

ON THE ESTIMATION OF THE SPECTRUM OF A STATIONARY  
STOCHASTIC PROCESS

By K. R. PARTHASARATHY

*Indian Statistical Institute, Calcutta*

1. Introduction. Recently many authors have been interested in the problem of estimating the spectral density function of a weakly stationary process. Under assumptions of linearity of the process and existence of derivatives of the spectral density, U. Grenander and M. Rosenblatt [1] have investigated the asymptotic behaviour of various estimates. E. Parzen [2] has investigated the asymptotic behaviour of different types of errors of the estimates under assumptions of fourth order stationarity and exponential or algebraic decrease of the covariance sequence.

In this paper, the problem of estimating the spectral distribution as well as the spectral density (if it exists) of a weakly stationary process is solved under the sole assumption that the sample covariances converge almost surely and in mean to the true covariances. The relevance of Bochner's work on Fourier analysis [3], in obtaining more exact expressions for the bias of estimates, is pointed out. The existence of estimates which converge uniformly strongly to the spectral density of the process is proved under the assumption that the density has an absolutely convergent Fourier series. It should be added that only questions of consistency are discussed here and, no attempt is made to derive the asymptotic distribution of the estimates.

2. Estimates of the Spectral Distribution Function.

*Definitions:* We suppose that  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N$  are observations at  $N$  consecutive time points on a discrete weakly stationary stochastic process

$$\{x_t\} (t = \dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots),$$

with the well-known spectral representation (cf. [1])

$$(2.1) \quad x_t = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{it\lambda} dZ(\lambda); \quad E x_t = 0; \quad \rho_s = \rho_{-s} = E x_t x_{t+s} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{it\lambda} dF(\lambda),$$

where  $Z(\lambda)$  is an orthogonal stochastic set function (cf. [1]) and  $F(\lambda)$  is a monotonic right continuous function in  $[-\pi, \pi]$ . It is easily seen that

$$(2.2) \quad \hat{\rho}_s = \hat{\rho}_{-s} = (x_1 x_{1+|s|} + \dots + x_{N-|s|} x_N) / (N - |s|)$$

is an unbiased estimate of  $\rho_s$ . We shall consider the following estimate of the spectral distribution:

$$(2.3) \quad \hat{F}_N(\lambda) = 1/2\pi \sum_{k=-N}^{+N} a_{k,N} (\hat{\rho}_k / ik) e^{ik\lambda},$$

Received July 20, 1969; revised January 19, 1970.

<sup>1</sup>  $\rightarrow$  implies the usual weak convergence of distributions.

where the term corresponding to  $k = 0$  is  $a_{0,N}(\lambda + \pi)$ , and the  $a_{k,N}$  are constants chosen such that the following conditions are satisfied:

- 1)  $a_{k,N} \rightarrow 1$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$  for each fixed  $k$ ,
- 2)  $a_{k,N} = a_{-k,N}$ ,
- 3)  $\hat{F}_N(\lambda)$  is a distribution function.

As is known from previous work [1], [2], it is advantageous to choose  $R(N) = \sqrt{N}$ . We shall now state, without proof, a theorem concerning the convergence of the estimates  $\hat{F}_N(\lambda)$ .

**THEOREM 2.1:** *If  $\{x_i\}$  is a weakly stationary process with a spectral distribution  $F(\lambda)$ , and the sample covariances converge almost surely to the true covariances, then  $P\{\hat{F}_N \rightarrow F\} = 1$ . If, however,  $F(\lambda)$  is continuous, then*

$$P\left\{\sup_{|\lambda| \leq \pi} |\hat{F}_N(\lambda) - F(\lambda)| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty\right\} = 1.$$

If, further, the sample covariances converge in mean to the true covariances, then

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} E \sup_{|\lambda| \leq \pi} |\hat{F}_N(\lambda) - F(\lambda)| = 0.$$

The first part of the theorem is contained in Doob [4]; the second part follows by an application of a theorem of Pólya to the effect that the weak convergence of a sequence of distributions to a continuous distribution implies uniform convergence; the last part follows from an easy computation.

*The choice of the constants  $a_{k,N}$ :* Our main object is to make a suitable choice of the constants  $a_{k,N}$ , and to examine the order of the bias, convergence, etc., of the estimates thus obtained. The method we use for this purpose is simply a Fourier analysis. It is based almost entirely on the work of Bochner [3]. We now state the main result of Bochner, in the form required here.

Let  $f(x)$  be a continuous periodic function with period  $2\pi$  and let

$$K(t) = \left(\frac{\sin t/2}{t/2}\right)^2, \quad M(r) = \int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} \left(\frac{\sin t/2}{t/2}\right)^{2r} dt,$$

$$K_r(t) = \frac{|K(t)|^r}{M(r)},$$

$$S_n^*(x) = \int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} f(x+t) R/r K_r(Rt/r) dt.$$

**THEOREM (BOCHNER):** *For any continuous periodic function,  $f(x)$ ,*

$$|S_n^*(x) - f(x)| = O[w(4r/R) + 4^{-r}],$$

where

$$w(x) = \max_{|s_1 - s_2| < x} |f(s_1) - f(s_2)|.$$

Write

$$(2.4) \quad f_N^*(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi N} \int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} \left| \sum_{i=1}^N x_i e^{i(\lambda+\mu)} \right|^2 \frac{R}{r} K_r \left( \frac{R\mu}{r} \right) d\mu$$

and

$$(2.5) \quad F_N^*(\lambda) = \int_{-\pi}^{\lambda} f_N^*(\lambda) d\lambda.$$

Then it is possible to write  $F_N^*(\lambda)$  as given in (2.3) and to show that all the required conditions are satisfied. Thus, by Theorem 2.1,  $F_N^*$  is a consistent estimator of  $F$  under very mild conditions. We now state a theorem concerning the bias of  $F_N^*$  as an estimate of the spectral distribution.

**THEOREM 2.2.** For a weakly stationary process,  $\{x_i\}$ , with a continuous spectral distribution,  $F$ , we have

$$\sup_{|\lambda| < \pi} |EF_N^*(\lambda) - F(\lambda)| = O[w(4r/R) + 4^{-r} + R/Nw(R^{-1})],$$

$$\text{where} \quad w(x) = \max_{|\lambda_1 - \lambda_2| < x} |G(\lambda_1) - G(\lambda_2)|, \quad x \leq 2\pi,$$

and

$$G(\lambda) = F(\lambda) - [(\lambda + \pi)/2\pi]c_0.$$

Since the above is an easy consequence of Bochner's theorem, the proof is omitted.

**COROLLARY:** If  $F(\lambda)$  satisfies Lipschitz's condition, i.e.

$$|F(\lambda_1) - F(\lambda_2)| < c|\lambda_1 - \lambda_2|,$$

where  $c$  is a constant, then  $w(x) < cx$  for any  $x > 0$ , and hence

$$\sup |EF_N^*(\lambda) - F(\lambda)| = O[r/R + 4^{-r} + ((R)^1/N)].$$

Thus, in order to obtain an asymptotically unbiased and consistent estimator of  $F$ , we have only to choose  $r$  and  $R$  such that  $r \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $R \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $r/R \rightarrow 0$  and  $R/N \rightarrow 0$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$  in  $F_N^*(\lambda)$ .

For Gaussian processes the following theorem can be easily proved.

**THEOREM 2.3.** For a Gaussian process with a square integrable spectral density we have

$$E \sup_{|\lambda| \leq \pi} |F_N^*(\lambda) - F(\lambda)| = O((\log R/N)^1) + w(4r/R) + 4^{-r}.$$

**3. Convergence of the Spectral Density.** In this section we shall discuss the choice of  $r$  and  $R$  so that the estimate  $f_N^*(\lambda)$  given in (2.4) converges (almost surely) uniformly to the spectral density of the process. Our choice will be such that  $r$  and  $R$  are not only functions of  $N$  but of the observations themselves. It should be noted that, even if  $r$  and  $R$  depend on the observations, Theorem 2.1 remains valid provided that  $r$  and  $R$  diverge to infinity with probability one

We require the following

LEMMA 3.1. For any weakly stationary process  $x_t$ , if  $\sum_1^N x_t^2/N$  is convergent with probability one as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , then, for  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$P\left[\sup_N \sup_{\epsilon \leq t \leq N^{1-\epsilon}} |\beta_t| < \infty\right] = 1,$$

where  $\beta_t$  is as in (2.2).

PROOF:

$$|\beta_t| = \left( \left| \sum_{i=1}^{N-t} x_i x_{i+t} \right| \right) / (N-t) \leq 1/(N-t) \left[ \left( \sum_1^{N-t} x_i^2 \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N-t} x_{i+t}^2 \right) \right]^{1/2},$$

so that

$$(3.1) \quad \sup_{\epsilon \leq t \leq N^{1-\epsilon}} |\beta_t| \leq 1/(N - N^{1-\epsilon}) \sum_1^N x_i^2 < [(\sum_1^N x_i^2)/N(1 - 2^{-\epsilon})]$$

for  $N \geq 2$ . Since by assumption  $(\sum_1^N x_i^2)/N$  converges, the expression on the right side of 3.1 is bounded with probability one. This completes the proof.

Our estimate of the spectral density function is

$$f_N^*(\lambda) = 1/2\pi N \int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} \left| \sum_{i=1}^N x_i e^{i(\lambda+\omega)} \right|^2 R/r K_r(Ru/r) du,$$

which can also be rewritten as

$$(3.2) \quad f_N^*(\lambda) = 1/2\pi \sum_{m=-N}^{+N} \varphi^*(rm/R)(1 - |m|/N)\beta_m e^{im\lambda},$$

where

$$\varphi^*(t) = \int e^{itx} K_r(x) dx.$$

We now prove the following

THEOREM 3.1. Let  $\{x_t\}$  be a weakly stationary process, with spectral density function  $f(\lambda)$  and covariance sequence  $\{\rho_k\}$ , which has the property that  $\sum_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\rho_k|$  is convergent. Suppose, further, that the sample variance and covariances converge almost surely, and in the  $L_1$  mean, to the true variance and covariances respectively. Then there exist  $R(N, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_N)$  and  $r(N, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_N)$  such that

$$\sup_{|\lambda| < \epsilon} |f_N^*(\lambda) - f(\lambda)| \rightarrow 0$$

almost surely as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .

PROOF:

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} f_N^*(\lambda) &= 1/2\pi \sum_{m=-N}^{+N} \varphi^*(rm/R)(1 - |m|/N)(\beta_m - \rho_m)e^{im\lambda} \\ &+ 1/2\pi \sum_{m=-N}^{+N} \varphi^*(rm/R)(1 - |m|/N)\rho_m e^{im\lambda} = S_1 + S_2, \text{ say.} \end{aligned}$$

For  $S_1$  we have

$$(3.4) \quad \sup_n |S_1| \leq 1/2\pi \sum_{-n}^{+n} |\beta_m - \rho_m| \leq 1/\pi \sum_0^{\#} |\beta_m - \rho_m| \\ \leq 1/\pi R^{1+\epsilon} \sum_1^{[N^{1-\epsilon}]} [ (|\beta_m - \rho_m|)/m^{1+\delta} ]$$

if  $R < [N^{1-\epsilon}]$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\delta > 0$ . Since for each  $m$ ,  $|\beta_m - \rho_m| \rightarrow 0$  with probability one, and by Lemma 3.1,  $\sum_1^{[N^{1-\epsilon}]} [ (|\beta_m - \rho_m|)/m^{1+\delta} ]$  is bounded, we get by Toeplitz's lemma [5] the following:

$$(3.5) \quad P[\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_1^{[N^{1-\epsilon}]} [ (|\beta_m - \rho_m|)/m^{1+\delta} ] \cdot 1/m^{1+\delta} = 0] = 1.$$

We choose  $R$  such that  $R \rightarrow \infty$ , with probability one,  $R < [N^{1-\epsilon}]$ , and

$$(3.6) \quad R = o \left[ \sum_1^{[N^{1-\epsilon}]} [ (|\beta_m - \rho_m|)/m^{1+\delta} ] \right]^{-1/(1+\delta)}$$

Then

$$P[\sup_{\lambda} |S_1| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty] = 1.$$

Turning to  $S_2$ , we have

$$(3.7) \quad S_2(\lambda) - f(\lambda) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} [f_N(\lambda + t) - f(\lambda)] R/r K_r(Rt/r) dt,$$

where

$$(3.8) \quad f_N(\lambda) = 1/2\pi \sum_{-N}^{+N} (1 - (|m|/N)) \rho_m e^{im\lambda}$$

is the  $N$ th Fejer mean of  $f(\lambda)$ . Since  $\sum_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\rho_n|$  is convergent,  $f(\lambda)$  is bounded and continuous. Since  $f(\lambda)$  is symmetric in  $\lambda$ ,  $f(x) = f(-x)$ . Hence, by Fejer's theorem,

$$(3.9) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{|\lambda| \leq \pi} |f_N(\lambda) - f(\lambda)| = 0.$$

From (3.7) we have

$$(3.10) \quad \sup_{\lambda} |S_2(\lambda) - f(\lambda)| \leq \sup_{\lambda} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |f_N(\lambda + t) - f(\lambda + t)| \\ (R/r) K_r(Rt/r) dt + \sup_{\lambda} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} [f(\lambda + t) - f(\lambda)] (R/r) K_r(Rt/r) dt$$

Since  $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (R/r) K_r(Rt/r) dt = 1$ , the first term on the right of (3.10) goes to zero as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . If we choose  $r$  such that  $r \rightarrow \infty$  and  $(r/R) \rightarrow 0$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , it is easily seen from Bochner's theorem, that the second term also goes to zero with probability one.

We remark that, if we choose  $rR = o(N)$  and  $r = o(R)$ , the theorems of U. Grenander and M. Rosenblatt [1] on the consistency of the spectral estimates for linear processes become applicable.

Finally, let us consider the behaviour of the periodogram of a stationary Gaussian process. It is well-known that the periodogram does not converge to any random variable as the sample size increases to infinity. However, the following theorem holds.

**THEOREM 3.2.** For a stationary Gaussian process with a spectral density  $f(\lambda)$  satisfying Lipschitz's condition,

$$P \left[ \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot \frac{\left| \sum_{t=1}^N x_t \cos t\lambda \right|^2}{2N \log \log N} + \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot \frac{\left| \sum_{t=1}^N x_t \sin t\lambda \right|^2}{2N \log \log N} = f(\lambda) \right] = 1.$$

The proof follows from the analyses of W. Feller [6] and G. Maruyama [7].

## REFERENCES

- [1] U. GRENANDER AND M. ROSENBLATT, *Statistical Analysis of Stationary Time Series*, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1967.
- [2] E. PARZEN, "On asymptotically efficient consistent estimates of the spectral density function of a stationary time series," *J. Roy. Stat. Soc., (Ser. B)*, Vol. 20 (1958), pp. 303-322.
- [3] S. BOCHNER, "Localization of best approximation," in ZYGMUND et al., *Contributions to Fourier Analysis*, Ann. Math. Studies, No. 26, Princeton, 1960.
- [4] J. L. DOOB, *Stochastic Processes*, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1953.
- [5] M. LOEVE, *Probability Theory*, D. Van Nostrand, New York, 1965.
- [6] W. FELLER, "The law of the iterated logarithm for identically distributed random variables," *Ann. Math.*, Vol. 47 (1946), pp. 631-638.
- [7] G. MARUYAMA, "Harmonic analysis of stationary stochastic processes," *Memoirs of Kyoto University*, Vol. 4 (1949), pp. 45-106.