

A NOTE ON THE EXACT DISTRIBUTION OF λ_n .

BY K. RAGHAVAN NAIR

STATISTICAL LABORATORY, CALCUTTA.

1. In *Biometrika*, Vol. XXV. pp. 379—410, Karl Pearson had developed what he called the $P(\lambda_n)$ test, for "determining whether a sample of size n , supposed to have been drawn from a parent population having a known probability integral, has probably been drawn at random."

If x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n are the variates of the sample and p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n the corresponding probability integrals, then λ_n is defined by

$$\lambda_n = p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot \dots \cdot p_n \quad \dots (1)$$

Karl Pearson had shown that the p 's follow the rectangular law of distribution (*Ibid*, p. 380). For the application of the test he evaluated the probability integral of λ_n , viz. $P(\lambda_n)$, and showed its connection with the incomplete Γ -function.

The expression for $P(\lambda_n)$ had been obtained with the help of hyperspace geometry. It is easy to derive the frequency distribution of λ_n from this expression for $P(\lambda_n)$.

Suppose $f(\lambda_n)$ to be the distribution law of λ_n . Then

$$P(\lambda_n) = \int_0^{\lambda_n} f(\lambda_n) \cdot d\lambda_n \quad \dots (1.1)$$

or, in another form,

$$\frac{dP(\lambda_n)}{d\lambda_n} = f(\lambda_n) \quad \dots (1.2)$$

Karl Pearson had shown that

$$P(\lambda_n) = 1 - \lambda_n \left[1 - \frac{\log_e \lambda_n}{1!} + \frac{(\log_e \lambda_n)^2}{2!} - \dots + (-1)^{n-1} \frac{(\log_e \lambda_n)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \right] \quad \dots (2.1)$$

$$\text{Therefore, } \frac{dP(\lambda_n)}{d\lambda_n} = - \left[1 - \frac{\log_e \lambda_n}{1!} + \frac{(\log_e \lambda_n)^2}{2!} - \dots + (-1)^{n-1} \frac{(\log_e \lambda_n)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \right] \\ - \lambda_n \left[-\frac{1}{1! \lambda_n} + \frac{2 \log_e \lambda_n}{2! \lambda_n} - \dots + (-1)^{n-1} \frac{(n-1)(\log_e \lambda_n)^{n-2}}{(n-1)! \lambda_n} \right] \dots \quad (2.2)$$

which, on simplification, gives

$$\frac{dP(\lambda_n)}{d\lambda_n} = - \frac{1}{(n-1)!} (-\log_e \lambda_n)^{n-1} \dots \quad (2.3)$$

Since λ_n lies between 0 and 1, $-\log_e \lambda_n$ is positive. We see therefore that $dP(\lambda_n)/d\lambda_n$ is negative, which simply means that the probability integral of λ_n has been measured from that end of its distribution which makes $P(\lambda_n)$ decrease as λ_n increases. We can, therefore, write the distribution of λ_n as

$$f(\lambda_n) = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} (-\log_e \lambda_n)^{n-1} \dots \quad (3.0)$$

2. This result can be obtained in another way without using hyperspace geometry. The p 's follow the rectangular distribution $\theta(p) = 1$, so that the chance of a variate falling between (p) and $(p + dp)$ is dp . Since $\lambda_n = p_1 \cdot p_2 \dots p_n$, we have

$$(-\log_e \lambda_n) = (-\log_e p_1) + (-\log_e p_2) + \dots + (-\log_e p_n) \dots \quad (4.1)$$

Let $u = -\log_e p$, and let $\varphi(u)$ be its distribution. Then

$$\varphi(u) \cdot du = h(p) \cdot dp = dp = d(e^{-u}) = -e^{-u} \cdot du \dots \quad (4.2)$$

Thus, $\varphi(u) = -e^{-u} \dots \quad (4.3)$

and $-\log_e \lambda_n = u_1 + u_2 + \dots + u_n = (n \cdot U)$, say $\dots \quad (4.4)$

The distribution law of U , the mean of a sample of n from the population $y = -e^{-y}$ has been given by J. Neyman and E. S. Pearson*

$$= \frac{n^n}{(n-1)!} (U)^{n-1} \cdot e^{-nU} \dots \quad (5.1)$$

From (4.1), the distribution law of $(-\log_e \lambda_n/n)$ is found to be

$$= \frac{n^n}{(n-1)!} \left(\frac{-\log_e \lambda_n}{n} \right)^{n-1} \cdot \lambda_n \dots \quad (5.2)$$

* On the Use and Interpretation of Certain Test Criteria for Purposes of Statistical Inference, (*Biometrika*, Vol. XXa, pp 175-240).

A NOTE ON THE EXACT DISTRIBUTION OF λ_n

If $f(\lambda_n)$ be the frequency distribution of λ_n ,

$$f(\lambda_n) \cdot d\lambda_n = -\frac{n^n}{(n-1)!} \left(-\frac{\log_e \lambda_n}{n}\right)^{n-1} \cdot \lambda_n \cdot d\left(-\frac{\log_e \lambda_n}{n}\right) = \frac{(-\log_e \lambda_n)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \cdot d\lambda_n \quad (5.3)$$

whence
$$f(\lambda_n) = \frac{(-\log_e \lambda_n)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \quad \dots (3a)$$

For practical work the distribution of $(-\log_e \lambda_n)$ is more convenient than $f(\lambda_n)$ because it makes possible the direct use of the Incomplete Γ -function Tables. Thus if $t = -\log_e \lambda_n$, the distribution of t is given by

$$-\frac{1}{(n-1)!} t^{n-1} \cdot e^{-t} \quad \dots (6)$$

This is a Type III curve, which is also the distribution curve for the Pearsonian χ^2 . This is why the probability integrals of both χ^2 and λ_n can be obtained from Tables of the Incomplete Γ -function. In fact if we take

$$\chi^2 = -2 \log_e \lambda_n \quad \dots (7.1)$$

with the degrees of freedom = $2n$, then the corresponding value of $P(\chi^2)$ will be equal to $Q(\lambda_n)$, that is

$$P(\chi^2) = Q(\lambda_n) = 1 - P(\lambda_n) \quad \dots (7.2)$$

3. A third proof for the exact distribution of λ_n is available in Section 21. I of R. A. Fisher's *Statistical Methods for Research Workers*, 6th edition (1938) where the author briefly explains how to make use of the $P(\chi^2)$ -Table when a number of probability integrals derived from independent samples for testing separately the significance of each sample estimate of a statistic (as for example, t , z , r etc.) have to be combined to yield a single comprehensive test of significance. A detailed exposition of Fisher's method is attempted below.

Let p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n be the probability integrals, one or more, for each sample. It is not a condition of the problem that the p 's derived for the various samples refer to the same statistic, a point emphasized by Karl Pearson in his paper on $P(\lambda_n)$ test. Thus for n_1 of the samples we might derive p 's for t -distribution, for n_2 of the samples the p 's may be for the sample means and so on.

In virtue of this property that the distribution of p is unaffected by the particular distribution of the statistic of which it is the probability integral, there is no objection in making the assumption that each p is got as the probability integral of any continuous statistical population. Fisher selects for the latter the distribution of χ^2 with 2 degrees of freedom, which choice brings out in a simple way the relationship between the $P(\lambda_n)$ and $P(\chi^2)$ tests.

Now the probability integral of the χ^2 distribution with $n = 2$ is

$$\int_0^x e^{-\frac{1}{2}\chi^2} \cdot \chi d\chi = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\chi^2} \dots (8.1)$$

Thus if $p = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\chi^2}$, $-2 \log_e p$ follows the same distribution as χ^2 with 2 degrees of freedom.

Therefore

$$\log_e \lambda_n = \log_e p_1 + \log_e p_2 \dots + \log_e p_n = -\frac{1}{2}(\chi_1^2 + \chi_2^2 + \dots + \chi_n^2) \dots (8.2)$$

Because of the additive property of χ^2 , the right hand side of (8.2) follows the χ^2 -distribution with $2n$ degrees of freedom. The distribution of $-2 \log_e \lambda_n$ is therefore the same as that of χ^2 with $2n$ degrees of freedom.

This property has been derived earlier in (7.1) and (7.2) by a different route; without assuming the distribution of χ^2 we deduced that of λ_n and hence of $-2 \log_e \lambda_n$ which latter turned out to be identical in form with the distribution of χ^2 with $2n$ degrees of freedom.

SUMMARY

The paper describes three different methods of getting the exact distribution of λ_n , the product of the probability integrals of n independent values of a variable following a known continuous distribution.

7th November, 1936.