

Explicit bounds on Levy–Prohorov distance for a class of multidimensional distribution functions

Isha Dewan*, B.L.S. Prakasa Rao

Indian Statistical Institute, 7, S.J.S. Sansanwal Marg, New Delhi 110016, India

Received April 1999; received in revised form July 1999

Abstract

Let $F(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ and $G(x_1, \dots, x_k) = F_{X_1}(x_1) \dots F_{X_k}(x_k)$, where $F_{X_i}(x_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, are the one-dimensional marginal distributions of F , be two distribution functions on \mathbb{R}^k . Here, we obtain explicit bounds for the Levy–Prohorov distance between F and G using some general results due to Yurinskii (1975, Theory Probab. Appl. 20, 1–10).

Keywords: Multidimensional distribution functions; Levy–Prohorov distance; Cumulants

1. Introduction

It is known that if F and G are two distribution functions on the real line, then

$$\sup_{-\infty < x < \infty} |F(x) - G(x)| \leq \sup_{B \in \mathcal{C}} |P(B) - Q(B)| \leq 2 \sup_{-\infty < x < \infty} |F(x) - G(x)|, \quad (1.1)$$

where P and Q denote the probability measures corresponding to the distribution functions F and G , respectively (cf. Prohorov and Rozanov, 1969, pp. 160). Here \mathcal{C} is the class of all convex subsets of the real line. This result does not hold if class \mathcal{C} is replaced by class \mathcal{B} , the class of all Borel subsets of the real line. See the counterexample given below due to Babu (1998).

Example 1.1 (Babu, 1998). Let F be the standard normal distribution and G the discrete distribution which puts mass $\frac{1}{4}$ at each of the points $z_{1/4}$, 0, $z_{3/4}$, and 3 where $F(z_a) = a$ for any $0 < a < 1$. Then

$$\sup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} |P(B) - Q(B)| = 1$$

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: isha@isid.ac.in (I. Dewan)

as P and Q are mutually singular. But

$$\sup_{-\infty < x < \infty} |F(x) - G(x)| \leq 0.25.$$

So

$$\sup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} |P(B) - Q(B)| \leq 2 \sup_{-\infty < x < \infty} |F(x) - G(x)|$$

does not hold.

The question is whether there is a result analogous to (1.1) in higher dimensions connecting the difference between two distribution functions and the total variation of the difference between the probability measures generated by them. The problem arose in estimating the quantity:

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} g \, dF(x_1, \dots, x_k) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} g \, dG(x_1, \dots, x_j) \, dH(x_{j+1}, \dots, x_k) \right|,$$

where F , G and H denote the distribution functions of (X_1, \dots, X_k) , (X_1, \dots, X_j) , and (X_{j+1}, \dots, X_k) , respectively.

Remark 1.2. The relation between $\int_{\mathbb{R}^k} |f(\mathbf{x}) - g(\mathbf{x})| \, d\mathbf{x}$ and $\sup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} |P(B) - Q(B)|$, where f and g are densities of F and G with respect to the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^k and \mathcal{B} is the σ -algebra of Borel subsets of \mathbb{R}^k , is well known. Here F and G could be distribution functions on any finite-dimensional space \mathbb{R}^k . It is known that (cf. Strasser, 1985, p. 7)

$$\sup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} |P(B) - Q(B)| = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} |f(\mathbf{x}) - g(\mathbf{x})| \, d\mathbf{x}.$$

Result (1.1) quoted at the beginning on the supremum over convex sets on the absolute difference of probability measures generated by distribution functions on the real line does not hold even for the class of convex sets in \mathbb{R}^2 . The following example due to Babu (1998) demonstrates the point.

Example 1.3 (Babu, 1998). Let F denote the distribution function corresponding to the uniform measure μ on the unit square. Suppose ν denotes the measure that puts mass 0.1 at the upper right vertex of the unit square, and distributes the rest of the mass 0.9 uniformly on the remaining part of the diagonal. Let G denote the distribution function corresponding to ν . Clearly,

$$F(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \min(x, y) \leq 0, \\ xy & \text{if } 0 < x, y < 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } \min(x, y) \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$G(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \min(x, y) \leq 0, \\ 0.9 \min(x, y) & \text{if } 0 < \min(x, y) < 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } \min(x, y) \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Hence,

$$A = \sup_{x, y} |F(x, y) - G(x, y)| = (0.45)^2.$$

On the other hand, if A denotes the open triangle below the diagonal in the unit square (i.e. $A = \{(x, y): 0 < y < x < 1\}$), then A is a convex set, $\nu(A) = 0$ and $\mu(A) = 0.5$. Consequently, $2A < 0.5 \leq \sup |\mu(B) - \nu(B)|$,

where the supremum is taken over all convex sets. Hence the statement that $\sup\{|\mu(B) - \nu(B)| : B \text{ convex}\} \leq 2\Delta$ is false.

However, it should be noted that in both the examples discussed above the two distributions are mutually singular.

Our aim in this paper is to obtain bounds on the Levy–Prohorov distance between two probability measures generated by a random vector $\mathbf{X}=(X_1, \dots, X_k)$ and another random vector $\mathbf{Y}=(Y_1, \dots, Y_k)$ where the component Y_i has the same distribution as that of X_i for $1 \leq i \leq k$ but the components $Y_i, 1 \leq i \leq k$ are stochastically independent. We will compute bounds in terms of the moments related to the joint distribution of \mathbf{X} . Our results are based on general results of Yurinskii (1975).

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Cumulants of functions of random vectors

We extend some results on cumulants of functions of random vectors along the same lines as that of Block and Fang (1988). They are used later to prove the main results.

Consider a random vector (X_1, \dots, X_r) , where $E|X_i|^r < \infty, i = 1, \dots, r$.

Definition 2.1 (Block and Fang, 1988). The r th-order joint cumulant of (X_1, \dots, X_r) , denoted by $\text{cum}(X_1, \dots, X_r)$, is defined by

$$\text{cum}(X_1, \dots, X_r) = \sum (-1)^{p-1} (p-1)! \left(E \prod_{j \in v_1} X_j \right) \dots \left(E \prod_{j \in v_p} X_j \right), \tag{2.1}$$

where summation extends over all partitions $(v_1, \dots, v_p), p = 1, 2, \dots, r$, of $(1, \dots, r)$.

For real-valued functions $f_i, i = 1, \dots, r$, assume that $E|f_i(X_i)|^r < \infty$. The proof of the following lemma is along the same lines as the proof of Lemma 1 of Block and Fang (1988).

Lemma 2.2. *If $E|f_i(X_i)|^m < \infty$, then*

$$E[f_1(X_1) \dots f_m(X_m)] - \prod_{i=1}^m E[f_i(X_i)] = \sum \text{cum}(f_k(X_k), k \in v_1) \dots \text{cum}(f_k(X_k), k \in v_p), \tag{2.2}$$

where \sum extends over all partitions $(v_1, \dots, v_p), p = 1, \dots, m-1$, of $\{1, \dots, m\}$.

In particular, for $m = 3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E[f_1(X_1)f_2(X_2)f_3(X_3)] - \prod_{i=1}^3 E[f_i(X_i)] \\ = \text{cum}(f_1(X_1), f_2(X_2), f_3(X_3)) + E[f_1(X_1)]\text{cum}(f_3(X_3), f_2(X_2)) \\ + E[f_2(X_2)]\text{cum}(f_1(X_1), f_3(X_3)) + E[f_3(X_3)]\text{cum}(f_1(X_1), f_2(X_2)). \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Note that

$$\text{cum}(f_1(X_1), f_2(X_2)) = \text{Cov}(f_1(X_1), f_2(X_2)), \tag{2.4}$$

and if f_1 is differentiable, then

$$f_1(X_1) - f_1(0) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_1'(x_1)[\varepsilon(x_1) - I_{(-\infty, x_1]}(X_1)] dx_1, \quad (2.5)$$

where

$$\varepsilon(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and $f'(x)$ is the derivative of $f(x)$.

Therefore,

$$E[f_1(X_1)] - f_1(0) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_1'(x_1)[\varepsilon(x_1) - F_{X_1}(x_1)] dx_1, \quad (2.6)$$

where $F_{X_i}(x_i)$ is the distribution function of X_i .

Then, from Fubini's theorem, we get

$$\begin{aligned} E[(f_1(X_1) - f_1(0)) \dots (f_r(X_r) - f_r(0))] &= E \left[\prod_{i=1}^r \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_i'(x_i)[\varepsilon(x_i) - I_{(-\infty, x_i]}(X_i)] dx_i \right] \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E \left(\prod_{i=1}^r f_i'(x_i)[\varepsilon(x_i) - I_{(-\infty, x_i]}(X_i)] dx_i \right) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^r f_i'(x_i) \left[\prod_{i=1}^r \varepsilon(x_i) - \sum_{j=1}^r \prod_{k \neq j} \varepsilon(x_k) F(\mathbf{x}^{(j)}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{i < j} \prod_{k \neq j} \varepsilon(x_k) F(\mathbf{x}^{(i,j)}) + \dots + (-1)^r F(\mathbf{x}) \right] dx_1 \dots dx_r. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Here $\mathbf{x}^{(i_1, \dots, i_k)}$ represents $(x_1, \dots, x_{i_1-1}, x_{i_1+1}, \dots, x_{i_k-1}, x_{i_k+1}, \dots, x_r)$ and $F(\mathbf{x}^{(i_1, \dots, i_k)})$ is the distribution function of $\mathbf{X}^{(i_1, \dots, i_k)}$.

Using the above results we can prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2.3. If $E|f_i(X_i)|^r < \infty$ and f_i is differentiable for $i = 1, \dots, r$, then

$$\text{cum}(f_1(X_1), \dots, f_r(X_r)) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^r f_i'(x_i) \text{cum}(\chi_{X_1}(x_1), \dots, \chi_{X_r}(x_r)) dx_1 \dots dx_r, \quad (2.8)$$

where

$$\chi_{X_i}(x_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } X_i \geq x_i, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. The proof follows from (2.7) and the fact that

$$\text{cum}(f_1(X_1), \dots, f_r(X_r)) = \text{cum}((f_1(X_1) - f_1(0)), \dots, (f_r(X_r) - f_r(0))).$$

Remark 2.4. Using properties (i) and (iv) in Block and Fang (1988), we can extend the above results to complex-valued functions f_i .

2.2. Yurinskii's bound

We first discuss some general results on Levy–Prohorov distance due to Yurinskii (1975).

Let F, G and H be probability distributions on \mathbb{R}^k and let $|\cdot|$ be some norm in \mathbb{R}^k . Let $L(F, G)$ be the Levy–Prohorov distance between F and G corresponding to $|\cdot|$, that is, the lower bound of all positive numbers ε such that for any closed set $A \in \mathbb{R}^k$ and for its ε -neighbourhood A^ε in the sense of the norm $|\cdot|$,

$$\mu_F(A) < \mu_G(A^\varepsilon) + \varepsilon, \quad \mu_G(A) < \mu_F(A^\varepsilon) + \varepsilon, \tag{2.9}$$

where μ_F denotes the probability measure corresponding to F .

Suppose that G has a density $g(\mathbf{x})$ satisfying the condition

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^k} |g(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{h}) - g(\mathbf{x})| \, d\mathbf{x} \leq \Gamma |\mathbf{h}|, \quad \mathbf{h} \in \mathbb{R}^k \tag{2.10}$$

for some constant $\Gamma > 0$. Yurinskii (1975) proved that

$$L(F, G) \leq c_1(1 + \Gamma) \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} |\mathbf{x}| H(d\mathbf{x}) + c_2 L(F * H, G * H), \tag{2.11}$$

where c_1 and c_2 are absolute constants and $*$ denotes the convolution operation.

Suppose F and G are distribution functions such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^k} |\mathbf{x}|^\ell F(d\mathbf{x}) < \infty, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} |\mathbf{x}|^\ell G(d\mathbf{x}) < \infty, \quad \ell = \left\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \right\rfloor + 1. \tag{2.12}$$

Further suppose that H is a fixed distribution function on \mathbb{R}^k with density $h(\mathbf{x})$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^k} |\mathbf{x}|^\ell h(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} < \infty \tag{2.13}$$

and the characteristic function

$$\eta(\mathbf{t}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \exp(i(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{x})) h(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} \tag{2.14}$$

vanishes for $|\mathbf{t}| \geq 1$. Then it follows from Yurinskii (1975) that there exists an absolute constant C , possibly depending on the choice of H but not on F or G , such that

$$L(F, G) \leq C \left\{ \frac{1 + \Gamma}{T} + \left(\int_{|\mathbf{t}| \leq T} [|\varphi(\mathbf{t}) - \gamma(\mathbf{t})|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} |\mathcal{D}^i(\varphi(\mathbf{t}) - \gamma(\mathbf{t}))|^2] \, d\mathbf{t} \right)^{1/2} \right\}, \tag{2.15}$$

where

$$\mathcal{D}^i w(\mathbf{t}) = \left(\sum_{\|\alpha\|=i} |D^\alpha w(\mathbf{t})|^2 \right)^{1/2} \tag{2.16}$$

and

$$D^\alpha w(\mathbf{t}) = \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} w(\mathbf{t})}{\partial \alpha_1 t_1 \dots \partial \alpha_k t_k}, \tag{2.17}$$

where φ and γ are the characteristic functions of F and G , respectively, $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_k)$ and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)$. Here $\|\alpha\| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_k$. Throughout the following discussion, the absolute constant may depend on the choice of H but not on F or G .

3. Bound in the bivariate case

Suppose F is a bivariate distribution function and it has the density f with marginal distributions F_X and F_Y and densities f_X and f_Y , respectively. Let $G(x, y) = F_X(x)F_Y(y)$.

It is easy to see that

$$\gamma(t_1, t_2) = \varphi(t_1, 0)\varphi(0, t_2)$$

and hence

$$\frac{\partial \gamma(t_1, t_2)}{\partial t_1} = \frac{\partial \varphi(t_1, 0)}{\partial t_1} \varphi(0, t_2),$$

$$\frac{\partial \gamma(t_1, t_2)}{\partial t_2} = \varphi(t_1, 0) \frac{\partial \varphi(0, t_2)}{\partial t_2}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial^2 \gamma(t_1, t_2)}{\partial t_1 \partial t_2} = \frac{\partial \varphi(t_1, 0)}{\partial t_1} \frac{\partial \varphi(0, t_2)}{\partial t_2}$$

whenever they exist.

In particular, there exists an absolute constant C such that

$$L(F, G) \leq C \left\{ \frac{1 + \Gamma}{T} + \left(\int_{|t| \leq T} \left[|\varphi(t_1, t_2) - \varphi(t_1, 0)\varphi(0, t_2)|^2 + \left| \frac{\partial \varphi(t_1, t_2)}{\partial t_1} - \frac{\partial \varphi(t_1, 0)}{\partial t_1} \varphi(0, t_2) \right|^2 + \left| \frac{\partial \varphi(t_1, t_2)}{\partial t_2} - \varphi(t_1, 0) \frac{\partial \varphi(0, t_2)}{\partial t_2} \right|^2 + \left| \frac{\partial^2 \varphi(t_1, t_2)}{\partial t_1 \partial t_2} - \frac{\partial \varphi(t_1, 0)}{\partial t_1} \frac{\partial \varphi(0, t_2)}{\partial t_2} \right|^2 \right] d\mathbf{t} \right)^{1/2} \right\}. \quad (3.1)$$

Note that

$$\varphi(t_1, t_2) - \varphi(t_1, 0)\varphi(0, t_2) = E[e^{it_1 X + it_2 Y}] - E[e^{it_1 X}]E[e^{it_2 Y}] = \text{Cov}(e^{it_1 X}, e^{it_2 Y}),$$

$$\frac{\partial \varphi(t_1, t_2)}{\partial t_1} - \frac{\partial \varphi(t_1, 0)}{\partial t_1} \varphi(0, t_2) = E[iX e^{it_1 X + it_2 Y}] - E[iX e^{it_1 X}]E[e^{it_2 Y}] = \text{Cov}(iX e^{it_1 X}, e^{it_2 Y}),$$

$$\frac{\partial \varphi(t_1, t_2)}{\partial t_2} - \varphi(t_1, 0) \frac{\partial \varphi(0, t_2)}{\partial t_2} = \text{Cov}(e^{it_1 X}, iY e^{it_2 Y})$$

and

$$\frac{\partial^2 \varphi(t_1, t_2)}{\partial t_1 \partial t_2} - \frac{\partial \varphi(t_1, 0)}{\partial t_1} \frac{\partial \varphi(0, t_2)}{\partial t_2} = \text{Cov}(iX e^{it_1 X}, iY e^{it_2 Y})$$

under some moment conditions. Let $\xi(t_1, t_2)$ be the integrand under the integral sign on the right-hand side of inequality (2.15). Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(t_1, t_2) &= |\text{Cov}(e^{it_1 X}, e^{it_2 Y})|^2 + |\text{Cov}(iX e^{it_1 X}, e^{it_2 Y})|^2 + |\text{Cov}(e^{it_1 X}, iY e^{it_2 Y})|^2 \\ &\quad + |\text{Cov}(iX e^{it_1 X}, iY e^{it_2 Y})|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

It is known that if h_1 and h_2 are real-valued differentiable functions such that $\text{Cov}(h_1(X), h_2(Y))$ exists, then

$$\text{Cov}(h_1(X), h_2(Y)) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h_1'(x) h_2'(y) H_{X,Y}(x, y) dx dy,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} H_{X,Y}(x, y) &= P(X > x, Y > y) - P(X > x)P(Y > y) \\ &= P(X \leq x, Y \leq y) - P(X \leq x)P(Y \leq y) \end{aligned}$$

(cf. Newman, 1980; Prakasa Rao, 1993). It is easy to see that the above result extends to complex-valued functions $h_1(x)$ and $h_2(y)$ provided that the real and imaginary parts are differentiable. Let

$$h_1(x) = (ix)^r e^{it_1x} \quad \text{and} \quad h_2(y) = (iy)^s e^{it_2y},$$

where $r \geq 0$ and $s \geq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} h_1'(x) &= (ix)^r i t_1 e^{it_1x} + r(ix)^{r-1} i e^{it_1x}, \\ h_2'(y) &= (iy)^s i t_2 e^{it_2y} + s(iy)^{s-1} i e^{it_2y}, \end{aligned}$$

where we interpret the second term on the right-hand side of the above equations as zero whenever $r = 0$ or $s = 0$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(h_1(X), h_2(Y)) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{it_1x+it_2y} H_{X,Y}(x, y) [i^{r+s+2} x^r y^s t_1 t_2 + r s i^{r+s} x^{r-1} y^{s-1} + i^{r+s+1} x^r y^{s-1} t_1 s \\ &\quad + i^{r+s+1} x^{s-1} y^s t_2 r] dx dy. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

In particular,

$$|\text{Cov}(h_1(X), h_2(Y))| \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [|x^r y^s t_1 t_2| + |x^{r-1} y^{s-1} r s| + |x^r y^{s-1} t_1 s| + |x^{r-1} y^s t_2 r|] |H_{X,Y}(x, y)| dx dy. \tag{3.4}$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &\equiv |\text{Cov}(e^{it_1X}, e^{it_2Y})| \leq |t_1 t_2| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |H_{X,Y}(x, y)| dx dy, \\ J_2 &\equiv |\text{Cov}(iX e^{it_1X}, e^{it_2Y})| \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \{|x t_1 t_2| + |t_2|\} |H_{X,Y}(x, y)| dx dy, \\ J_3 &\equiv |\text{Cov}(e^{it_1X}, iY e^{it_2Y})| \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \{|y t_1 t_2| + |t_1|\} |H_{X,Y}(x, y)| dx dy \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

and

$$J_4 \equiv |\text{Cov}(iX e^{it_1X}, iY e^{it_2Y})| \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [|x y t_1 t_2| + |x t_1| + |y t_2| + 1] |H_{X,Y}(x, y)| dx dy. \tag{3.6}$$

Let

$$A_{X,Y}^{rs} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x^r y^s| |H_{X,Y}(x, y)| dx dy. \tag{3.7}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &\leq |t_1 t_2| A_{X,Y}^{00}, \\ J_2 &\leq |t_1 t_2| A_{X,Y}^{10} + |t_2| A_{X,Y}^{00}, \\ J_3 &\leq |t_1 t_2| A_{X,Y}^{01} + |t_1| A_{X,Y}^{00} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$J_4 \leq |t_1 t_2| A_{X,Y}^{11} + |t_1| A_{X,Y}^{10} + |t_2| A_{X,Y}^{01} + A_{X,Y}^{00}. \quad (3.8)$$

In particular, it follows that

$$\xi(t_1, t_2) \leq \{ |t_1 t_2|^2 + (|t_2| + |t_1 t_2|)^2 + (|t_1| + |t_1 t_2|)^2 + (|t_1 t_2| + |t_1| + |t_2| + 1)^2 \} \left(\max_{\substack{i=0,1 \\ j=0,1}} A_{X,Y}^{ij} \right)^2. \quad (3.9)$$

Suppose the norm $|\mathbf{t}|$ is the Euclidean norm $|\mathbf{t}| = (t_1^2 + t_2^2)^{1/2}$. Note that

$$\frac{t_1^2 + t_2^2}{2} \geq t_1 t_2$$

and hence $T^2/2 \geq t_1 t_2$ if $|\mathbf{t}| \leq T$. Similarly, for $T \geq 1$,

$$(|t_2| + |t_1 t_2|)^2 \leq 2(t_2^2 + t_1^2 t_2^2) \leq 2 \left(T^2 + \frac{T^4}{4} \right) \leq \frac{5}{2} T^4,$$

$$(|t_1| + |t_1 t_2|)^2 \leq \frac{5}{2} T^4$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (|t_1 t_2| + |t_1| + |t_2| + 1)^2 &\leq 4(t_1^2 t_2^2 + t_1^2 + t_2^2 + 1) \\ &\leq 4 \left(\frac{T^4}{4} + T^2 + T^2 + T^2 \right) \\ &\leq 13T^4. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\xi(t_1, t_2) \leq C_0 T^4 \left(\max_{\substack{i=0,1 \\ j=0,1}} A_{X,Y}^{ij} \right)^2$$

for $T \geq 1$, where C_0 is an absolute constant and hence, for $T \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|\mathbf{t}| \leq T} \xi(t_1, t_2) d\mathbf{t} &\leq \left(C_0 T^4 \left(\max_{\substack{i=0,1 \\ j=0,1}} A_{X,Y}^{ij} \right)^2 \right) C_1 T^2 \\ &= C_2 T^6 \left(\max_{\substack{i=0,1 \\ j=0,1}} A_{X,Y}^{ij} \right)^2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

where C_2 is an absolute constant. Relations (3.1), (3.5) and (3.6) show that, for every $T \geq 1$,

$$L(F, G) \leq C_3 \left\{ \frac{1+T}{T} + T^3 \max_{\substack{i=0,1 \\ j=0,1}} A_{X,Y}^{ij} \right\}, \quad (3.11)$$

where C_3 is an absolute constant. It is clear that (3.11) holds trivially for $0 < T < 1$. Suppose T is chosen so that

$$\frac{1 + \Gamma}{T} = T^3 \max_{\substack{i=0,1 \\ j=0,1}} A_{X,Y}^{ij}.$$

Then it follows that

$$T = \left(\frac{1 + \Gamma}{\max_{\substack{i=0,1 \\ j=0,1}} A_{X,Y}^{ij}} \right)^{1/4}$$

and

$$L(F, G) \leq C_4 \left\{ (1 + \Gamma)^{3/4} \left[\max_{\substack{i=0,1 \\ j=0,1}} A_{X,Y}^{ij} \right]^{1/4} \right\}, \tag{3.12}$$

where C_4 is an absolute constant.

Hence the following theorem holds.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose F and G are distribution functions on \mathbb{R}^2 with $G(x, y) = F_X(x)F_Y(y)$ where F_X and F_Y are the marginal distributions of F . Further suppose that G has a density function satisfying (2.10) and*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\mathbf{x}|^2 F(d\mathbf{x}) < \infty, \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\mathbf{x}|^2 G(d\mathbf{x}) < \infty.$$

Let $L(F, G)$ be the Levy–Prohorov distance between F and G . Then,

$$L(F, G) \leq C \left\{ (1 + \Gamma)^{3/4} \left[\max_{\substack{i=0,1 \\ j=0,1}} A_{X,Y}^{ij} \right]^{1/4} \right\},$$

where C is an absolute constant.

Remark 3.2. If the random variables X and Y are associated then, it is easy to check that there exists an absolute constant C such that

$$L(F, G) \leq C \left\{ (1 + \Gamma)^{3/4} \left[\max_{\substack{i=0,1 \\ j=0,1}} A_{ij}^* \right]^{1/4} \right\}, \tag{3.13}$$

where

$$A_{ij}^* = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x|^i |y|^j H_{X,Y}(x, y) dx dy.$$

Note that

$$A_{00}^* = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H_{X,Y}(x, y) dx dy = \text{Cov}(X, Y) \geq 0.$$

It is known that bound (3.13) ensures the fact that

$$\sup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} |\mu_F(A) - \mu_G(A)| \leq C \left\{ (1 + \Gamma)^{3/4} \left[\max_{\substack{i=0,1 \\ j=0,1}} A_{ij}^* \right]^{1/4} \right\}, \quad (3.14)$$

where \mathcal{A} is the class of Lipschitz sets (cf. Yurinskii, 1975) with respect to F or G . Recall that $G(x, y) = F_X(x)F_Y(y)$ where F_X and F_Y are the marginal distributions of F . It is plausible that the bound in (3.14) cannot be obtained from the bound given in (3.15) below due to Bagai and Prakasa Rao (1991), from the examples discussed at the beginning of Section 1.

Theorem 3.3. *Let X and Y be associated random variables with bounded continuous density function f_X and f_Y , respectively. Then there exists a constant C depending on f_X and f_Y such that*

$$\sup_{x,y} |P(X \leq x, Y \leq y) - P(X \leq x)P(Y \leq y)| \leq C \text{Cov}^{1/3}(X, Y). \quad (3.15)$$

4. Bound in the trivariate case

Suppose F is a trivariate distribution function and it has the density f with marginal distribution F_X, F_Y and F_Z and marginal densities f_X, f_Y , and f_Z , respectively. Let $G(x, y, z) = F_X(x)F_Y(y)F_Z(z)$. It is easy to see that

$$\gamma(t_1, t_2, t_3) = \varphi(t_1, 0, 0)\varphi(0, t_2, 0)\varphi(0, 0, t_3)$$

and hence

$$\frac{\partial \gamma(t_1, t_2, t_3)}{\partial t_1} = \frac{\partial \varphi(t_1, 0, 0)}{\partial t_1} \varphi(0, t_2, 0)\varphi(0, 0, t_3)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial^2 \gamma(t_1, t_2, t_3)}{\partial t_1 \partial t_2} = \frac{\partial \varphi(t_1, 0, 0)}{\partial t_1} \frac{\partial \varphi(0, t_2, 0)}{\partial t_2} \varphi(0, 0, t_3)$$

whenever they exist.

Similarly, we have $\partial \gamma(t_1, t_2, t_3)/\partial t_2$, $\partial \gamma(t_1, t_2, t_3)/\partial t_3$, $\partial^2 \gamma(t_1, t_2, t_3)/\partial t_3 \partial t_2$ and $\partial^2 \gamma(t_1, t_2, t_3)/\partial t_1 \partial t_3$.

Relation (2.15) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} L(F, G) \leq C & \left\{ \frac{1 + \Gamma}{T} + \left(\int_{|t| \leq T} [|\varphi(t_1, t_2, t_3) - \varphi(t_1, 0, 0)\varphi(0, t_2, 0)\varphi(0, 0, t_3)|^2 \right. \right. \\ & + \left. \left| \frac{\partial \varphi(t_1, t_2, t_3)}{\partial t_1} - \frac{\partial \varphi(t_1, 0, 0)}{\partial t_1} \varphi(0, t_2, 0)\varphi(0, 0, t_3) \right|^2 \right. \\ & \left. + \left| \frac{\partial \varphi(t_1, t_2, t_3)}{\partial t_2} - \varphi(t_1, 0, 0) \frac{\partial \varphi(0, t_2, 0)}{\partial t_2} \varphi(0, 0, t_3) \right|^2 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \left| \frac{\partial \varphi(t_1, t_2, t_3)}{\partial t_3} - \varphi(t_1, 0, 0)\varphi(0, t_2, 0) \frac{\partial \varphi(0, 0, t_3)}{\partial t_3} \right|^2 \\
 & + \left| \frac{\partial^2 \varphi(t_1, t_2, t_3)}{\partial t_1 \partial t_2} - \frac{\partial \varphi(t_1, 0, 0)}{\partial t_1} \frac{\partial \varphi(0, t_2, 0)}{\partial t_2} \varphi(0, 0, t_3) \right|^2 \\
 & + \left| \frac{\partial^2 \varphi(t_1, t_2, t_3)}{\partial t_1 \partial t_3} - \frac{\partial \varphi(t_1, 0, 0)}{\partial t_1} \varphi(0, t_2, 0) \frac{\partial \varphi(0, 0, t_3)}{\partial t_3} \right|^2 \\
 & + \left| \frac{\partial^2 \varphi(t_1, t_2, t_3)}{\partial t_2 \partial t_3} - \varphi(t_1, 0, 0) \frac{\partial \varphi(0, t_2, 0)}{\partial t_2} \frac{\partial \varphi(0, 0, t_3)}{\partial t_3} \right|^2 \mathbf{dt} \Big)^{1/2} \Big\}. \tag{4.1}
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that, by Lemma 2.2,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \varphi(t_1, t_2, t_3) - \varphi(t_1, 0, 0)\varphi(0, t_2, 0)\varphi(0, 0, t_3) \\
 & = E[e^{it_1 X + it_2 Y + it_3 Z}] - E[e^{it_1 X}]E[e^{it_2 Y}]E[e^{it_3 Z}] \\
 & = \text{Cum}(e^{it_1 X}, e^{it_2 Y}, e^{it_3 Z}) + E[e^{it_1 X}]\text{Cov}[e^{it_2 Y}, e^{it_3 Z}] \\
 & \quad + E[e^{it_2 Y}]\text{Cov}[e^{it_1 X}, e^{it_3 Z}] + E[e^{it_3 Z}]\text{Cov}[e^{it_1 X}, e^{it_2 Y}], \tag{4.2}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{\partial \varphi(t_1, t_2, t_3)}{\partial t_1} - \frac{\partial \varphi(t_1, 0, 0)}{\partial t_1} \varphi(0, t_2, 0)\varphi(0, 0, t_3) \\
 & = \text{Cum}(iX e^{it_1 X}, e^{it_2 Y}, e^{it_3 Z}) + E[iX e^{it_1 X}]\text{Cov}[e^{it_2 Y}, e^{it_3 Z}] \\
 & \quad + E[e^{it_2 Y}]\text{Cov}[iX e^{it_1 X}, e^{it_3 Z}] + E[e^{it_3 Z}]\text{Cov}[iX e^{it_1 X}, e^{it_2 Y}], \tag{4.3}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{\partial \varphi(t_1, t_2, t_3)}{\partial t_2} - \varphi(t_1, 0, 0) \frac{\partial \varphi(0, t_2, 0)}{\partial t_2} \varphi(0, 0, t_3) \\
 & = \text{Cum}(e^{it_1 X}, iY e^{it_2 Y}, e^{it_3 Z}) + E[e^{it_1 X}]\text{Cov}[iY e^{it_2 Y}, e^{it_3 Z}] \\
 & \quad + E[iY e^{it_2 Y}]\text{Cov}[e^{it_1 X}, e^{it_3 Z}] + E[e^{it_3 Z}]\text{Cov}[e^{it_1 X}, iY e^{it_2 Y}], \tag{4.4}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{\partial \varphi(t_1, t_2, t_3)}{\partial t_3} - \varphi(t_1, 0, 0)\varphi(0, t_2, 0) \frac{\partial \varphi(0, 0, t_3)}{\partial t_3} \\
 & = \text{Cum}(e^{it_1 X}, e^{it_2 Y}, iZ e^{it_3 Z}) + E[e^{it_1 X}]\text{Cov}[e^{it_2 Y}, iZ e^{it_3 Z}] \\
 & \quad + E[e^{it_2 Y}]\text{Cov}[e^{it_1 X}, iZ e^{it_3 Z}] + E[iZ e^{it_3 Z}]\text{Cov}[e^{it_1 X}, e^{it_2 Y}], \tag{4.5}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{\partial^2 \varphi(t_1, t_2, t_3)}{\partial t_1 \partial t_2} - \frac{\partial \varphi(t_1, 0, 0)}{\partial t_1} \frac{\partial \varphi(0, t_2, 0)}{\partial t_2} \varphi(0, 0, t_3) \\
 & = \text{Cum}(iX e^{it_1 X}, iY e^{it_2 Y}, e^{it_3 Z}) + E[iX e^{it_1 X}]\text{Cov}[iY e^{it_2 Y}, e^{it_3 Z}] \\
 & \quad + E[iY e^{it_2 Y}]\text{Cov}[iX e^{it_1 X}, e^{it_3 Z}] + E[e^{it_3 Z}]\text{Cov}[iX e^{it_1 X}, iY e^{it_2 Y}], \tag{4.6}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{\partial^2 \varphi(t_1, t_2, t_3)}{\partial t_1 \partial t_3} - \frac{\partial \varphi(t_1, 0, 0)}{\partial t_1} \varphi(0, t_2, 0) \frac{\partial \varphi(0, 0, t_3)}{\partial t_3} \\
 & = \text{Cum}(iX e^{it_1 X}, e^{it_2 Y}, iZ e^{it_3 Z}) + E[iX e^{it_1 X}]\text{Cov}[e^{it_2 Y}, iZ e^{it_3 Z}] \\
 & \quad + E[e^{it_2 Y}]\text{Cov}[iX e^{it_1 X}, iZ e^{it_3 Z}] + E[iZ e^{it_3 Z}]\text{Cov}[iX e^{it_1 X}, e^{it_2 Y}] \tag{4.7}
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial^2 \varphi(t_1, t_2, t_3)}{\partial t_2 \partial t_3} - \varphi(t_1, 0, 0) \frac{\partial \varphi(0, t_2, 0)}{\partial t_2} \frac{\partial \varphi(0, 0, t_3)}{\partial t_3} \\ &= \text{Cum}(e^{it_1 X}, iY e^{it_2 Y}, iZ e^{it_3 Z}) + E[e^{it_1 X}] \text{Cov}[iY e^{it_2 Y}, iZ e^{it_3 Z}] \\ & \quad + E[iY e^{it_2 Y}] \text{Cov}[e^{it_1 X}, iZ e^{it_3 Z}] + E[iZ e^{it_3 Z}] \text{Cov}[e^{it_1 X}, iY e^{it_2 Y}], \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

under certain moment conditions.

Let

$$J_5 \equiv E|Z|J_1,$$

$$J_6 \equiv E|Z|J_2$$

and

$$J_7 \equiv E|Z|J_3. \tag{4.9}$$

Then, following the arguments of the previous section and applying (3.5), (3.6) and (4.1), we have for an absolute constant C :

$$\sum_{i=1}^7 J_i^2 \leq C \{ |t_1 t_2|^2 + (|t_2| + |t_1 t_2|)^2 + (|t_1| + |t_1 t_2|)^2 + (1 + |t_1| + |t_2| + |t_1 t_2|)^2 \} \max_{\substack{i=0,1 \\ j=0,1}} (A_{X,Y}^{ij})^2. \tag{4.10}$$

Further, we have

$$|\text{Cum}(h_1(X), h_2(Y), h_3(Z))| \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \prod_{i=1}^3 h'_i \right| |\text{Cum}(\chi_X(x), \chi_Y(y), \chi_Z(z))| dx dy dz. \tag{4.11}$$

Using Theorem 2.3, it follows that there exists an absolute constant C such that

$$\begin{aligned} & |\text{Cum}(e^{it_1 X}, e^{it_2 Y}, e^{it_3 Z})|^2 + |\text{Cum}(iX e^{it_1 X}, e^{it_2 Y}, e^{it_3 Z})|^2 \\ & \quad + |\text{Cum}(e^{it_1 X}, iY e^{it_2 Y}, e^{it_3 Z})|^2 + |\text{Cum}(e^{it_1 X}, e^{it_2 Y}, iZ e^{it_3 Z})|^2 \\ & \quad + |\text{Cum}(iX e^{it_1 X}, iY e^{it_2 Y}, e^{it_3 Z})|^2 + |\text{Cum}(iX e^{it_1 X}, e^{it_2 Y}, iZ e^{it_3 Z})|^2 + |\text{Cum}(e^{it_1 X}, iY e^{it_2 Y}, iZ e^{it_3 Z})|^2 \\ & \leq C \{ |t_1 t_2 t_3|^2 + |t_1 t_2|^2 + |t_1 t_3|^2 + |t_2 t_3|^2 + |t_1|^2 + |t_2|^2 + |t_3|^2 \} \max_{i,j,k=0,1} (A_{X,Y,Z}^{ijk})^2, \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

where for, $r_1, r_2, r_3 = 0, 1$, we define

$$A_{X,Y,Z}^{r_1, r_2, r_3} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x^{r_1} y^{r_2} z^{r_3}| |\text{Cum}(\chi_X(x), \chi_Y(y), \chi_Z(z))| dx dy dz. \tag{4.13}$$

Let $\zeta(t_1, t_2, t_3)$ be the integrand under the integral sign on the right-hand side of inequality (2.15). Then, following the arguments of the case $k = 2$, we have

$$\zeta(t_1, t_2, t_3) \leq C \left\{ T^4 \max_{\substack{i=0,1 \\ j=0,1}} \{ (A_{X,Y}^{ij})^2, (A_{X,Z}^{ij})^2, (A_{Y,Z}^{ij})^2 \} + T^6 \max_{i,j,k=0,1} (A_{X,Y,Z}^{ijk})^2 \right\} \tag{4.14}$$

for $T \geq 1$, for some absolute constant C , where $A_{X,Z}^{ij}$ and $A_{Y,Z}^{ij}$ are defined analogous to $A_{X,Y}^{ij}$.

Hence, for $T \geq 1$, there exists an absolute constant C such that

$$L(F, G) \leq C \left\{ \frac{1 + \Gamma}{T} + T^{9/2} \max_{i,j,k=0,1} \{A_{X,Y,Z}^{ijk}, A_{X,Y}^{ij}, A_{X,Z}^{ij}, A_{Y,Z}^{ij}\} \right\}.$$

Following the arguments in Section 3, we have

$$L(F, G) \leq C \left\{ (1 + \Gamma)^{9/11} \left(\max_{i,j,k=0,1} \{A_{X,Y,Z}^{ijk}, A_{X,Y}^{ij}, A_{X,Z}^{ij}, A_{Y,Z}^{ij}\} \right)^{2/11} \right\} \tag{4.15}$$

and the result given below.

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose F and G are distribution functions on \mathbb{R}^3 with $G(x, y, z) = F_X(x)F_Y(y)F_Z(z)$ where F_X, F_Y and F_Z are the marginal distributions of F . Further suppose that G has a density function satisfying (2.10) and*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\mathbf{x}|^2 F(d\mathbf{x}) < \infty, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\mathbf{x}|^2 G(d\mathbf{x}) < \infty.$$

Let $L(F, G)$ be the Levy–Prohorov distance between F and G . Then,

$$L(F, G) \leq C \left\{ (1 + \Gamma)^{9/11} \left(\max_{i,j,k=0,1} \{A_{X,Y,Z}^{ijk}, A_{X,Y}^{ij}, A_{X,Z}^{ij}, A_{Y,Z}^{ij}\} \right)^{2/11} \right\}, \tag{4.16}$$

where C is an absolute constant.

5. Bound in the general case

Suppose we have a random vector $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_k)$. Then, using (2.15) to (2.17), and arguments analogous to those given in the bivariate and the trivariate case, we get that there exists an absolute constant C such that

$$L(F, G) \leq C \left\{ \frac{1 + \Gamma}{T} + T^{3k/2} \max \{C_{(X_i, i \in v_1)} \dots C_{(X_i, i \in v_p)}\} \right\}, \tag{5.1}$$

where the maximum is taken over all partitions (v_1, \dots, v_p) , $p = 1, \dots, k - 1$ of $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, and for $X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_\ell}$ corresponding to the subset $v = (i_1, \dots, i_\ell)$,

$$C_{(X_i, i \in v)} = \max_{r_1, \dots, r_\ell=0,1} \{A_{X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_\ell}}^{r_1, \dots, r_\ell}\} \tag{5.2}$$

with

$$A_{X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_\ell}}^{r_1, \dots, r_\ell} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} x_{i_j}^{r_j} \right| |\text{Cum}(\chi_{X_{i_1}}(x_{i_1}), \dots, \chi_{X_{i_\ell}}(x_{i_\ell}))| dx_{i_1} \dots dx_{i_\ell}. \tag{5.3}$$

Hence, there exists an absolute constant C such that

$$L(F, G) \leq C \{(1 + \Gamma)^{3k/(3k+2)} (\max\{C_{(X_i, i \in v_1)} \dots C_{(X_i, i \in v_p)}\})^{2/(3k+2)}\}. \quad (5.4)$$

We have the following theorem.

Theorem 5.1. Suppose F and G are distribution functions on \mathbb{R}^k satisfying with $G_{X_1, \dots, X_k}(x_1, \dots, x_k) = F_{X_1}(x_1) \dots F_{X_k}(x_k)$ where F_{X_i} , $1 \leq i \leq k$ are the one-dimensional marginal distributions of F . Further, suppose that G has a density function satisfying (2.10) and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^k} |\mathbf{x}|^\ell F(d\mathbf{x}) < \infty, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} |\mathbf{x}|^\ell G(d\mathbf{x}) < \infty, \quad \ell = \left[\frac{k}{2} \right] + 1.$$

Let $L(F, G)$ be the Levy–Prohorov distance between F and G . Then

$$L(F, G) \leq C \{(1 + \Gamma)^{3k/(3k+2)} (\max\{C_{(X_i, i \in v_1)} \dots C_{(X_i, i \in v_p)}\})^{2/(3k+2)}\},$$

where C is an absolute constant.

6. Remarks

(i) If $G(x, y, z) = F_X(x)F_{(Y,Z)}(y, z)$, then it is easy to see that there exists an absolute constant C such that

$$L(F, G) \leq C \left\{ (1 + \Gamma)^{9/11} \left(\max_{i,j,k=0,1} \{A_{X,Y,Z}^{ijk}, A_{X,Y}^{ij}, A_{X,Z}^{ij}\} \right)^{2/11} \right\}. \quad (6.1)$$

It should be noted that the expression $A_{Y,Z}^{ij}$ does not appear on the right-hand side of the above inequality. The general case dealing with a bound for $L(F, G)$ when

$$G(x_1, \dots, x_k) = F_{X_1, \dots, X_r}(x_1, \dots, x_r) F_{X_{r+1}, \dots, X_k}(x_{r+1}, \dots, x_k)$$

needs to be investigated.

(ii) If X_1, \dots, X_k are associated, then using an inequality due to Lebowitz (1972) and Theorem 3.3, it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq P[X_i \geq x_i, i = 1, \dots, k] - \prod_{i=1}^k P[X_i \geq x_i] \\ &\leq \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} H_{X_i, X_j}(x_i, x_j) \\ &\leq C \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} \text{Cov}^{1/3}(X_i, X_j). \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

A set A is called an upper set if $\mathbf{x} \in A$ and $\mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{x}$ implies $\mathbf{y} \in A$. Then, following the approach in Theorem 2 of Newman (1984), we can extend (6.2) to upper sets. In other words, there exists a constant C such that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq P[X_i \in A_i, 1 \leq i \leq k] - \prod_{i=1}^k P[X_i \in A_i] \\ &\leq C \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} \text{Cov}^{1/3}(X_i, X_j) \end{aligned} \quad (6.3)$$

uniformly for all upper sets A_i , $1 \leq i \leq k$.

References

- Babu, G.J., 1998. Personal communication.
- Bagai, I., Prakasa Rao, B.L.S., 1991. Estimation of the survival function for stationary associated processes. *Statist. Probab. Lett.* 12, 385–391.
- Block, H.W., Fang, Z., 1988. A multivariate extension of Hoeffding's lemma. *Ann. Probab.* 16, 1803–1820.
- Lebowitz, J., 1972. Bounds on the correlations and analyticity properties of ferromagnetic ising spin systems. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 28, 313–321.
- Newman, C.M., 1980. Normal fluctuations and the FKG inequalities. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 74, 119–128.
- Newman, C.M., 1984. Asymptotic independence and limit theorems for positively and negatively dependent random variables. In: Tong, Y.L. (Ed.), *Inequalities in Statistics and Probability*. IMS, Hayward, pp. 127–140.
- Prakasa Rao, B.L.S., 1993. Bernstein-type inequality for associated sequences. In: Ghosh, J.K., Mitra, S.K., Parthasarathy, K.R., Prakasa Rao, B.L.S. (Eds.), *Statistics and Probability: A Raghu Raj Bahadur Festschrift*. Wiley Eastern, New Delhi, pp. 499–509.
- Prohorov, Y.U., Rozanov, Y.A., 1969. *Probability Theory*. Springer, New York.
- Strasser, H., 1985. *Mathematical Theory of Statistics*. Walter de Gruyter, Berlin.
- Yurinskii, V.V., 1975. A smoothing inequality for estimates of the Levy–Prokhorov distance. *Theory Probab. Appl.* 20, 1–10.