FACTOR STUDY OF AN APTITUDE TEST BATTERY USED FOR DIFFERENTIAL PREDICTION

S. CHATTERJI AND MANJULA MUKERJEE Indian Statistical Institute, Calcutta.

Under our present educational system at the end of the delta class the students are to be allocated to a specific course of training viz., Science, Humanities, Commerce etc. This decision is of crucial importance for them because if they want to change their field of study in future they have to start again from the very beginning.

The task of matching one's aptitudes and interests with the vocation that he may pursue, is a difficult one. But in our country the students are generally forced to accept the decision made by others on the basis of (a) past school examination marks + (b) parents' decision. Experience shows that though both of these are helpful, yet there is the need for some objective method by which one can have a better knowledge of the potential ability of the child. To serve this purpose, a battery of aptitude tests suitable for students reading in class VIII was constructed and developed.2 The Differential Aptitude Test Battery of the Psychological Corpora-

The present study describes the results of factorizing the matrix of intercorrelations among the tests of this battery.

tion was not used as it had been developed and standardised

under foreign conditions, hence

was considered not suitable for

Indian students.

Description of the tests used in the battery:

(a) English knowledge and Comprehension (EK-I, EK-II). There were three parts in this test dealing with spelling of words, detecting errors in sentences and comprehension of written English. These parts were separately timed.

(b) Clerical Aptitude: (CA-I, CA-II): There were two parts in this test viz., Matching and Coding and both were speed tests.

- (c) Abstract Reasoning (A.R):
 In each item of this test there was a sequence of figures which were related to each other in some way. The task was to choose one alternative answer from among the four suggested alternatives given which would logically follow the sequence.
- (d) Verbal Reasoning (V.R): The items were designed to measure verbal intelligence and several types of verbal reasoning items were used in separate blocks.
- (e) Mathematics knowledge and Aptitude (M.K): The items of the test covered all the topics included in the Mathematics syllabus for class VIII and in addition several items involving numerical reasoning were also included.
- (f) Scientific knowledge and Aptitude (S.A): The items in this test covered the general science

course taught at the class VIII level and the test also included some items which could be answered on the basis of day to day observation. It mainly measured general knowledge in the field of science.

(g) Mechanical Comprehension (M. C): Several types of items were included in this test dealing with space relations, understanding of mechanical principles etc.

The sample of students: The battery of tests was administered on a sample of 386 girls and 656 boys reading in class VIII, in 12 different Higher Secondary Bengali Medium Schools of Calcutta. These 12 schools were selected at random from a list of schools stratified on the basis of sex and performance of the students of the school in the Higher Secondary Examination.

Analysis of the data:

Intercorrelations among the tests were separately calculated for the boy's and girl's groups under the expectation that there might exist some difference between the two groups. The obtained values are presented in Table 1 and Table 2 along with the odd-even reliabilities of the tests.

For the girl's group all the correlations are significantly different from zero, but for the boy's group, there are some values which are not so (critical value is .088 at the 5% level).

Next, the significance of the differences between the corresponding pairs of intercorrelations are tested by using Fisher's z transformation, and as two sets of values are significantly different for some of the cases, factor factor loadings for the boy's and

analysis is done separately for the two groups.

The intetcorrelation matrices are subjected to Thurstone's method of centroid or multiple factor analysis3, because it is observed from a study of the relevant literature that the centroid method is the most popular one and involves less computational work and at the same time, yields consistent and psychologically meaningful factors.

Results: The centroid factor loadings obtained for the two groups are presented in Tables 3 and 4 along with the communalitities and specific and error factor variances.

In order to determine when to stop extraction of factors the three criteria discussed by Frutcher (1) are obtained and the results are presented in table 5.

It is apparent from this table that according to Humphrey's rule and as well as Coomb's criterion it would be possible to extract at most three independent factors but according to Tucker's Phi no definite indication is obtainable. However, for both the groups, three factors are extracted.

These three factors are rotated orthogonally into a satisfactory approximation for simple structures. The rotated factor loadings are presented in table 6. The Communality and Error Variances are not presented as they would be same to those in tables 3 and 4.

Discussion:

Comparison of the obtained

Table 1 showing intercorrelations among the tests and the odd-even reliabilities (Boy's group: N=656).

| Tests | EK I | BK II | EK III | CAI | CAII | AR | VR | MK | SA | M |
|--------------------------------------|------|-------|--------|-----|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| English Spelling (EK-1) | 1 | .25 | .42 | .33 | 41. | .24 | .26 | .26 | .25 | .25 |
| English Usage (EK-II) | .25 | ĺ | .31 | .18 | .03 | 91. | .26 | 74 | .30 | .24 |
| English Comprehension (EK-III) | .42 | .31 | 1 | .29 | .13 | .41 | .42 | .35 | .38 | .38 |
| Matching (CA-I) | .33 | .18 | .29 | 1 | .43 | .30 | .32 | 2. | .17 | .31 |
| Coding (CA-II) | .14 | .03 | .13 | .43 | ı | .16 | 11. | Ξ. | .07 | .20 |
| Abstract Reasoning (AR) | .24 | 91. | 4. | .30 | 91. | l | .41 | 4. | .33 | 4 |
| Verbal Reasoning (VR) | .26 | .26 | .42 | .32 | Η. | .41 | 1 | .45 | .37 | .48 |
| Math. Knowledge & Aptitude (MK) | .26 | .24 | .35 | .24 | Ξ. | 4. | .45 | 1 | .37 | .42 |
| Scientific Knowledge & Aptitude (SA) | .25 | .30 | .38 | .17 | .00 | .33 | .37 | .37 | 1 | .42 |
| Mechanical Comprehension (MC) | .25 | .24 | .38 | .31 | .20 | 4 | .48 | .42 | .45 | L |
| Reliability (odd-even) | 68. | 11: | 07. | 27. | .57 | 88. | .82 | 17. | 17. | 11. |

Table 2 showing intercorrelations among the tests and odd-even reliabilities (Girl's group: N=386)

S. CHATTERJI AND MANJULA MUKERJEB

| Tests | EK I | EK II | EK III | CAI | CAII | AR | VR | MK | SA |
|--------------------------------------|------|-------|--------|-----|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| English Spelling (BK I) | 1 | .31 | 04. | .37 | .24 | .20 | .32 | .32 | .32 |
| English Usage (EK II) | .31 | 1 | .33 | .27 | 71. | .18 | .28 | .34 | .32 |
| English Comprehension (EK III) | .40 | .33 | 1 | .36 | .29 | .38 | .42 | .43 | .53 |
| Matching (CA I) | .37 | .27 | .36 | 1 | ġ | .30 | .35 | 14. | .31 |
| Coding (CA II) | .24 | .17 | 62. | ą. | 1 | .27 | .24 | .34 | .15 |
| Abstract Reasoning (AR) | .20 | .18 | .38 | .30 | .27 | l | .49 | .43 | .35 |
| Verbal Reasoning (VR) | .32 | .28 | .42 | .35 | .24 | .49 | 1 | 84. | .46 |
| Math. Knowledge & Aptitude (MK) | .32 | .34 | .43 | .41 | .34 | .43 | .48 | 1 | .55 |
| Scientific Knowledge & Aptitude (SA) | .32 | .32 | .53 | .31 | .15 | .35 | .46 | .55 | 1 |
| Mechanical Comprehension (MC) | .22 | .25 | .41 | .36 | .29 | .45 | 4. | 84. | .49 |
| Reliability (odd-even) | 92. | 09: | 07. | .87 | 88. | .83 | 8. | 57. | .67 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Table 3. showing the centroid factor matrix for the boy's group

| Tests | factor loadings→ | Factor I | Factor II | Factor III | Commu- nality | Specific factor variance | Error |
|--------|---------------------|-------------|--------------|---------------|------------------|--------------------------------|-------|
| EK-I | | .511 | 131 | 284 | .359 | .531 | .11 |
| EK-II | | .414 | .091 | —.265 | .250 | .520 | .23 |
| EK-III | 1 | .644 | .079 | — .209 | .465 | .235 | .30 |
| CA-I | | .546 | 450 | .147 | .525 | .225 | .25 |
| CA-II | | .330 | 465 | .208 | .368 | .202 | .43 |
| AR | | .597 | .124 | .196 | .410 | .470 | .12 |
| VR | | .644 | .212 | .149 | .482 | .338 | .18 |
| MK | | .602 | .193 | .109 | .412 | .298 | .29 |
| SA | | .567 | .279 | 100 | .409 | .301 | .29 |
| MC | | .663 | .159 | .183 | .498 | .272 | .23 |

Table 4 showing the centrold factor matrix for the girl's group

| Tests | factor loadings→ | Factor I | Factor II | Factor III | Commu- nality | Specific factor variance | Error variance |
|--------|---------------------|-------------|---------------|---------------|------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|
| EK-I | | .511 | — .183 | 251 | .358 | .432 | .21 |
| EK-II | | .484 | 054 | 274 | .312 | .288 | .40 |
| EK-III | | .674 | .058 | 186 | .492 | .208 | .30 |
| CA-I | | .655 | 452 | .212 | .678 | .192 | .13 |
| CA-II | | .536 | 432 | .296 | .562 | .318 | .12 |
| AR | | .581 | .241 | .275 | .471 | .399 | .13 |
| VR | | .654 | .214 | .084 | .481 | .319 | .20 |
| MK | | .711 | .125 | 029 | .522 | .228 | .25 |
| SA | | .664 | .333 | 251 | .615 | .055 | .33 |
| MC | | .647 | .245 | .149 | .501 | .159 | .34 |

the girl's groups shows that more or less similar patterns of factor loadings have been obtained for the two groups and this is expected as the corresponding intercorrelation matrices are not poles apart.

The difference between two sets of factor loadings is maximum for the Scientific Knowledge and Aptitude tests followed by Mathematics Knowledge and Aptitude, Abstract Reasoning and Coding for factors I and III. For factor II the maximum difference is observed for English Usage (e.g EK-II) In general the communalities are higher for

the girl's group for all the tests.

It can be seen from table 6

Table 5 showing the criteria for sufficient common factors for the boy's and the girl's groups

| | bs | | BOY'S | | | GIRL'S | |
|-----------|--------|------|----------------------|------|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Factors | Groups | | Humph- rey's Rule | | Tucker's Phi | Humph- rey's Rule | Coomb's Criterion |
| I | | .201 | .427 | 20 | .181 | .448 | 24 |
| II | | .688 | .111 | 14 | .645 | .195 | 15 |
| П | | .567 | .075 | 24 | .510 | .081 | 18 |
| IV | | .589 | .034 | 27 | .621 | .040 | 30 |
| Criterion | value | .818 | .062 | 31±5 | .818 | .101 | 31±5 |

Table 6. Showing the factor loadings after orthogonal rotation for the Boy's and the Girl's groups

| | | | BOY'S | | | GIRL'S | |
|--------|------------------|-------------|--------------|---------------|----------|--------------|---------------|
| Tests | Group Factors | Factor I | Factor II | Factor III | Factor I | Factor II | Factor III |
| EK I | | .493 | .339 | 021 | .450 | .394 | .000 |
| EK II | | .491 | .097 | 001 | .491 | .266 | .000 |
| EK III | 1 | .630 | .208 | .156 | .625 | .251 | .196 |
| CA I | | .170 | .645 | .283 | .199 | .699 | .389 |
| CA II | | 031 | .562 | .227 | .072 | .627 | .404 |
| AR | | .392 | .147 | .484 | .368 | .046 | .578 |
| VR | | .486 | .088 | .488 | .518 | .103 | .449 |
| MK | | .469 | .087 | .430 | .591 | .208 | .361 |
| SA | | .478 | 006 | .425 | .756 | .001 | .206 |
| MC | | .463 | .144 | .514 | .491 | .072 | .504 |

spelling (EK-I) is a bit speeded that all the tests have considerand hence it has moderately able amount of loadings on factor I except the two tests viz, high loading on this factor. For Matching (CA-I) and Coding (CA-II), both of which are speed the boy's group the last five tests have high loadings on factor III tests. This factor may be identiwhile tests of English spelling (EK-I) and English usage (EK-II) have almost zero loadings on this factor. For the fied as general ability or scholastic aptitude. Factor II has high loadings only for the tests of Matching and Coding girl's group Matching (CA-I), and hence it can be identified Coding (CA-II) and Scientific as speed factor. Test of English Knowledge and Aptitude have

only moderately high loadings on factor III, whereas for tests of English Spelling, Usage and Comprehension, the obtained loadings are of a lower order. Factor III can be identified as the reasoning ability factor because the maximum loadings are for the tests of Verbal

Reasoning, Abstract Reasoning and Mechanical Comprehension. A high value for Mechanical Comprehension test may be due to the fact that the test concerned measures mechanical reasoning to a considerable extent.

REFERENCES

- Frutcher, B. Introduction to Factor analysis. D. Van Nostrand Co. N. Y. pp. 77-83.
- Mukerjee, M. Construction and standardization of a differential Aptitude test
 Battery. An unpublished dissertation submitted to the University
 of Calcutta. Department of Psychology 1965
- Thurstone, L. L., Multiple Factor Analysis. Chicago University Press, Chicago 1947.