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#### Abstract

It is shown that the minmax principle of Ky Fan leads to a quick simple derivation of a recent inequality of V. S. Sunder giving a lower bound for the spectral distance between two Hermitian matrices. This brings out a striking parallel between this result and an earlier known upper bound for the spectral distance due to L. Mirsky.


Let $A$ be a Hermitian matrix of order $n$ and let $\lambda_{\downarrow}(A)$ denote the vector in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ whose coordinates are the eigenvalues of $A$ arranged as $\lambda_{[1]}(A) \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{[n]}(A)$. Let $\lambda_{(1)}(A) \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_{(n)}(A)$ be the increasing rearrangement of these eigenvalues and $\lambda_{\uparrow}(A)$ the vector with coordinates $\lambda_{(j)}(A), j=1,2, \ldots, n$. The same symbols $\lambda_{\downarrow}(A)$ and $\lambda_{\uparrow}(A)$ will also denote the diagonal matrices which have as their diagonal entries the components of the vectors $\lambda_{\downarrow}(A)$ and $\lambda_{\uparrow}(A)$, respectively. Let $\|\cdot\|$ denote any unitarily invariant norm on the space of matrices. (See [4].)

This note is concerned with the following result:
Theorem. Let $A$ and $B$ be Hermitian matrices. Then for every unitarily invariant norm we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\lambda_{\downarrow}(A)-\lambda_{\downarrow}(B)\right\| \leq\|A-B\| \leq\left\|\lambda_{\downarrow}(A)-\lambda_{\uparrow}(B)\right\| . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first inequality in (1) appeared in a paper of Mirsky [4], who used a famous result of Lidskii and Wielandt to derive it. The second is proved in a recent paper of Sunder [5]. I give here another proof of the second inequality which has two attractive features: It is very short and it proceeds on exactly the same lines as the well-known proof of Lidskii, Wielandt and Mirsky for the first inequality. For illumination, I indicate how both inequalities follow from the same principle.

It is an easy consequence of the minmax principle of Wielandt that for any choice $1 \leq i_{1}<\cdots<i_{k} \leq n$ of $k$ indices we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_{\left[i_{j}\right]}(A+B) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_{[j]}(A)+\sum_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_{\left[i_{j}\right]}(B) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $k=1,2, \ldots, n$, with equality holding for $k=n$. (See [3, p. 242].)
Writing $x \prec y$ to mean that the vector $x$ is majorised by the vector $y$ in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ (see [3]), we get from inequalities (2)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{\downarrow}(A+B)-\lambda_{\downarrow}(B) \prec \lambda_{\downarrow}(A) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

With a change of variables, this gives

$$
\lambda_{\downarrow}(A)-\lambda_{\downarrow}(B) \prec \lambda_{\downarrow}(A-B) .
$$

[^0]Now the first part of the Theorem follows using standard characterisations of majorisation together with properties of symmetric gauge functions and unitarily invariant norms. This is the well-known proof of Mirsky [4].

Now note that from (2) we can also conclude

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{\downarrow}(A+B) \prec \lambda_{\downarrow}(A)+\lambda_{\downarrow}(B) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, for this conclusion the full force of (2) is not needed. It suffices to use the special case $\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right)=(1, \ldots, k)$ which is much easier to prove using the minmax principle of Ky Fan [2].

Replace $B$ by $-B$ in (4) and note that $\lambda_{\downarrow}(-B)=-\lambda_{\uparrow}(B)$. This gives

$$
\lambda_{\downarrow}(A-B) \prec \lambda_{\downarrow}(A)-\lambda_{\uparrow}(B) .
$$

But this implies

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\left|\lambda_{[1]}(A-B)\right|, \ldots,\left|\lambda_{[n]}(A-B)\right|\right)  \tag{5}\\
& \quad \prec_{\mathrm{w}}\left(\left|\lambda_{[1]}(A)-\lambda_{(1)}(B)\right|, \ldots,\left|\lambda_{[n]}(A)-\lambda_{(n)}(B)\right|\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $\prec_{\mathrm{w}}$ stands for weak majorisation [3, p. 116].
Let $s_{[j]}(A)$ denote the $j$ th singular value of $A$. Let $\|A\|_{k}=s_{[1]}(A)+\cdots+s_{[k]}(A)$ for $k=1,2, \ldots, n$. Then (5) can be restated as $\|A-B\|_{k} \leq\left\|\lambda_{\downarrow}(A)-\lambda_{\uparrow}(B)\right\|_{k}, k=$ $1,2, \ldots, n$. So the second inequality in (1) holds for this special class of norms and hence, by a well-known theorem of Ky Fan, for every unitarily invariant norm. (See [4].)

It should be remarked that Sunder's paper contains a stronger result in that it also establishes an analogue of the second inequality in (1) for the case when $A, B$ and $A-B$ are all normal. Under these conditions an analogue of the first inequality in (1) has been established in [1].
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