## SOME RELATIONS AMONG INEQUALITY MEASURES

# By SUBIR KUMAR BHANDARI and RAHUL MUKERJEE

Indian Statistical Institute

SUMMARY. This paper develops a number of inequalities among some standard inequality measures popular in econometrics. In most cases the inequalities obtained cannot be made more stringent.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Over the last few years substantial work has been done on inequality measures. The social welfare functions leading to different inequality measures have been studied extensively (see e.g. Chakravarty, 1981, 1982; Sheshinski, 1972). This paper derives some inequalities relating to such measures. The results are expected to be helpful in exploring possible interrelationships among the measures.

With non-negative observations  $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$ , having positive arithmetic mean  $\bar{x}$ , the following inequality measures (cf. Bhandari, 1985; Marshall and Olkin, 1979; Ord *et al.*, 1983; Sen, 1973 among others) have been considered in this paper. Note that these measures are all normalized i.e., equal zero when  $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$  are all equal.

(i) Gini's coefficient :

$$G = G(x_1, ..., x_n) = \sum_{i < j-1}^{n} |x_i - x_j| / (n^2 \overline{x}),$$

(ii) Coefficient of variation :

$$C = C(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \left[\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \overline{x})^2 / (n\overline{x}^2)\right]^{1/2},$$

(iii) Measure derived from Mellin transformation :

$$H_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} = H_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(x_1, ..., x_n) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i/\bar{x})^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}/n \right\} - 1 \ (\boldsymbol{\lambda} > 1),$$

(iv) Theil's entropy measure :

$$T = T(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i/\bar{x}) \log(x_i/\bar{x}) \right] / n$$

AMS (1980) subject classification : 62P20.

Key words and phrases : Gini's coefficient, coefficient of variation, measures derived from Mellin's transformation, Theil's entropy.

For the sake of simplicity in presentation, we have taken in (iii) above a form of  $H_{\mathbf{A}}$  which is a strictly increasing function of the conventional form (Ord *et al.*, 1983).

#### 2. Some lemmas

The distributions under consideration are non-degenerate.

Lemma 2.1 :

$$(n-1) \sum_{\substack{i < j = 1 \\ i < j = 1}}^{n} (x_i - x_j)^2 \leq \left( \sum_{\substack{i < j = 1 \\ i < j = 1}}^{n} |x_i - x_j| \right)^2$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{3} (n^2 - 1) \sum_{\substack{i < j = 1 \\ i < j = 1}}^{n} (x_i - x_j)^2.$$

*Proof*: Without loss of generality, let  $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \ldots \leq x_n$ . Let t = n-1,  $\alpha_i = x_{i+1}-x_i$   $(1 \leq i \leq t)$ ,  $\boldsymbol{a} = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_t)'$ . Then it can be seen that

$$\sum_{i=j}^{n} (x_i - x_j)^2 = \boldsymbol{\alpha}' M \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \qquad \sum_{i=j=1}^{n} |x_i - x_j| = \boldsymbol{l}' \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \qquad \dots \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\mathbf{l} = (l_1, ..., l_t)'$ ,  $l_i = i(n-i)$   $(1 \leq i \leq t)$  and  $M^{(t \times t)} = ((m_{ij}))$  is a symmetric matrix with  $m_{ij} = i(n-j)$   $(1 \leq i \leq j \leq t)$ . One may check that M is positive definite (p.d.) and  $M^{-1}$  has entries  $\frac{2}{n}$  along the principal diagonal,  $-\frac{1}{n}$  just below and above the principal diagonal and 0 elsewhere (cf. Mukerjee and Saharay, 1985).

Since M is p.d. by (2.1) and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality (Rao, 1973, p. 60),

$$\left(\sum_{\substack{i < j=1 \\ i < j=1}}^{n} |x_i - x_j|\right)^2 = (l'\alpha)^2 \leqslant (l'M^{-1}l)(\alpha'M\alpha)$$
$$= (l'M^{-1}l)\sum_{\substack{i < j=1 \\ i < j=1}}^{n} (x_i - x_j)^2.$$

After considerable algebra,  $l'M^{-1}l = \frac{1}{3}(n^2-1)$  and the right-hand inequality follows. Also noting that

$$l_{i}l_{j} \ge (n-1)m_{ij} \ (1 \le i, j \le t),$$

the left-hand inequality follows immediately from (2.1) and the fact that  $\alpha \ge 0$ .

*Remark*: The right-hand inequality in Lemma 2.1 attains equality iff  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \ldots = \alpha_t$  i.e., if  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$  are equispaced which means

$$x_i = x_1 + (i-1)(x_n - x_1)/(n-1)$$
 ( $i = 1, 2, ..., n$ ).

The left-hand inequality attains equality iff  $x_1 = x_2 = \ldots = x_{n-1} \leqslant x_n$ .

Lemma 2.2: Let  $S = \{(x_1, ..., x_n) : x_i \ge 0 \ (1 \le i \le n), \ \overline{x} > 0\}$ . Then

$$1 \leqslant \Big(\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i/\bar{x})^{\mu}\Big) \bigg/ \Big(\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i/\bar{x})^{\lambda}\Big) \leqslant n^{\mu-\lambda},$$

provided  $(x_1, ..., x_n) \in S$  and  $1 < \lambda < \mu$ .

*Proof* : The right-hand inequality follows trivially as

$$(x_i/\bar{x})^{\mu} \leqslant n^{\mu-\lambda} (x_i/\bar{x})^{\lambda} \ (1 \leqslant i \leqslant n).$$

To prove the left-hand inequality, let  $f(\xi) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i/\bar{x})^{\xi}$ . Observe that for  $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in S$ ,  $f'(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i/\bar{x}) \log(x_i/\bar{x}) \ge 0$  (by Jensen's inequality) and  $f''(\xi) \ge 0$  for  $\xi \ge 1$ . Hence  $f(\xi)$  is non-decreasing in  $\xi$  for  $\xi \ge 1$ , so that  $f(\mu) \ge f(\lambda)$ , completing the proof.

*Remark*: The right-hand inequality in Lemma 2.2 attains equality iff among  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  exactly one is positive while the rest equal 0. The left-hand inequality attains equality iff  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  are all equal.

### 3. MAIN RESULTS

The above lemmas will be applied in this section to derive inequalities among the measures considered in Section 1. The notation is as before and  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  are non-negative observations with  $\bar{x} > 0$ .

Theorem 3.1:  $(n-1)^{1/2}n^{-1}C \leq G \leq ((n^2-1)/3)^{1/2}n^{-1}C$ .

Theorem 3.2: For  $1 < \lambda < \mu$ ,  $H_{\lambda} \leq H_{\mu} \leq n^{\mu-\lambda}(H_{\lambda}+1)-1$ .

Since  $H_2 = C^2$ , the following corollary holds :

Corollary 3.1: (i) For 
$$\lambda > 2$$
,  $n^{2-\lambda}(H_{\lambda}+1)-1 \leq C^2 \leq H_{\lambda}$ ;

(ii) For  $1 < \lambda < 2$ ,  $H_{\lambda} \leq C^2 \leq n^{2-\lambda}(H_{\lambda}+1)-1$ .

Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 are immediate consequences of Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2. In particular, the proof of Theorem 3.1 utilizes the fact that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - \bar{x})^{2} = n^{-1} \sum_{i < j=1}^{n} (x_{i} - x_{j})^{2}$$

The case of equality in these theorems may be obtained from the remarks following the respective lemmas. As for Theil's measure, one has the following result.  $\label{eq:theorem 3.3: T} {\rm Theorem \ 3.3: \ } T\leqslant e^{-1}(H_2+1)=e^{-1}(C^2+1).$ 

*Proof*: Note that  $\max_{y>0} y^{-1} \log y = e^{-1}$  and hence  $y \log y \leq e^{-1}y^2$  for  $y \geq 0$ . Therefore, defining  $y_i = x_i/\bar{x}$   $(1 \leq i \leq n)$ ,

$$T/(H_2+1) = (\sum_{i=1}^n y_i \log y_i)/(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2) \leqslant e^{-1},$$

completing the proof.

Remark : In Theorem 3.3, equality holds iff every non-zero  $x_i$  equals  $\bar{x}e$  which is, however, impossible since e is irrational. However, it may be checked that  $T/(H_2+1)$  can be made arbitrarily close to  $e^{-1}$  for sufficiently large n provided  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  are suitably chosen.

Acknowledgement. Thanks are due to a referee for helpful suggestions.

#### References

- BHANDARI, S. K. (1985): Characterization of the parent distribution by inequalities of the tails. Tech. Rep. No. 3/85 Stat-Math. Division, Indian Statistical Institute.
- CHAKRAVARTY, S. R. (1981): Two results on Theil's entropy measure of inequality. Proceedings of the I.S.I. Golden Jubilee International Conference.
  - (1982): An axiomatization of the entropy measure of inequality. Sankhyā, Series B, 44, 351-354.
- MARSHALL, A. W. and Olkin, I. (1979): Inequalities : Theory of Majorization and its Applications. Academic Press, New York.
- MUKERJEE, R. and SAHARAY, R. (1985): Asymptotically optimal weighing designs with string property. J. Statist. Plann. Inf., 12, 87-91.
- ORD, J. K., PATIL, G. P., and TAILLIE, C. (1983): Truncated distributions and measures of income inequality. Sankhyā, Series B, 45, 413-430.
- RAO, C. R. (1973): Linear Statistical Inference and its Applications, 2nd ed. John Wiley and sons, Inc., New York.
- SHESHINSKI, E. (1972): Relation between a social welfare function and the Gini index of income inequality. Journal of Economic Theory, 4, 98-100.

SEN, A. (1973): On Income Inequality, Oxford University Press, London.

Paper received : October, 1985. Revised : April, 1986.