# SEQUENTIAL ESTIMATION OF REGRESSION PARAMETERS IN GAUSS-MARKOFF SETUP

## by Nitis Mukhopadhyay

Indian Statistical Institute, Culcutta, India

#### Abstract

In Gauss-Markoff linear estimation with quadratic loss structure, a sequential point estimator for the regession parameters is suggested. The procedure is shown to have asymptotic risk efficiency and bounded tegret.

#### 1. Introduction

Motivated by the classical paper of Chow and Robbins (1965), L. J. Gleser investigated the problem of fixed size bounds for regression parameters with Gauss-Markoff setup (1965, 1966). The purpose of this paper is to consider the analogous problem of estimating the regression parameters pointwise.

#### 2. Procedure

Consider a sequence  $Z_1, Z_2, \ldots$  of independent and normally distributed random variables (r. v.'s) such that

$$Z_{i} = \mathbf{x}'_{(i)} \overset{\beta}{=} + \varepsilon_{i} \quad (i = 1, 2, ...)$$
 (2.1)

where  $\beta$  is a  $m \times 1$  vector of unknown parameters,  $\mathbf{x}(i)$  is a  $m \times 1$  vector of non-stochastic known constants with  $\epsilon_i$  distributed

Received: 26th July 1974.

as N  $(0, \sigma^2)$ . Cov  $(\epsilon_i, \epsilon_j) = 0$  for all  $i, j (i \neq j)$ ,  $\sigma$  being unknown; (as a convention, for any  $p \cdot q$  matrix A, A' and R (A) mean respectively the transpose and rank of A). We start with a sample size K'  $(\geqslant m+2)$  making sure that R  $(X_K) = m$ , where  $X'_n = (x_{(1)}, x_{(2)}, \dots, x_{(n)})$  and  $Y'_n = (Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_n)$  for any  $n \cdot K$ . One is referred to Gleser (1965).

It is well known (See e. g., Rao (1965)) that a least square estimator of  $\beta$  with model (2.1) on the basis of a sample of size n is

$$\beta_n = (\mathbf{X}'_n \mathbf{X}_n)^{-1} \mathbf{X}'_n \mathbf{Y}_n \tag{2.2}$$

with dispersion matrix

$$V(\hat{\beta}_n) = \sigma^2 (X_n^T X_n)^{-1}$$
 (2.3)

Suppose the loss incurred in estmating  $\underline{\beta}$  by  $\underline{\beta}_n$  from a sample of fixed size n is

$$L_{n} = n^{-1} (\underline{\beta}_{n} - \underline{\beta})' (X'_{n} X_{n}) (\underline{\beta}_{n} - \underline{\beta}) + n$$
 (2.4)

with risk

$$\mathbf{v}_{n}(\sigma) = \mathbf{E}_{\sigma}(\mathbf{L}_{n})$$

$$= \mathbf{E}_{\sigma} \left\{ n^{-1} \operatorname{tr} \left( \beta_{n} - \underline{\beta} \right)' \left( \mathbf{X}'_{n} \mathbf{X}_{n} \right) \left( \beta_{n} - \underline{\beta} \right) \right\} + n$$

$$= n^{-1} \sigma^{2} \operatorname{tr} \left( \mathbf{I}_{m \times m} \right) + n$$

$$= m \sigma' / n + n$$

where trA means trace of the matrix A and  $I_{m \times m}$  stands for the identity matrix of order  $m \times m$ . If  $\sigma$  were known, the problem of finding the value of n, say  $n^0$ , for which the risk (2.5) is a minimum is perfectly straight forward yielding

$$n^0 = m^{\frac{1}{2}} o {(2.6)}$$

and minimum risk

$$v(\sigma) = v_{n^{(1)}}(\sigma) = 2m^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma. \tag{2.7}$$

But, in ignorance of  $\sigma$ , no fixed sample size procedure will minimize (2.5) simultaneously for all  $0 < \sigma < \infty$ . So the possibility of utilising a sample of random size N determined by the following sequential rule  $\mathcal{R}$  is considered.

 $\mathcal{Q}$ : The stopping number N is the first positive integer  $n \geqslant K$  such that

$$n \ge \left[ m R_{0,n}^2 (n-m)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
 (2.8)

where  $R_{0n}^2 = Y_n Y_n - Y_n X_n \beta_n$ , starting sample size being  $K (\ge m+2)$ .

The rule Q can be rephrased as

 ${}^{c}\mathcal{P}^{\bullet}$ : The stopping number N is the first integer  $n \geqslant K$  such that  $V_{n} \leqslant l(n, \sigma)$  (2.9)

where 
$$V_n = (R_{0,n}/\sigma)^2$$
,  $l(n, \sigma) = n^2(n-m)/m\sigma^2$ .

We now state the following

**Lemma** For any fixed integer  $n \ ( \ge K )$ ,  $\beta_n$  is independent of the vector

$$(v_{K}, v_{K+1}, ..., v_{n}).$$

Proof For any integer p in [K, n],

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{R}^{2}_{0p} = \mathbf{Y}_{p}^{\prime} [\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{X}_{p}(\mathbf{X}_{p}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}_{p})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{p}^{\prime}] \mathbf{Y}_{p}, \mathbf{I} = (\hat{s}_{i,j}), 1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant p (2.10) \\ &= \mathbf{Y}_{p} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} \xi_{i} \xi_{i} \right) \mathbf{Y}_{p} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{m} (\xi_{i}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}_{p})^{1} \end{aligned}$$

where  $E'_{ij}$  are othonormal eigenvectors of the idempotent matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} I - \mathbf{X}_{p} & (\mathbf{X}'_{p} & \mathbf{X}_{p})^{-1} & \mathbf{X}'_{p} \end{bmatrix}$  associated eigenvalues being thereby all unity (I = 1, 2, ..., m). Use the symbol 0 for the null vector, irrespective of dimension. Then we can write,

$$R_{0p}^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left( \underline{\rho}_{i}^{i} Y_{n} \right)^{2} \tag{2.11}$$

where  $\varrho_i' = (\xi_i' \in 0')$  is a  $1 \times n$  vector. Let  $\binom{X_p}{U_{n-p}}$  be the corresponding partition of  $X_n$ . From (2.2),  $\underline{\beta}_n = B Y_n$  where  $B = (X_n' X_n)^{-1} X_n'$ . A sufficient condition for  $B Y_n$  and  $\varrho_i' Y_n$  to be distributed independently is  $B \varrho_i = 0$  (see Rao (1965)). Now for verifying this sufficient condition (using the notations of Rao (1966)), note that  $\underline{\xi}_i \in \mathcal{M}[I - X_p' (X_p' X_p)^{-1} X_p']$  implying  $\underline{\xi}_i' \in \Theta[X_p (X_p' X_p)^{-1} X_p'] = \Theta(X_p)$ , since  $(X_p' X_p)^{-1} X_p'$  is a generalised inverse of  $X_p$ . This gives  $X_p' \underline{\ell}_i' = 0$  implying  $X_n' \underline{\ell}_i' = 0$ . Hence  $B \varrho_i = 0$ , and it completes the proof of the lemma.

Using this lemma, one can say that the event [N=n] and  $L_n$  are independent for all n > K, and one gets

$$\bar{v}(\sigma) = E(L_N)$$

$$= m \sigma^2 E(N^{-1}) + E(N), \quad 0 < \sigma < \infty,$$
 (2.12)

and

$$\eta (\sigma) = v (\sigma)/v (\sigma)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left[ n^0 E(N^{-1}) + E(N/n^{-1}) \right]$$
(2.13)

Also,

$$\omega \ (\sigma) = v \ (\sigma) - v \ (\sigma)$$

$$= [(n^0)^2 E(N^{-1}) - n^0] + [E(N) - n^0].$$
(2.14)

Regarding efficiencies of our procedure  ${\mathcal R}$  in (2.3), we have the following theorems.

Theorem 1  $\lim_{\sigma \to \infty} \eta(\sigma) = 1$ .

Theorem 2  $\lim_{\sigma \to \infty} \omega(\sigma) = O(1)$ .

Modifying the proof of theorem 2 in Mukhopadyay (1973a) or theorem

3 in Starr (1966) one can prove theorem 1. One can get a proof of theorem 2 by modifying the proof of Lemma 4.1 in Mukhopadhyay (1973 b). However, one can refer to Starr & Woodroofe (1969) also. Here main thing to be noted is that  $V_n$  is distributed as  $X^2$  with (n-m) degrees of freedom,

### Acknowledgment

It is a pleasure to thank Dr. Malay Ghosh for his guidance during this investigation.

#### References

- Chow, Y. S. and Robbins, H. (1965). On the asymptotic theory of fixed width sequential confidence intervals for the mean. *Ann. Math. Statist.* 36, 447-462.
- Gleser, L. J. (1965). On the asymptotic theory of fixed size sequential confidence bounds for linear regression parameters. Ann. Math. Statist, 36, 463-467.
- Gleser L. J. (1966). Correction to- On the asymptotic theory of fixed size sequential confidence bounds for linear regression parameters. Ann. Math. Statist. 37, 1053-1055.
- Mukhopadhyay, N. (1973a). Sequential estimation of location parameter in exponential distributions. Ind. Stat. Inst. Tech. Report Math. Stat. 1873.
- Mukhopadhyay, N. (1973 b). Sequential estimation of the difference of two means: the normal case. Ind. Stat. Inst. Tech. Report Math-Stat. 22/73.
- Rao, C. R. (1965). Linear Statistical Inference and Its Applications. New York John Wiley and Sons.
- Starr, N. (1966). On the asymptotic efficiency of a sequential procedure for estimating the mean. Ann. Math. Stutist. 37, 1173-1185.
- Starr, N. and Woodroofe, M. B. (1969). Remarks on sequential point estimation. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA. 63, 285-288.