W(t) \\
= & W(s)^{*} j_{N(s)}(X) W(s) \\
& +\int_{s}^{t} \mathbb{E}_{s]} W(\tau)^{*}\left\{j_{N(\tau)}\left(H \Psi(X) H-\frac{1}{2}\left(H^{2} X+X H^{2}\right)-H X-X H+i[K, X]\right)\right. \\
\quad & \left.+j_{N(\tau)+1}(X) j_{N(\tau)}(H)+j_{N(\tau)}(H) j_{N(\tau)+1}(X)+j_{N(\tau+1)}(X)-j_{N(\tau)}(X)\right\} W(\tau) d \tau .
\end{array}
$$

Pre- and post-multiplying by $F_{N(s)}$ on both sides, noting that $F_{N(s)}=F_{N(s)} F_{N(\tau)}$ for $\tau \geqslant s$, and using Proposition 3.8, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{N(s)}\left\{\mathbb{E}_{s]} W(t)^{*} j_{N(t)}(X) W(t)\right\} F_{N(s)} \\
&= W(s)^{*} j_{N(s)}(X) W(s)+\int_{s}^{t} F_{N(s)} \mathbb{E}_{s]} W(\tau) * j_{N(\tau)}\left(H \Psi(X) H-\frac{1}{2}\left(H^{2} X+X H^{2}\right)\right. \\
&-H X-X H+i[K, X]+\Psi(X) H+H \Psi(X)+\Psi(X)-X) W(\tau) F_{N(s)} d \tau \\
&= W(s)^{*} j_{N(s)}(X) W(s)+\int_{s}^{t} F_{N(s)}\left\{\mathbb{E}_{s]} W(\tau)^{*} j_{N(\tau)}(\mathscr{M}(X)) W(\tau)\right\} F_{N(s)} d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now the result follows from general principles of ordinary differential equations.
Theorem 3.10. Let $\mathscr{L}$ be the Christensen-Evans generator of a uniformly continuous semigroup of unital completely positive maps on a unital von Neumann algebra $\mathscr{A} \subset \mathscr{B}\left(\mathscr{H}_{0}\right)$ given by

$$
\mathscr{L}(X)=i[K, X]-\frac{1}{2}\left(H^{2} X+X H^{2}-2 H \Psi(X) H\right), \quad X \in \mathscr{A}
$$

where $H$ and $K$ are hermitian elements in $\mathscr{A}, H \geqslant 0$, and $\Psi$ is a unital completely positive map on $\mathscr{A}$. Let $\left(\mathscr{H}, F_{n}, j_{n}\right), n \geqslant 0$, be a Markov dilation of the discrete semigroup $\left\{\Psi^{n}\right\}, n \geqslant 0$. Let $\tilde{\mathscr{H}}=\mathscr{H} \otimes \Gamma\left(L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)\right), N(t)=A^{\dagger}(t)+\Lambda(t)+A(t)+t$,

$$
\tilde{F}(t)=F_{N(t)}\left(1_{t]} \otimes\left|\Omega_{[t}><\Omega_{[t}\right|\right)
$$

where $1_{t]}$ is the identity operator in $\mathscr{H} \otimes \Gamma\left(L^{2}[0, t]\right)$ and $\Omega_{[t}$ is the Fock vacuum vector in $\Gamma\left(L^{2}[t, \infty)\right.$ ), and

$$
\tilde{j}_{t}(X)=W(t)^{*} j_{N(t)}(X) W(t)\left(1_{t]} \otimes\left|\Omega_{[t}><\Omega_{[t}\right|\right),
$$

where $\{W(t)\}$ is the unique unitary solution of the qsde

$$
d W(t)=\left\{j_{N(t)}(H-1)\left(d A^{\dagger}-d A\right)(t)-j_{N(t)}\left(i K+\frac{1}{2}(H-1)^{2}\right) d t\right\} W(t)
$$

with $W(0)=1$. Then $\left(\tilde{\mathscr{H}}, \tilde{F}(t), \tilde{j_{t}}\right), t \geqslant 0$, is a Markov dilation of the semigroup $\left\{e^{t \mathscr{L}}\right\}$, $t \geqslant 0$.

Proof. This is immediate from Proposition 3.9.
Remark. It is curious that a shift of $H$ by -1 is required in the equation for $W$ in order to construct the Poisson imbedding in the interaction picture for obtaining the dilating homomorphisms $\tilde{j}_{t}$. It is also to be noted that we have dealt with the case when no 'structure maps' in the sense of Evans and Hudson may be available for writing a flow equation for the required dilation.
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