

A NOTE ON THE PROBLEM OF k SAMPLES

A FAMILIAR problem in analytic statistics is to test the hypothesis whether k samples of sizes n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k have been drawn at random from the same unknown Normal Universe. In general, the k samples could have come from k different Normal Universes with means $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_k$ and standard deviations $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_k$. The most common hypothesis tested is whether, given that $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = \dots = \sigma_k = \sigma$, we can infer that $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \dots = \mu_k = \mu$, where σ and μ are unknown. The 'statistic' which has been considered appropriate for this problem is Fisher's Ratio of Variances, say V_k/V_{10} where

$$V_k = \sum_{i=1}^k n_i (\bar{x}_i - \bar{x})^2 / (k-1);$$

$$V_{10} = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (x_{ij} - \bar{x}_i)^2 / (N-k);$$

$$N = \sum_{i=1}^k (n_i) \text{ and } \bar{x} = \sum_{i=1}^k (n_i \bar{x}_i) / N.$$

When there are only two samples ($k=2$) we have

$$V_k/V_{10} = (\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2)^2 / V_{10} \left(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2} \right)$$

which is distributed like "Student's" t^2 with $n_1 + n_2 - 2$ degrees of freedom. Fisher has also shown that when $k > 2$, we may compare the differences between any two sample means, by means of the same t -test, calculating V_{10} from within all the k samples, with $N-k$ degrees of freedom. Thus

$$t^2_{ij} = (\bar{x}_i - \bar{x}_j)^2 / V_{10} \left(\frac{1}{n_i} + \frac{1}{n_j} \right).$$

The total number of such tests of pair comparisons is $k(k-1)/2$. Thus the single hypothesis $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \dots = \mu_k$ is being broken up into $k(k-1)/2$ separate tests involving the hypothesis $\mu_i = \mu_j$ for the particular pair of i th and j th samples.

The following identity is easy to prove

$$\sum n_i (\bar{x}_i - \bar{x})^2 = \sum \sum n_i n_j (\bar{x}_i - \bar{x}_j)^2 / N.$$

Therefore

$$V_k/V_{10} = \sum \sum n_i n_j (\bar{x}_i - \bar{x}_j)^2 / N (k-1) V_{10} \\ = \sum \sum (n_i + n_j) t_{ij}^2 / \sum \sum n_i + n_j).$$

The ratio of variances is therefore only a weighted mean of the $k(k-1)/2$ different values of t^2 . This result brings out clearly the connection between the two tests of significance.

It may be recalled that a paper by P. V. Krishna Iyer¹ and also certain notes he² and the author³ published in this journal some

No. 4]
April 1943.]

Letters to the Editor

time ago dealt with the same problem of k samples. Mr. Krishna Iyer took the unweighted mean of all the values of F^2 and finding it different from the ratio of variances felt that the former would be the proper criterion for discriminating between the sample means. The form he obtained for the distribution of the unweighted mean of all the values of F^2 was found to be erroneous. In fact the true distribution does not come out in a suitable form for exact tests of significance. The relationship between the ratio of variances and the weighted mean of the different values of F^2 established above would indicate that there is no point in trying to develop a test based on the unweighted mean.

Statistical Laboratory,
Presidency College,
Calcutta,
March 10, 1943.

K. R. NAIR.

1. P. V. Krishna Iyer, *Proc. Ind. Acad. Sci.*, 1937, 5, 528. 2 —, *Curr. Sci.*, 1938, 6, 392. 3. K. R. Nair, *Ibid.*, 1937, 6, 290. 4 —, *Ibid.*, 1938, 7, 21.