## A RAPID AND RELIABLE METHOD FOR ESTIMATING PERCENTILE SCORES OF EXAMINATION MARKS AND PSYCHOMETRIC TEST SCORES.

RHEA S. DAS
Indian Statistical Institute
Calcutta.

Percentile scores are cate first, second and third one type of derived scores class marks, but the perobtained by a non-linear centage of students in the transformation of the ori- several classes varies conginal test scores. A per- siderably from year to centile is defined as a year. The standing of a score value below which student, relative to his fall a given percent of group is not indicated by frequencies. The popu- his 'percent marks', as larity and value of per- for example '60' might centile scores lie in their refer to the 90th percentease of interpretation and ile one year and to the the information which 80th percentile another they provide of the rela- year. In the case of tive standing of individu- aptitude and achievement als in a group. While tests, raw scores neither the traditional 'percent reveal comparative standmarks' of the universities ing of students nor are are also easily interpreted, they readily interpretable they do not indicate the as the range of possible position of individual stu- scores varies widely from dents relative to the group test to test. If marks or as a whole. Arbitrary test scores are altered in standards are set to indi- some way to indicate the

position of individuals re- these methods in terms of lative to the group, their the following oriteria: practical value is enhan- economy of time, reliced for such purposes as ability or repeatability. promotion and failure, awards, counselling, vocational guidance and reports of progress to parents. Transforming the marks or scores to percentile scores is one method of accomplishing this purpose.

For the computation of percentile scores three methods are generally in standard described texts (1, 4, 5, 6): first, direct computation of the one hundred percentile points:second computation of the percentiles for the mid-points of class intervals and arithmetic interpolation of the remaining percentiles; and third, plotting the percentiles corresponding to the tops of class intervals and drawing a smoothed curve fers from relatively lower from which the percent- reliability. The difficultile scores are read off, ies associated with these The educator or psycho- methods suggest that a logist may select one of rapid method should be

and freedom from chance fluctuations in the original score distributions. If the first method mentioned is examined in terms of these criteria. it will be found that it is reliable but not economical of time nor free from chance fluctuations. The second method is reliable and relatively free from chance fluctuations, but is still relatively time consuming. It is also interesting that the standard texts do not detail the appropriate method of interpolation which may not be familiar to most users of percentile scores. Finally. while the third method is economical of time and relatively free from chance fluctuation, it suf-

developed which is reliable and relatively free from chance fluctuations. The method outlined be-

low was developed in an attempt to satisfy these criteria.

shown, the relationship between test score and the cumulative percentage receiving that score or less is sigmoidal or S-shaped. When the relationship is plotted with cumulative percentage as ordinate and total score as abscissa. it is the familiar ogive, or cumulative percentage curve, of statistics. This sigmoidal relationship does not permit an arithmetic transformation of the original scores to percentile scores. If a transformation could be applied to the relationship making it linear, arithmetical operations could be employed to estimate percentile scores. A transformation which reduces

the sigmoidal response

curve to a straight line on

the basis of the normal

'probit transformation', As Adkins (1) has was first enunciated by the psycho-physicist Fechner (2), but it has not been widely applied in the analysis of psychological data in recent years. In the paragraphs below the use of the probit transformation to obtain the estimates of percentile scores is outlined. Probits may be defined as transformed scores with a mean, K, of 5 and standard deviation. S. of 1. They are related to the

integral has been deve-

loped and refined for pro-

blems concerning the toxi-

city of insecticides and

fungicides (3). This trans-

formation, known as the

original scores by the formula 
$$Y = K + S \left( \frac{X - \overline{X}}{\sigma} \right) \quad [1]$$
 where 
$$Y = \text{probit}$$
 
$$K = \text{derived mean, 5}$$
 
$$S = \text{derived standard deviation, 1}$$
 
$$X = \text{any original score}$$
 
$$X = \text{mean of the original}$$
 scores

T = standard deviation of

the original scores

same as that given in fied formulae to facilitate standard texts (e.g., 1,6) computation: to compute standard or Z 1. For a normal distriscores. The relationship bution, equation [1] gives between probit scores and the maximum likelihood percentages has been tabulated to obtain probits values. from percentages (3). If the relationship is tabulated in the reverse direction, to read percentages from probits, percentile

scores can be rapidly esti-

mated from scores trans-

formed so that their mean

is 5 and their standard

deviation equals 1. The

estimate of the probit a. Compute  $\overline{X}$  and  $\sigma$ of the original test scores, following a method outlined in standard texts (e.g., 4,5). For example, the sum of scores  $(\leq X)^{s}$ . the sum of the squared scores ≤X3, and number of scores N, may be used to compute  $\overline{X}$  and  $\sigma$ . detailed steps are given

$$\overline{X} = \frac{\leqslant X}{N} \quad .... \quad .... \quad [2]$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} \sqrt{N} \leqslant X^{2} - (\leqslant X)^{3} \quad .... \quad [3]$$

b. Obtain the constants A and B where

$$A = \frac{1}{} \qquad \dots \qquad [4]$$

$$B = 5 - \frac{\overline{X}}{\sigma} \qquad .... \qquad [5]$$

c. Prepare a table list- score. Add B to the proing in the first column the duct A(X) for each score, original scores X in des- and enter this sum in the cending order. In the third column. The third second column give the column then gives Y by the product A(X) for each formula Y = A(X) + B....[6]

given in the first column

ing columns give Y in 0.01

which is a simplified form values, in 0.1 steps, are of formula [1] (6, p. 269).

- 2. In order to convert of Table 1. The remainthe Y scores to percentiles. Table 1 has been steps. The corresponding prepared.
  - percentile values are given a. The probit or Y in the body of the table.

Table 1: Transformation of probits, or Y scores with mean of 5 and standard deviation of 1, to percentiles

Probits	0	.01	.02	.03	.04	.05	.06	.07	.08	. 09
2.6	0.82	0.84	0.87	0.89	0.91	0.94	0.96	0.99	1.02	1.04
2.7	1.07	1.10	1.13	1.16	1.19	1.22	1.25	1.29	1.32	1.36
2.8	1.39	1.43	1.46	1 50	1.54	1.58	1.62	1.66	1.70	1.74
2.9	1.79	1.83	1.88	1.92	1.97	2.02	2.07	2.12	2.17	2.22
3.0	2.28	2.33	2.39	2.44	2.50	2.56	2.62	2.68	2.74	2.81
3.1	2.87	2.94	3.01	3.07	3.14	3.22	3.29	3.36	3.44	3.51
3.2	3.59	3.67	3.75	3.84	3.92	4.01	4.09	4.18	4.27	4.36
3.3	4.46	4.55	4.65	4.75	4.85	4.95	5.05	5.16	5.26	5.37
3.4	5.48	5.59	5.71	5.82	5.94	6.06	6.18	6.30	6.43	6.55
3.5	6.68	6.81	6.94	7.08	7.21	7.35	7.49	7.64	7.78	7.93
3.6	8.08	8.23	8.38	8.53	8.69	8.85	9.01	9.18	9.34	9.51
3.7	9.68	9.85	10.03	10.20	10.38	10.56	10.75	10.93	11.12	11.31
3.8	11 51	11.70	11.90	12.10	12.30	12.51	12.71	12.92	13.14	13.35
3.9	13.57	13.79	14.01	14.23	14.46	14.69	14.92	15.15	15.39	15.62
4.0	15.87	16.11	16.35	16.60	16.85	17.11	17.35	17.62	17.88	18.14
4.1	18.41	18.67	18.94	19.22	19.49	19.77	20.05	20.33	20.61	20.90
4.2	21.19	21.48	21.77	22.06	22.36	22.66	22.96	23.27	23.58	23.89
4.3	24.20	24.51	24.83	25.14	25.46	25.78	26.11	26.43	26.76	27.09
4.4	27.43	27.76	28.10	28.43	28.77	29.12	29.46	29.81	30.15	30.50
4.5	30.85	31.21	31.56	31.92	32.28	32.64	33.00	33.36	33.72	34.09
4.6	34.46	34.83	35.20	35.57	35.94	36.32	36.69	37.07	37.45	37.83
4.7	38.21	38.59	38.87	39.36	39.74	40.13	40,50	40.90	41.29	41.68
4.8	42.07	42.47	42.86	43.25	43.64	44.04	44.43	44.83	45.22	45.62
4.9	46.02	46.41	46.81	47.21	47.61	48.01	48.40	48.80	49.20	49.60

## (Table 1 continued)

Probits	0	.01	.02	.03	.04	.05	.06	.07	.08	•09
5.0	50.00	50.40	50.80	51.20	51.60	51.99	52.39	52.79	53.19	53.59
5.1	53.98	54.38	54.78	55.17	55.57	55.96	56.36	56.75	57.14	57.53
5.2	57.93	58.32	58.71	59.10	59.48	59.87	60.26	60.64	61.03	61.41
5.3	61.79	62.17	62.55	62 93	63.31	63.68	64.06	64.43	64.80	65.17
5.4	65.54	65.91	66.28	66.64	67.00	67.36	67.72	68.08	68.44	68.79
5.5	69.15	69.50	69.85	70.19	70.54	70.88	71.23	71.57	71.90	72.24
5.6	72.57	72.91	73.24	73.57	73.89	74.22	74.54	74.86	75.17	75.49
5.7	75.80	76.11	76.42	76.73	77.04	77.34	77.64	77.94	78.23	78.52
5.8	78.81	79.10	79.39	. 79.67	79.95	80.23	80.51	80.78	81.06	81.33
5.9	81.59	81.86	82.12	82.38	82.64	82.89	83.15	83.40	83.65	83.89
6.0	84.13	84.38	84.61	84.85	85.08	85.31	85.54	85.77	85.99	86-21
6.1	86.43	86.65	86.86	87.08	87.29	87.49	87.70	87.90	88.10	88.30
6.2	88.49	88.69	88.88	89.07	89,25	89.44	89.62	89.80	89.97	90.15
6.3	90.32	90.49	90.66	90.82	90.99	91.15	91.31	91.47	91.62	91.77
6.4	91.92	92.07	92.22	92.36	92.51	92.65	92.79	92.92	93.06	93.19
6.5	93.32	93.45	93.57	93.70	93.82	93.94	94.06	94.18	94.29	94.41
6.6	94.52	94.63	94.74	94.84	95.95	95.05	95.15	95.25	95.35	95.45
6.7	95.54	95.64	95.73	95.82	95.91	95.99	96.08	96.16	96.25	96.33
6.8	96.41	96.49	96.56	96.64	96.71	96.78	96.86	96.93	96.99	97.06
6.9	97.13	97.19	97.26	97.32	97.38	97.44	97.50	97.56	97.61	97.67
7.0	97.72	97.78	97.83	97.88	97.93	97.98	98.03	98.08	98.12	98.17
7.1	98.21	98.26	98.30	98.34	98.38	98.42	98.46	98.50	98.54	98.57
7.2	98.61	98.64	98.68	98.71	98.75	98.78	98.81	98.84	98.87	98.90
7.3	98.93	98.96	98.98	99.01	99.04	99.06	99.09	99.11	99.13	99.16

Prepared by Rhea S. Das, Indian Statistical Institute, Calcutta-35, India.

b. Add a fourth column umn. Enter the percentto the list prepared in iles in the fourth column. Step 1c. Locate the percentiles corresponding the these steps a numerical Y scores in the third col-

## 56 METHOD FOR ESTIMATING PERCENTILE SCORES

Table 2: Original scores of 30 students, with sums of scores and squares.

Serial Number	Score X	Serial Number	Score X	Serial Number	Score X
1	29	11	22	21	14
2	29	12	21	22	14
3	28	13	20	23	14
4	28	14	18	24	14
5	27	15	18	25	13
6	26	16	17	26	13
7	25	17	17	27	13
8	24	18	17	28	8
9	24	19	16	29	6
10	23	20	14	30	5
₹X	= 557		2	$X^2 = 1166$	69
( <b>≷</b> X	$(3)^{2} = 310$	249	N	I = 30	

Table 2 gives the original scores, their sums and

Step 1.a. 
$$\overline{X} = \frac{557}{30} = 18.567$$
  
 $= \frac{1}{30} \sqrt{(30)} (11,669) - (557)^2 = 6.652$   
Step 1.b.  $A = \frac{1}{6.652} = 0.150$   
 $B = 5 - \frac{18.567}{6.652} = 2.209$ 

Step 1.c. See Table 3. The first column lists the scores, X; the second column gives A(X); and the third column gives the third column gives Y = A(X) + B. Step 2,b. See Table 3. The fourth column gives the percentile scores corresponding to the Y scores in the third column.

Table 3: A computational example for estimation of percentile scores using probits

			Percentile
X	A(X)	A(X) + B = Y	Estimate-
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
29	4.35	6.559	94.06
28	4.20	6.409	92.07
27	4.05	6.259	89.62
26	3.90	6.109	86.65
25	3.75	5.959	83.15
24	3.60	5.809	79.10
23	3.45	5.659	74.54
22	3.30	5.509	69.50
21	3.15	5.359	64.06
20	3.00	5.209	58.32
18	2.70	4.909	46.41
17	2.55	4.759	40.50
16	2.40	4.609	34.83
14	2.10	4.309	24.51
13	1.95	4.159	20.05
8	1.20	3.409	5.59
6	0.90	3.109	2.94
5	0.75	2.959	2.07

mation of percentile scores, for use with examinations and psychometric tests, has been outlined and illustrated. Unlike the customary methods of methods mentioned earcomputing the percentile

A method for the esti- nal set of scores are drawn from a normal population. The resulting scores may not be, therefore, identical with percentile scores obtained by any of the 3 lier, and are therefore scores, it is based on the termed percentile estimaassumption that the origites. It is interesting, how-

ever, that the scores obtained by these three methods also differ from each other. In absolute value the percentile estimates do not differ significantly from scores computed by arithmetic methods. Empirical studies have shown that they are perfectly correlated. within limits of rounding error, with percentile scores computed by standard arithmetic methods. They may be interpreted in the same way as percentile scores, and have the same advantages of ease in interpretation and of providing information concerning the compara- institutions.

tivestanding of individuals in the group. The proposed method of computation is both rapid and reliable. In addition, the preliminary transformation of scores in terms of mean and standard deviation also reduces the effects of chance fluctuation on the final percentile estimates.

It is hoped that the method and table presented here will be of practice.

method and table presented here will be of practical value in facilitating computation of percentile scores and therefore make possible their increased use in schools, colleges, vocational guidance bureaus, and other educational

## REFERENCES

- ADKINS, D. C., Construction and analysis of achievement tests. Washington: U. S. Government Printing Office, 1947.
- FECHNER, G. T., Elemente der Psychophysik. Leipzig: Breitkopf und Hartel, 1860.
- FINNEY, D. J., Probit Analysis. Cambridge: University Press, 1947.
- 4. GARRETT, H. E., Statistics in psychology and education. 3rd. Ed., New York: Longmans, Green, 1947.
- Guilford, J. P., Fundamental statistics in psychology and education. McGraw-Hill, 1950.
- GULLIKSEN, H., Theory of mental tests New York: John Wiley, 1950.