

HOPFIAN AND CO-HOPFIAN G -CW-COMPLEXES

GOUTAM MUKHERJEE

(Communicated by Thomas Goodwillie)

ABSTRACT. We determine conditions for a G -CW-complex to be a Hopfian or a co-Hopfian object in the G -homotopy category of G -path-connected G -CW-complexes with base points.

1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of a Hopfian and a co-Hopfian object of a category is fairly well known. An object X of a category \mathcal{C} is called Hopfian (respectively, co-Hopfian) if every self-epimorphism (respectively, self-monomorphism) $f : X \rightarrow X$ is an equivalence; this notion plainly makes sense in any category, since epimorphisms and monomorphisms are categorically defined. It is interesting to recognize Hopfian and co-Hopfian objects in a specific category. Several results are known in this direction, [1], [5], [6], [7], [8], [9], [10], [11]. In [5] and [9], the authors studied Hopfian and co-Hopfian objects of \mathcal{H} , the homotopy category of pointed path-connected CW-complexes.

Let G be a discrete group and $G\mathcal{H}$ denote the G -homotopy category of G -path-connected G -CW-complexes with base points (base points are G -fixed). In this paper we determine conditions for an object of $G\mathcal{H}$ to be a Hopfian or co-Hopfian object of $G\mathcal{H}$. Our results extend the results of [9] to the category $G\mathcal{H}$. Since G is discrete, every object of $G\mathcal{H}$ is also an object of \mathcal{H} . We provide examples to show that the Hopficity and the co-Hopficity of an object X of $G\mathcal{H}$ are independent of the Hopficity and the co-Hopficity of X when considered as an object of \mathcal{H} .

I would like to thank Dr. P. Sankaran for his help in improving some of the results. I would also like to thank the referee for several suggestions.

2. HOPFIAN OBJECTS

Let O_G denote the category of canonical orbits. More precisely, objects of O_G are homogeneous spaces G/H , H a subgroup of G , and a morphism $\hat{g} : G/H \rightarrow G/K$ of O_G is given by a subconjugacy relation $g^{-1}Hg \subset K$ (cf. [2]).

An abelian O_G -group is a contravariant functor from the category O_G to the category $\mathcal{A}b$ of abelian groups. Such objects, along with obvious morphisms (natural transformations) between them form an abelian category \mathcal{C}_G . We shall denote the zero object in the abelian category \mathcal{C}_G by $\underline{0} : O_G \rightarrow \mathcal{A}b$, $G/H \mapsto 0$, the trivial group.

Received by the editors August 21, 1995.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 55N25, 55P10.

If X is an object of $G\mathcal{H}$, then for every $i \geq 0$, we have an abelian O_G -group $\underline{H}_i X : O_G \rightarrow \mathcal{A}b$, defined by $\underline{H}_i X(G/H) = H_i(X^H)$, the i -th integral homology group of X^H , X^H being the H -fixed point set of X , for every object G/H of O_G , and $\underline{H}_i X(\hat{g}) = H_i(g) : H_i(X^K) \rightarrow H_i(X^H)$ for every morphism $\hat{g} : G/H \rightarrow G/K$ of O_G , where $g : X^K \rightarrow X^H$ is induced by the action of G on X . Similarly, we have O_G -groups $\underline{\pi}_i X, \underline{\pi}_1 X$ need not be abelian. A morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ of $G\mathcal{H}$ induces a natural transformation $f_* : \underline{H}_n X \rightarrow \underline{H}_n Y$, where $f_*(G/H) = H_n(f^H) : H_n(X^H) \rightarrow H_n(Y^H), n \geq 0$.

We have the following easy lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *A morphism $\eta : T \rightarrow S$ in C_G is an epimorphism (respectively, monomorphism) in C_G if and only if $\eta(G/H) : T(G/H) \rightarrow S(G/H)$ is an epimorphism (respectively, monomorphism) in $\mathcal{A}b$ for every object G/H of O_G . \square*

Remark 2.2. If C'_G is the category of O_G -groups, then a morphism $\eta : T \rightarrow S$ in C'_G is a monomorphism if and only if $\eta(G/H)$ is a monomorphism in the category \mathcal{G} of groups. If a morphism $\eta : T \rightarrow S$ satisfies that $\eta(G/H)$ is onto for every object G/H of O_G , then η is an epimorphism in C'_G .

It follows immediately from the above discussion that :

Proposition 2.3. *If an object T in C_G satisfies the condition that $T(G/H)$ is Hopfian (respectively, co-Hopfian) in $\mathcal{A}b$ for every object G/H of O_G , then T is a Hopfian (respectively, co-Hopfian) object in C_G . \square*

Since the Hopfian and co-Hopfian objects in $\mathcal{A}b$ are by now well studied (cf. [1]), the above result gives an idea about the Hopfian and co-Hopfian objects in C_G .

Definition 2.4. A morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in $G\mathcal{H}$ is a *weak G -homology equivalence* if $f_* : \underline{H}_n X \rightarrow \underline{H}_n Y$ is an isomorphism for every $n \geq 0$.

Note that if a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ of $G\mathcal{H}$ is such that $f_*(G/H) : \pi_n(X^H) \rightarrow \pi_n(Y^H)$ is an isomorphism for every $n \geq 0$, then f is a G -homotopy equivalence [3].

Proposition 2.5. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an epimorphism in $G\mathcal{H}$. Then $f_* : \underline{H}_k X \rightarrow \underline{H}_k Y$ is an epimorphism in C_G for all $k \geq 0$.*

Proof. We may without loss of generality assume (by replacing Y by the equivariant mapping cylinder of f) that f is an inclusion. Then consider the maps $\pi : Y \rightarrow Y/X$ and $c : Y \rightarrow Y/X$, where π is the quotient and c is the constant G -map. Then $\pi \circ f = c \circ f$. Since f is an epimorphism, it follows that π is G -homotopic to c . Now for every $H \subset G$, it follows from the exact homology sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow H_k(X^H) \rightarrow H_k(Y^H) \rightarrow H_k((Y/X)^H) = H_k(Y^H/X^H) \rightarrow \dots$$

that $f_*^H : H_k(X^H) \rightarrow H_k(Y^H)$ is an epimorphism in $\mathcal{A}b$ for every $k \geq 1$. The result follows from Lemma 2.1. \square

Remark 2.6. Note that for any morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in $G\mathcal{H}$, $f_* : \underline{H}_0 X \rightarrow \underline{H}_0 Y$ is an isomorphism. This follows from the fact that $H_0(X^H)$ is generated by the homology class of the base point $x^0 \in X^G$ in $H_0(X^H)$, and f being a morphism in $G\mathcal{H}$, $(f^H)_*$ maps the generator of $H_0(X^H)$ onto the generator of $H_0(Y^H)$.

Theorem 2.7. *Let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a self-epimorphism in $G\mathcal{H}$. If $\underline{H}_n X, n \geq 1$ are Hopfian objects in C_G , then f is a weak G -homology equivalence.*

Proof. By the Remark 2.6 $f_* : \underline{H}_0 X \rightarrow \underline{H}_0 X$ is an isomorphism. Since f is an epimorphism, Proposition 2.5 implies that $f_* : \underline{H}_n X \rightarrow \underline{H}_n X$ is an epimorphism for every $n \geq 1$. The result now follows as $\underline{H}_n X, n \geq 1$ are Hopfian objects in \mathcal{C}_G . \square

Recall from [4] the following definition.

Definition 2.8. A G -space X is *nilpotent* if each $\pi_n X, n \geq 1$ is nilpotent as an O_G -module over $\pi_1 X$, that is, there are O_G -submodules

$$\{0\} = \pi_{n,0} X \subset \pi_{n,1} X \subset \dots \subset \pi_{n,r_n} X = \pi_n X$$

such that the subquotients $A_{n,j} = \pi_{n,j+1} X / \pi_{n,j} X$ are abelian with trivial $\pi_1 X$ -action.

This is equivalent to saying each X^H is nilpotent in the usual sense with a uniform bound on the order of nilpotence in each dimension (of course, this last condition is vacuous if G is finite).

Corollary 2.9. *Let an object X of $G\mathcal{H}$ be nilpotent as a G -space, and $\underline{H}_n X, n \geq 1$ are Hopfian in \mathcal{C}_G , then X is Hopfian in $G\mathcal{H}$.*

Proof. Let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a self-epimorphism in $G\mathcal{H}$. Then by Theorem 2.7 f is a weak G -homology equivalence. But X^H being nilpotent for every $H \subset G$, it follows that $f^H : X^H \rightarrow X^H$ is a homotopy equivalence and hence $f : X \rightarrow X$ is a G -homotopy equivalence. This completes the proof. \square

It may be noted that Corollary 1.1 of [9] follows from Corollary 2.9 by taking G to be the trivial group.

Let $\lambda : O_G \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be an O_G -group, and $K(\lambda, 1)$ denote the equivariant Eilenberg-Mac Lane complex of the type $(\lambda, 1)$ [4]. It may be remarked that for any O_G -group $\lambda : O_G \rightarrow \mathcal{A}b, K(\lambda, n)$ is the classifying space for the Bredon cohomology with coefficient λ [2].

Proposition 2.10. *For any object X of $G\mathcal{H}$ and O_G -group $\lambda : O_G \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ there is an adjunction equivalence $[X, K(\lambda, 1)]_G \leftrightarrow Hom(\pi_1 X, \lambda)$.*

Proof. If $f : X \rightarrow K(\lambda, 1)$ represents an element of $[X, K(\lambda, 1)]_G$, then the corresponding natural transformation in $Hom(\pi_1 X, \lambda)$ is given by $f_* : \pi_1 X \rightarrow \lambda$ (note that $\pi_1 K(\lambda, 1) = \lambda$). Conversely, a natural transformation $T : \pi_1 X \rightarrow \lambda$ induces a G -map $T_* : K(\pi_1 X, 1) \rightarrow K(\lambda, 1)$ (cf. [4]). Note that X can be regarded as a G -subcomplex of $K(\pi_1 X, 1)$, for we may obtain $K(\pi_1 X, 1)$ from X by attaching suitable equivariant cells to X to kill the higher homotopy groups of the fixed point sets of X . The class represented by T_*/X in $[X, K(\lambda, 1)]_G$ is then the element which corresponds to T . \square

It follows immediately from Proposition 2.10 that :

Proposition 2.11. *If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an epimorphism in $G\mathcal{H}$, then $f_* : \pi_1 X \rightarrow \pi_1 Y$ is an epimorphism in \mathcal{C}'_G .* \square

Corollary 2.12. *If $\lambda : O_G \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is Hopfian in \mathcal{C}'_G , then $K(\lambda, 1)$ is a Hopfian object in $G\mathcal{H}$.* \square

Corollary 2.13. *If X is G - $(n - 1)$ -connected, $n > 1$, (that is, each X^H is $(n - 1)$ -connected) and $f : X \rightarrow X$ is an epimorphism in $G\mathcal{H}$, then $f_* : \pi_n X \rightarrow \pi_n X$ is an epimorphism.*

Proof. Note that since X is G - $(n - 1)$ -connected, the natural transformation $\pi_n X \rightarrow \underline{H}_n X$ given by the Hurewicz homomorphism is actually an isomorphism. The result now follows from Proposition 2.5. \square

Thus in view of Remark 2.2, it follows that if $\lambda : O_G \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is such that $\lambda(G/H)$ is a Hopfian group for every $H \subset G$, then $K(\lambda, 1)$ is a Hopfian object in $G\mathcal{H}$. In fact, if $\lambda : O_G \rightarrow \mathcal{A}b$ is a Hopfian object in \mathcal{C}_G , then $K(\lambda, n)$ is Hopfian for every integer $n > 1$. To see this, we first need to prove the following result.

Proposition 2.14. *If X is G - $(n - 1)$ -connected, $n > 1$, then there is an adjunction equivalence $[X, K(\lambda, n)]_G \leftrightarrow \text{Hom}(\pi_n X, \lambda)$, for any $\lambda : O_G \rightarrow \mathcal{A}b$.*

Proof. Recall from [2] that there exists a spectral sequence whose E_2 term is $E_2^{p,q} = \text{Ext}^p(\underline{H}_q X, \lambda) \Rightarrow H_G^{p+q}(X; \lambda)$, here $H_G^{p+q}(X; \lambda)$ is the Bredon cohomology group of X with coefficient λ . There is an edge homomorphism $H_G^n(X; \lambda) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\underline{H}_n X; \lambda)$ of the above spectral sequence, which is an isomorphism if $\underline{H}_q X$ is projective for $q < n$. Now, since X is G - $(n - 1)$ -connected, $\underline{H}_q X = \underline{0}$ for $0 < q < n$ and $\underline{H}_n X \cong \pi_n X$, where $\underline{0} : O_G \rightarrow \mathcal{A}b$ is the zero object in the category \mathcal{C}_G . Moreover, since X is G -path-connected, $\underline{H}_0 X(G/H) = \mathbb{Z}\langle x^0 \rangle$, where x^0 is the base point and $\langle x^0 \rangle$ is the homology class of x^0 and $\underline{H}_0 X(\hat{g}) = id$. The result now follows from the fact that if B is projective in $\mathcal{A}b$, then \underline{B} is projective in \mathcal{C}_G , where \underline{B} is defined by $\underline{B}(G/H) = B$ for every $H \subset G$ and $\underline{B}(\hat{g}) = id$, for every morphism $\hat{g} : G/H \rightarrow G/K$ of O_G . \square

Corollary 2.15. *If $\lambda : O_G \rightarrow \mathcal{A}b$ is Hopfian in \mathcal{C}_G , then $K(\lambda, n)$ is Hopfian in $G\mathcal{H}$.* \square

Example 2.16. Let X be a G -connected finite G -CW-complex (that is, X has a finite number of equivariant cells) which has one G -fixed 0-cell and no 1-cell. Since $H_i(X^H)$ is finitely generated abelian for every $H \subset G$, by Lemma 2.1 $\underline{H}_i X$ is Hopfian. Moreover it is clear that X is G -simply-connected and hence nilpotent. Thus by Corollary 2.9, X is Hopfian in $G\mathcal{H}$.

Example 2.17. Consider the real $4k$ -dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^{4k} as the quaternion k -space \mathbb{H}^k . Let τ be a quaternion of norm one and order p , an odd prime. We can take $\tau = e^{2\pi i/p}$. Define an action of \mathbb{Z}_p on $\mathbb{R}^{4k} \cong \mathbb{H}^k$ by

$$\tau(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) = (\tau a_1 \tau^{-1}, \tau a_2 \tau^{-1}, \dots, \tau a_k \tau^{-1}),$$

for any k -tuple of quaternions (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) . Since this action is norm preserving, there results a \mathbb{Z}_p -action on the $(4k - 1)$ -sphere S^{4k-1} . The fixed point sets are S^{4k-1} and $(S^{4k-1})^{\mathbb{Z}_p}$. To determine $(S^{4k-1})^{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ we proceed as follows. Let $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) \in (S^{4k-1})^{\mathbb{Z}_p}$, where $a_r = a_r^1 + a_r^2 i + a_r^3 j + a_r^4 k = A_r^1 + A_r^2 j$, and $A_r^1 = a_r^1 + a_r^2 i, A_r^2 = a_r^3 + a_r^4 i, r = 1, 2, \dots, k$. We must have $\tau a_r = a_r \tau$. Now $\tau a_r = \tau A_r^1 + \tau A_r^2 j$, whereas $a_r \tau = (A_r^1 + A_r^2 j)\tau = A_r^1 \tau + A_r^2 \tau j$. Thus we must have $\tau A_r^2 = \bar{\tau} A_r^2$ or $(\tau - \bar{\tau})A_r^2 = 0$. Therefore, $A_r^2 = 0$, as $\tau \neq \bar{\tau}$. Therefore $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) \in \mathbb{C}^k$, with $\|(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)\| = 1$. Thus $(S^{4k-1})^{\mathbb{Z}_p} = S^{2k-1}$. Now S^{4k-1} is a smooth compact \mathbb{Z}_p -manifold, it admits a structure of a finite \mathbb{Z}_p -CW-complex which is \mathbb{Z}_p -path-connected and has a base point. Moreover, note that the fixed point sets S^{4k-1} and S^{2k-1} being simply-connected, are nilpotent. It is now easy to check that all the conditions of Corollary 2.9 are satisfied and hence it is a Hopfian object in $G\mathcal{H}$ where $G = \mathbb{Z}_p$.

3. CO-HOPFIAN OBJECTS

In this section we obtain conditions for an object X of $G\mathcal{H}$ to be a co-Hopfian object. The following proposition is a straightforward consequence of Proposition 2.10.

Proposition 3.1. $f : S \rightarrow T$ is a monomorphism in C'_G if and only if the induced map $f_* : K(S, 1) \rightarrow K(T, 1)$ is a monomorphism in $G\mathcal{H}$. □

Corollary 3.2. For an object $\lambda : O_G \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ in C'_G , $K(\lambda, 1)$ is co-Hopfian if and only if λ is co-Hopfian. □

As before, we may obtain from Proposition 2.14 that :

Corollary 3.3. For $\lambda : O_G \rightarrow Ab$ in C_G and $n > 1$, $K(\lambda, n)$ is co-Hopfian in $G\mathcal{H}$ if and only if λ is co-Hopfian in C_G . □

Definition 3.4. For an object X of $G\mathcal{H}$, we say $\pi_i X$ is finitely generated if $\pi_i X(G/H) = \pi_i(X^H)$ is finitely generated for every $H \subset G$. X will be called G -homotopically finite type if $\pi_i X$ is finitely generated for all $i \geq 2$.

Theorem 3.5. Suppose an object X of $G\mathcal{H}$ is G -homotopically finite type and such that $\pi_1(X^H)$ is a co-Hopfian group and the inclusion $X^H \subset X$ is a monomorphism in \mathcal{H} for every $H \subset G$. Then X is a co-Hopfian object in $G\mathcal{H}$.

Proof. Let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a self-monomorphism in $G\mathcal{H}$. We show that under the given hypothesis $f^H : X^H \rightarrow X^H$ is a monomorphism in \mathcal{H} for every $H \subset G$. Since $\pi_i(X^H)$ is finitely generated for all $i \geq 2$ and $\pi_1(X^H)$ is co-Hopfian, it will follow from Theorem 7 and Corollary 2 of [5] that $f^H : X^H \rightarrow X^H$ is a homotopy equivalence. Hence f is a G -homotopy equivalence.

First we show that $f = f^{\{e\}} : X = X^{\{e\}} \rightarrow X^{\{e\}} = X$ is a monomorphism in \mathcal{H} . We assume that the base point $x^0 \in X^G$ is a G -fixed 0-cell in X . Let $\alpha, \beta : Y \rightarrow X$ be morphisms in \mathcal{H} such that $f \circ \alpha \simeq f \circ \beta$. Let $F : Y \times I \rightarrow X$ be the homotopy $f \circ \alpha \simeq f \circ \beta$. Consider $Y \times G$ as a G -space, where the action of G is given by $g(y, h) = (y, gh)$, for all $g \in G, h \in G, y \in Y$. Clearly, the above action is free. Let y^0 be the base point of Y , which is a 0-cell of Y . Define $\bar{\alpha} : Y \times G \rightarrow X$ by $\bar{\alpha}(y, e) = \alpha(y)$ and $\bar{\alpha}(y, g) = g\alpha(y)$. Then $\bar{\alpha}$ is a G -map. Note that $\bar{\alpha}(y^0, g) = x^0$ for all $g \in G$. Let Y_G be the space obtained from $Y \times G$ by identifying all points $(y^0, g), g \in G$. Then Y_G is a G -complex having a natural base point, which is a G -fixed 0-cell and is clearly an object of $G\mathcal{H}$. The map $\bar{\alpha}$ induces a G -map $\tilde{\alpha} : Y_G \rightarrow X$ which is base point preserving. Similarly, we have $\tilde{\beta} : Y_G \rightarrow X$. The homotopy $F : Y \times I \rightarrow X$ gives rise to a G -homotopy $\bar{F} : Y \times G \times I \rightarrow X$, between $f \circ \bar{\alpha}$ and $f \circ \bar{\beta}$, by setting $\bar{F}(y, e, t) = F(y, t)$ and $\bar{F}(y, g, t) = gF(y, t)$ for all $g \in G, t \in I$. Since the homotopy F is base point preserving, \bar{F} induces a G -homotopy $\tilde{F} : Y_G \times I \rightarrow X$, such that \tilde{F} is a G -homotopy between $f \circ \tilde{\alpha}$ and $f \circ \tilde{\beta}$. Since f is a monomorphism in $G\mathcal{H}$, $\tilde{\alpha}$ is G -homotopic to $\tilde{\beta}$. Let $\tilde{F}_1 : Y_G \times I \rightarrow X$ be a G -homotopy between them. Let $i : Y \rightarrow Y_G$ be the imbedding $y \mapsto [y, e]$. Let $F_1 : Y \times I \rightarrow X$ be the composition of $i \times id : Y \times I \rightarrow Y_G \times I$ and \tilde{F}_1 . Then it is easy to see that $F_1 : \alpha \simeq \beta$. Thus $f^{\{e\}} : X^{\{e\}} \rightarrow X^{\{e\}}$ is a monomorphism in \mathcal{H} .

Next, let $H \subset G$. Let $\alpha, \beta : Y \rightarrow X^H$ be any two morphisms in \mathcal{H} such that $f^H \circ \alpha \simeq f^H \circ \beta$. Let i denote the inclusion $X^H \subset X$. Then, $i \circ f^H \circ \alpha \simeq i \circ f^H \circ \beta$. This implies $f \circ i \circ \alpha \simeq f \circ i \circ \beta$, since f being a G -map $i \circ f^H = f \circ i$. Since $f^{\{e\}}$

is a monomorphism in \mathcal{H} , we conclude $i \circ \alpha \simeq i \circ \beta$. Now since $i : X^H \subset X$ is a monomorphism, it follows that $\alpha \simeq \beta$. Therefore, f^H is a monomorphism in \mathcal{H} . This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

As an immediate corollary we get

Corollary 3.6. *Suppose X is an object of $G\mathcal{H}$ such that the action of G is semifree and $X^G = \{x^0\}$, x^0 is the G -fixed 0-cell. Moreover, suppose that $\pi_i(X)$ is finitely generated for $i \geq 2$ and $\pi_1(X)$ is a co-Hopfian group. Then X is a co-Hopfian object in $G\mathcal{H}$. \square*

Example 3.7. Let $n \geq 2$ and $X = S^n \vee S^n$. Then X has a \mathbb{Z}_2 -CW-complex structure as described below. It has one 0-cell of the type $\mathbb{Z}_2/\mathbb{Z}_2$ and one equivariant n -cell of the type $\mathbb{Z}_2/\{e\}$, where e denotes the identity element of \mathbb{Z}_2 . This action is given by “switching coordinates”, regarding the wedge as a subspace of the Cartesian product $S^n \times S^n$. Since X is a 1-connected finite complex, $\pi_q(X)$ is finitely generated. Moreover, $\pi_1(X) = \{0\}$. Hence it follows from Corollary 3.6 that X is co-Hopfian in $G\mathcal{H}$ where $G = \mathbb{Z}_2$.

4. $G\mathcal{H}$ VERSUS \mathcal{H}

Recall that if G is discrete and X a G -CW-complex, then X is in a canonical way a CW-complex (cf. [3], p. 102). Thus if X is an object of $G\mathcal{H}$, then X can also be regarded as an object of \mathcal{H} . We shall show by the following examples that an object X of $G\mathcal{H}$ can be Hopfian (respectively, co-Hopfian) in $G\mathcal{H}$ without being Hopfian (respectively, co-Hopfian) in \mathcal{H} , and vice versa.

Example 4.1. Let $G = \mathbb{Z}_2$. Define an O_G -group $\lambda : O_G \rightarrow \mathcal{A}b$ as follows. $\lambda(G/G) = \mathbb{Z}$, $\lambda(G/\{e\}) = \{0\}$, the trivial group, and $\lambda(G/\{e\} \rightarrow G/G) : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \{0\}$ is the obvious homomorphism. Let $X = K(\lambda, 1)$. Then X is co-Hopfian in \mathcal{H} , but not co-Hopfian in $G\mathcal{H}$.

To see this, note that $X = X^{\{e\}} = K(\lambda(G/\{e\}), 1)$. Hence X is contractible. Therefore X is co-Hopfian in \mathcal{H} . Next, note that λ is not co-Hopfian in \mathcal{C}_G . For, $\eta : \lambda \rightarrow \lambda$ defined by $\eta(G/G) : x \mapsto 2x$, $\eta(G/\{e\}) = id_{\{0\}}$ is a monomorphism, but not an isomorphism in \mathcal{C}_G by Lemma 2.1. It follows from Corollary 3.2 that X is not co-Hopfian in $G\mathcal{H}$.

Let $G = \mathbb{Z}$, and H_n denote the subgroup $2^n\mathbb{Z}$, $n \geq 0$. If H is a subgroup of G , $H \neq H_n$ for all n , then $H = k\mathbb{Z}$, where $k = 2^{n_i}\ell$, ℓ odd, ℓ is not 1 or -1 and $n_i \geq 0$. Clearly $k\mathbb{Z} \subset H_{n_i}$ and there is no subconjugacy relation of the type $H_m \subset k\mathbb{Z}$. Also note that $H_{n+1} \subset H_n$ for all n . We define an O_G -group $\lambda : O_G \rightarrow \mathcal{A}b$ as follows. Let Q^∞ denote the direct sum $\bigoplus_i Qe_i$ of countable copies of Q with basis $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n, \dots\}$. Thus Q^∞ is a vector space over Q . Clearly, Q^∞ is neither Hopfian nor co-Hopfian in $\mathcal{A}b$. Let $Q^n = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n Qe_i$. Note that every group homomorphism $Q^n \rightarrow Q^n$ is actually a Q -linear homomorphism $Q^n \rightarrow Q^n$. Then it is easy to see that Q^n is both Hopfian and co-Hopfian in $\mathcal{A}b$. Set $\lambda(G/\{e\}) = Q^\infty$, $\lambda(G/H_n) = Q^n$ for all $n \geq 0$. If $H = k\mathbb{Z}$, $k = 2^{n_i}\ell$, ℓ odd and not equal to 1 or -1 , $n_i \geq 0$, then we set $\lambda(G/k\mathbb{Z}) = Q^{n_i}$. Here, $Q^0 = \{0\}$, the trivial group. For every subconjugacy relation $H_{n+1} \subset H_n$, let

$$\lambda(G/H_{n+1} \rightarrow G/H_n) : Q^n \rightarrow Q^{n+1}$$

be the standard inclusion. For $k\mathbb{Z} \subset H_{n_i}$, $k = 2^{n_i}\ell$, ℓ odd and not equal to 1 or -1 , $n_i \geq 0$, let $\lambda(G/k\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow G/H_{n_i}) : Q^{n_i} \rightarrow Q^{n_i}$ be the identity. Again, for the

inclusions $\{e\} \subset H_n$ and $\{e\} \subset k\mathbb{Z}$, $k = 2^{n_i} \ell$, ℓ odd and not equal to 1 or -1 , $n_i \geq 0$, we set $\lambda(G/\{e\} \rightarrow G/H_n) : Q^n \rightarrow Q^\infty$ and $\lambda(G/\{e\} \rightarrow G/k\mathbb{Z}) : Q^{n_i} \rightarrow Q^\infty$ to be the obvious inclusions. Then it is easy to see that λ is a contravariant functor from O_G to Ab .

Example 4.2. Let λ be as above and $X = K(\lambda, 1)$. Then X is co-Hopfian in $G\mathcal{H}$, but not co-Hopfian in \mathcal{H} .

Since $X = X^{\{e\}} = K(\lambda(G/\{e\}), 1)$ and Q^∞ is not co-Hopfian, it follows that X is not co-Hopfian in \mathcal{H} . To show that X is co-Hopfian in $G\mathcal{H}$, by Corollary 3.2, it is enough to show that λ is co-Hopfian. Let $\eta : \lambda \rightarrow \lambda$ be a monomorphism. Then, by Lemma 2.1, $\eta(G/H) : \lambda(G/H) \rightarrow \lambda(G/H)$ is a monomorphism for every subgroup H of G . By construction of λ , it is clear that $\eta(G/H)$ is an isomorphism for every subgroup $H \neq \{e\}$. We shall show that $\eta(G/\{e\})$ is also an isomorphism. Let $x \in Q^\infty$. Then we can find n such that $x \in Q^n$. Since $\eta(G/H_n)$ is an isomorphism, x lies in the image of $\eta(G/H_n)$. By naturality of η we have

$$\eta(G/\{e\})\lambda(G/\{e\} \rightarrow G/H_n) = \lambda(G/\{e\} \rightarrow G/H_n)\eta(G/H_n).$$

It follows from Lemma 2.1 that η is an isomorphism. Thus λ is co-Hopfian.

Example 4.3. Let $G = \mathbb{Z}$, and $\lambda : O_G \rightarrow Ab$ be as in Example 4.2. Let $X = K(\lambda, 1)$. Then X is Hopfian in $G\mathcal{H}$, but not Hopfian in \mathcal{H} .

By an argument similar to the previous case, one can show that every epimorphism $\eta : \lambda \rightarrow \lambda$ is an isomorphism. Thus λ is Hopfian. It follows from Corollary 2.12 that X is Hopfian in $G\mathcal{H}$. To show that X is not Hopfian in \mathcal{H} , it is enough to produce a self-epimorphism of X which is not an equivalence. Since Q^∞ is not Hopfian, we have an epimorphism $f : Q^\infty \rightarrow Q^\infty$ which is not an isomorphism. Let $F : X = K(Q^\infty, 1) \rightarrow K(Q^\infty, 1) = X$ be the map induced by f . Clearly, F is not an equivalence as $\pi_1(F) = f$ is not an isomorphism. We claim that F is an epimorphism. Let $\alpha, \beta : X \rightarrow Y$ be base point preserving maps such that $\alpha \circ F \simeq \beta \circ F$. Since $f : Q^\infty \rightarrow Q^\infty$ is surjective, there exists a homomorphism $s : Q^\infty \rightarrow Q^\infty$ such that $f \circ s = id$. Let $S : X \rightarrow X$ be the map induced by s . Then $F \circ S \simeq id_X$. This implies $\alpha \simeq \beta$. Thus F is an epimorphism. Hence X is not Hopfian in \mathcal{H} .

Example 4.4. Let $G = \mathbb{Z}_2$, and $\lambda : O_G \rightarrow Ab$ be the O_G -group defined as follows: $\lambda(G/G) = Q^\infty$, $\lambda(G/\{e\}) = \{0\}$, and $\lambda(G/\{e\} \rightarrow G/G) : Q^\infty \rightarrow \{0\}$ is the obvious homomorphism. Then $X = K(\lambda, 1)$ is Hopfian in \mathcal{H} , but not Hopfian in $G\mathcal{H}$.

Clearly X is Hopfian in \mathcal{H} , as X is contractible. To see that X is not Hopfian in $G\mathcal{H}$, it is enough to find an epimorphism in $G\mathcal{H}$ which is not an equivalence. Let $\alpha : \lambda \rightarrow \lambda$ be the natural transformation defined as follows: $\alpha(G/\{e\}) = id_{\{0\}}$ and $\alpha(G/G) : Q^\infty \rightarrow Q^\infty$ any epimorphism which is not an isomorphism. Let $\beta : Q^\infty \rightarrow Q^\infty$ be a homomorphism such that $\alpha(G/G) \circ \beta = id$. This defines a right inverse $\underline{\beta} : \lambda \rightarrow \lambda$ of α , where $\underline{\beta}(G/G) = \beta$ and $\underline{\beta}(G/\{e\}) = id_{\{0\}}$. Let $T, S : X \rightarrow X$ be the G -maps induced by α and $\underline{\beta}$ respectively. Then $T \circ S$ is G -homotopic to id_X . Clearly, T is not a G -equivalence, as $\pi_1(T^G) = \alpha(G/G)$ is not an isomorphism. Now proceeding as in Example 4.3 one shows that $T : X \rightarrow X$ is an epimorphism in $G\mathcal{H}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Baumslag, Hopficity and Abelian groups, *Topics in Abelian groups, Proc. Symp. on Abelian groups*, New Mexico State Univ., 1962, 331-335. MR **30**:139
- [2] G. E. Bredon, *Equivariant Cohomology Theories*, Lec. Notes in Math., Springer-Verlag, 1967. MR **35**:4914
- [3] T. tom Dieck, *Transformation groups*, Walter de Gruyter, 1987. MR **89c**:57048
- [4] A. D. Elmendorf, System of fixed point sets, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 227, 1983, 275-284. MR **84f**:57029
- [5] P. Hilton and J. Roitberg, *Note on epimorphisms and monomorphisms in homotopy theory*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 90, 1984, 316-320. MR **85j**:55014
- [6] P. Hilton and J. Roitberg, *Relative epimorphisms and monomorphisms in homotopy theory*, *Compositio Math.*, 61, 1987, 353-367. MR **88d**:55012
- [7] V. A. Hiremath, *Hopfian rings and Hopfian modules*, *Indian J. Pure and Appl. Math.*, 17, 1986, 895-900. MR **87i**:16031
- [8] M. Orzech and L. Ribes, *Residual finiteness and the Hopf property of rings*, *J. Alg.*, 15, 1970, 81-88. MR **41**:248
- [9] J. Roitberg, *Monomorphisms and epimorphisms in homotopy theory*, *Israel J. Math.*, 46, 1983, 205-211. MR **85i**:55010
- [10] J. Roitberg, *Residually finite Hopfian and co-Hopfian spaces*, *Contemp. Math.*, 37, 1985, 131-144. MR **86i**:55009
- [11] K. Varadarajan, *Hopfian and co-Hopfian objects*, *Publicacions Matemàtiques*, 36, 1992, 293-317. MR **93i**:16002

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, SPIC SCIENCE FOUNDATION, 92, G. N. CHETTY ROAD, MADRAS-17, INDIA

E-mail address: `goutam@ssf.ernet.in`

Current address: Stat-Math Unit, Indian Statistical Institute, 203, B.T. Road, Calcutta-35, India

E-mail address: `goutam@isical.ernet.in`