# Quadratic factors of f(X) - g(Y)

# by Manisha Kulkarni<sup>a</sup>, Peter Müller<sup>b</sup> and B. Sury<sup>c</sup>

Communicated by Prof. R. Tijdeman at the meeting of January 29, 2007

#### L. INTRODUCTION

In [2] Bilu classified the pairs of polynomials f, g over a field of characteristic 0 such that f(X) - g(Y) has an irreducible factor of degree 2. This note extends his results to arbitrary characteristic. Also, the rather specific main result of [1] is an immediate consequence of the theorems below.

The strategy is roughly as follows: A straightforward application of Galois theory and Lüroth's Theorem reduces to the following situation: Let x be a transcendental over the base field K, and set t = f(x). Then K(x) has a quadratic extension L, such that L/K(t) is Galois. The Galois group is generated by two involutions, hence it is dihedral. The intermediate field K(x) is the fixed field of one of the involutions.

This reduction appears already in [2], its extension to positive characteristic causes no problems. Let  $\bar{K}$  be an algebraic closure of K. To proceed further Bilu applied ramification theoretic arguments to the extension  $\bar{K}(x)/\bar{K}(t)$  which rely on Riemann's existence theorem and which don't work in positive characteristic without making quite restrictive assumptions on the characteristic.

Instead, we use a different approach which avoids any use of ramification theoretic arguments: The field L is the function field of the quadratic factor of f(X) = g(Y), thus  $\bar{K}L$  is a rational field  $\bar{K}(z)$ . So  $\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{K}(z)/\bar{K}(t))$  is a subgroup of  $\operatorname{Gal}(L/K(t))$ . Also, the index is at most 2. The group of  $\bar{K}$ -automorphisms of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Poornaprajna Institute of Scientific Research, Davanhalli, Bangalore, India

h Institut für Mathematik, Universität Würzburg, Am Hubland. D-97074 Würzburg, Germany

Statistics & Mathematics Unit. Indian Statistical Institute, 8th Mile Mysore Road, Bungalore 560 059.
India

E-mails: manishamusibang.ac.m (M. Kulkarni), peter.mueller@mathematik.uni-wucrzburg.dc (P. Müller), sury@isibang.ac.m (B. Sury).

 $\bar{K}(z)$  is  $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\bar{K})$  (action via linear fractional transformations of z). Thus we have to determine the cyclic and dihedral subgroups of  $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\bar{K})$ , and analyze the cases which give pairs f,g such that f(X) - g(Y) has a quadratic factor over K.

The generalization of [2, Theorem 1.2] is

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $f, g \in K[X]$  be non-constant polynomials over a field K, such that  $f(X) - g(Y) \in K[X, Y]$  has a factor of degree at most 2. If the characteristic p of K is positive, then assume that at least one of the polynomials f, g cannot be written as a polynomial in  $X^p$ . Then there are  $f_1, g_1, \Phi \in K[X]$  with  $f = \Phi \circ f_1$ ,  $g = \Phi \circ g_1$ , such that one of the following holds:

- (a)  $\deg f_1, \deg g_1 \leq 2$ .
- (b)  $p \neq 2$ ,  $n = \deg f_1 = \deg g_1 \geqslant 4$  is a power of 2, and there are  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \alpha \in K$  such that  $f_1(X) = D_n(X + \beta, \alpha)$ ,  $g_1(X) = -D_n((\alpha X + \gamma)(\xi + 1/\xi), \alpha)$ . Here  $\xi$  denotes a primitive (2n)th root of unity. Furthermore, if  $\alpha \neq 0$ , then  $\xi^2 + 1/\xi^2 \in K$ .

Conversely, in cases (a) and (b) f(X) - g(Y) indeed has a factor of degree at most 2. This is clear for case (a), because  $f_1(X) - g_1(Y)$  is such a factor, and follows for case (b) from Lemma 2.8.

If one wants to determine the cases such that f(X) - g(Y) has an irreducible factor of degree 2, then the list becomes longer in positive characteristic. The exact extension of [2, Theorem 1.3] is

**Theorem 1.2.** Let  $f, g \in K[X]$  be non-constant polynomials over a field K, such that  $f(X) - g(Y) \in K[X, Y]$  has a quadratic irreducible factor q(X, Y). If the characteristic p of K is positive, then assume that at least one of the polynomials f, g cannot be written as a polynomial in  $X^p$ . Then there are  $f_1, g_1, \Phi \in K[X]$  with  $f = \Phi \circ f_1, g = \Phi \circ g_1$  such that q(X, Y) divides  $f_1(X) - g_1(Y)$ , and one of the following holds:

- (a)  $\max(\deg f_1, \deg g_1) = 2$  and  $q(X, Y) = f_1(X) g_1(Y)$ .
- (b) There are  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in K$  with  $g_1(X) = f_1(\alpha X + \beta)$ , and  $f_1(X) = h(\gamma X + \delta)$ , where h(X) is one of the following polynomials.
  - (i) p does not divide n, and  $h(X) = D_n(X, a)$  for some  $a \in K$ . If  $a \neq 0$ , then  $\zeta + 1/\zeta \in K$  where  $\zeta$  is a primitive nth root of unity.
  - (ii)  $p \ge 3$ , and  $h(X) = X^p aX$  for some  $a \in K$ .
  - (iii)  $p \geqslant 3$ , and  $h(X) = (X^p + aX + b)^2$  for some  $a, b \in K$ .
  - (iv)  $p \ge 3$ , and  $h(X) = X^p 2aX^{\frac{p+1}{2}} + a^2X$  for some  $a \in K$ .
  - (v) p = 2, and  $h(X) = X^4 + (1-a)X^2 + aX$  for some  $a \in K$ .
- (c) n is even, p does not divide n, and there are  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, a \in K$  such that  $f_1(X) = D_n(X + \beta, a)$ ,  $g_1(X) = -D_n((\alpha X + \gamma)(\xi + 1/\xi), a)$ . Here  $\xi$  denotes a primitive (2n)th root of unity. Furthermore, if  $a \neq 0$ , then  $\xi^2 + /1\xi^2 \in K$ .

(d)  $p \geqslant 3$ , and there are quadratic polynomials  $u(X), v(X) \in K[X]$ , such that  $f_1(X) = h(u(X))$  and  $g_1(X) = h(v(X))$  with  $h(X) = X^p - 2aX^{\frac{p+1}{2}} + a^2X$  for some  $a \in K$ .

The theorems exclude the case that f and g are both polynomials in  $X^p$ . The following handles this case, a repeated application reduces to the situation of the theorems above.

**Theorem 1.3.** Let  $f, g \in K[X]$  be non-constant polynomials over a field K, such that  $f(X) - g(Y) \in K[X, Y]$  has an irreducible factor g(X, Y) of degree at most 2. Suppose that  $f(X) = f_0(X^p)$  and  $g(X) = g_0(X^p)$ , where p > 0 is the characteristic of K. Then one of the following holds:

- (a) q(X,Y) divides  $f_0(X) g_0(Y)$ , or
- (b) p = 2.  $f(X) = f_0(X^2)$ ,  $g(X) = f_0(aX^2 + b)$  for some  $a, b \in K$ , and  $g(X, Y) = X^2 aY^2 b$ .

**Remark 1.4.** Under suitable conditions on the parameters and the field K, all cases listed in Theorem 1.2 give examples such that  $f_1(X) - g_1(Y)$  indeed has an irreducible quadratic factor. The cases of the Dickson polynomials are classically known, see Lemma 2.8 and its proof. We illustrate two examples:

(b)(v). Here p = 2 and  $h(X) = X^2 + (1 - a)X^2 + aX$ . We have  $h(X) - h(Y) = (X + Y)(X - Y + 1)(X^2 + X + Y^2 - Y + a)$ . If  $Z^2 + Z = a$  has no solution in K, then the quadratic factor is irreducible.

(b)(iv). Here  $p \ge 3$  and  $h(X) = X^p - 2aX^{\frac{p+1}{2}} + a^2X$ , and  $a \ne 0$  of course. If  $\alpha$  is a root of  $Z^{p-1} - a$ , then so is  $-\alpha$ . Let T be a set such  $T \cup (-T)$  is a disjoint union of the roots of  $Z^{p-1} - a$ .

We compute

$$h(X^{2}) - h(Y^{2}) = (X^{2} - Y^{2}) \prod_{t \in T \cup \{-T\}} [((X - Y) - t)((X + Y) - t)]$$

$$= (X^{2} - Y^{2}) \prod_{t \in T} [((X - Y) - t)((X + Y) - t)$$

$$\times ((X + Y) + t)((X - Y) + t)]$$

$$= (X^{2} - Y^{2}) \prod_{t \in T} ((X^{2} - Y^{2})^{2} - 2t^{2}(X^{2} + Y^{2}) + t^{4})$$

and therefore

$$h(X) - h(Y) = (X - Y) \prod_{t \in I} ((X - Y)^2 - 2t^2(X + Y) + t^4).$$

The discriminant with respect to X of the quadratic factor belonging to t is  $16t^2Y$ , so all the quadratic factors are absolutely irreducible.

**Definition 2.1.** Let a, b elements of a group G. Then  $a^b$  denotes the conjugate  $b^{-1}ab$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** Let G be a finite dihedral group, generated by the involutions a and b. Then a and a suitable conjugate of b generate a Sylow 2-subgroup of G.

**Proof.** Set c = ab. For  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , the order of  $\langle a, b^{c^i} \rangle$  is twice the order of  $ab^{c^i}$ . We compute  $ab^{c^i} = a(c^{-1})^ibc^i = a(ba)^ib(ab)^i = (ab)^{2i+1} = c^{2i+1}$ . Let 2i+1 be the largest odd divisor of |G|. The claim follows.  $\square$ 

**Definition 2.3.** For a, b, c, d in a field K with  $ad - bc \neq 0$  let  $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$  denote the image of  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(K)$  in  $PGL_2(K)$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** Let K be an algebraically closed field of characteristic p, and  $\rho \in PGL_2(K)$  be an element of finite order n. Then one of the following holds:

- (a) p does not divide n, and ρ is conjugate to [ 1 0 ], where ζ is a primitive nth root of unity.
- (b) n = p, and  $\rho$  is conjugate to  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\hat{\rho} \in GL_2(K)$  be a preimage of  $\rho$ . Without loss of generality we may assume that I is an eigenvalue of  $\hat{\rho}$ . The claim follows from the Jordan normal form of  $\hat{\rho}$ .  $\square$ 

**Lemma 2.5.** Let K be an algebraically closed field of characteristic p, and  $G \leq \operatorname{PGL}_2(K)$  be a dihedral group of order  $2n \geq 4$ , which is generated by the involution  $\tau$  and the element  $\rho$  of order n. Then one of the following holds:

- (a) p does not divide n. There is  $\sigma \in \operatorname{PGL}(K)$  such that  $\tau^{\sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\rho^{\sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta \end{bmatrix}$ , where  $\zeta$  is a primitive nth root of unity.
- (b)  $n = p \ge 3$ . There is  $\sigma \in PGL(K)$  such that  $\tau^{\sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\rho^{\sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .
- (c) n = p = 2. There is  $\sigma \in PGL(K)$  such that  $\tau^a = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\rho^{\sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  for some  $1 \neq b \in K$ .

**Proof.** By Lemma 2.4 we may assume that  $\rho$  has the form given there. From  $\rho^{\tau} = \rho^{-1}$  we obtain the shape of  $\tau$ :

First assume that  $\rho$  does not divide n, so  $\rho = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \xi \end{bmatrix}$ . Let  $\hat{\tau} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(K)$  be a preimage of  $\tau$ . From  $\rho^{\tau} = \rho^{-1}$  we obtain  $\rho \tau = \tau \rho^{-1}$ , hence

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \zeta & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

for some  $\lambda \in K$ . This gives  $(\lambda \zeta - 1)a = 0$ ,  $(\lambda - 1)b = 0$ ,  $(\lambda - 1)c = 0$ , and  $(\lambda - \zeta)d = 0$ . First assume b = c = 0. Then  $\rho$  and  $\tau$  commute, so G is abelian, hence  $n = 2 \neq p$  and therefore  $\zeta = -1$ . It follows  $\tau = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = \rho$ , a contradiction.

Thus  $b \neq 0$ , so  $\lambda = 1$ . This yields a = d = 0, as  $\zeta \neq 1$ . We obtain  $\tau = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ c & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . Choose  $\beta \in K$  with  $\beta^2 = c$ , and set  $\delta = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \beta \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . The claim follows from  $\rho^\delta = \rho$  and  $\tau^\delta = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .

Now assume the second case of Lemma 2.4, that is p = n and  $\rho = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Again setting  $\hat{\tau} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  we obtain

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

for some  $\lambda \in K$ . This gives  $a-c=\lambda a$ ,  $b+d=\lambda(-a+b)$ ,  $c=\lambda c$ , and  $d=\lambda(-c+d)$ . If  $c\neq 0$ , then  $\lambda=1$ , so c=0 by the first equation, a contradiction. Thus c=0, so  $a\neq 0$ . We may assume a=1, so d=-1. This gives the result for p=n=2. If  $p\neq 2$ , then set  $\sigma=\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 1&b\\0&1\end{smallmatrix}\right]$  with  $\beta=-b/2$ . From  $\rho^{\sigma}=\rho$  and  $\tau^{\sigma}=\left\{\begin{smallmatrix} 1&0\\0&-1\end{smallmatrix}\right]$  we obtain the claim.

Let z be a transcendental over the field K. The group of K-automorphisms of K(z) is isomorphic to  $\operatorname{PGL}_2(K)$ , where  $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$  sends z to  $\frac{az+b}{cz-d}$ . Note that K(z) = K(z') for  $z \in K(z)$  if and only if  $z' = \frac{az+b}{cz-d}$  with  $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in \operatorname{PGL}_2(K)$ .

Let  $r(z) \in K(z)$  be a rational function. Then the *degree* deg r of r is the maximum of the degrees of the numerator and denominator of r(z) as a reduced fraction. Note that deg r is also the degree of the field extension K(z)/K(r(z)).

**Definition 2.6.** For  $a \in K$  one defines the nth Dickson polynomial  $D_n(X,a)$  (of degree n) implicitly by  $D_n(z+a/z,a)=z^n+(a/z)^n$ . Note that  $D_n(X,0)=X^n$ . Furthermore, from  $b^nD_n(z+a/z,a)=b^n(z^n+(a/z)^n)=(bz)^n+(\frac{b^2a}{bz})^n=D_n(bz+\frac{b^2a}{bz},b^2a)=D_n(b(z+a/z),b^2a)$  one obtains  $b^nD_n(X,a)=D_n(bx,b^2a)$ , a relation we will use later.

## Lemma 2.7.

- (a) Let f(X) = g(h(X)) with  $f \in K[X]$  and  $g, h \in K(X)$ . Then  $f = g \circ h = (g \circ \lambda^{-1}) \circ (\lambda \circ h)$  for a rational function  $\lambda \in K(X)$  of degree 1, such that  $g \circ \lambda^{-1}$  and  $\lambda \circ h$  are polynomials.
- (b) Let  $f, g \in K[X]$  be two polynomials such that f(X) = L(g(R(X))) for rational functions  $L, R \in K(X)$  of degree 1. Then there are linear polynomials  $\ell, r \in K[X]$  with  $f(X) = \ell(g(r(X)))$ .

**Proof.** (a) This is well known. For the convenience of the reader, we supply a short proof. Let  $\lambda \in K(X)$  be of degree 1 such that  $\lambda(h(\infty)) = \infty$ . Setting  $\bar{g} = g \circ \lambda^{-1}$  and  $\bar{h} = \lambda \circ h$  we have  $f = \bar{g} \circ \bar{h}$  with  $\bar{h}(\infty) = \infty$ . Suppose that  $\bar{g}$  is not a polynomial. Then there is  $\alpha \in \bar{K}$  ( $\bar{K}$  denotes an algebraic closure of K) with

 $\bar{g}(\alpha) = \infty$ . Let  $\beta \in \bar{K} \cup \{\infty\}$  with  $\bar{h}(\beta) = \alpha$ ). From  $\bar{h}(\infty) = \infty$  we obtain  $\beta \neq \infty$ . Now  $f(\beta) = \bar{g}(\bar{h}(\beta)) = \bar{g}(\alpha) = \infty$  yields a contradiction, so  $\bar{g}$  is a polynomial. From that it follows that  $\bar{h}$  is a polynomial as well.

(b) If L is a polynomial, then R has no poles, so is a polynomial as well.

Suppose now that L is not a polynomial. Then there is  $\alpha \in K$  with  $L(\alpha) = \infty$ . Let K be an algebraic closure of K. Choose  $\beta \in K$  with  $g(\beta) = \alpha$ . If we can find  $\gamma \in K$  with  $R(\gamma) = \beta$ , then we get the contradiction  $f(\gamma) = \infty$ . The value set of R on K is K minus the element  $R(\infty) \in K$ . Thus we are done except for the case that the equation  $g(X) = \alpha$  has only the single solution  $\beta = R(\infty) \in K$ . In this case, however,  $g(X) = \alpha + \delta(X - \beta)^n$  with  $\delta \in K$ . From  $L^{-1}(f(R^{-1}(X))) = g(X)$  we analogously either get that L and R are polynomials, or  $f(X) = \alpha' + \delta'(X - \beta')^n$  with  $\alpha', \delta', \beta' \in K$ . The claim follows.  $\square$ 

**Lemma 2.8.** Let K be a field of characteristic p, and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  even and not divisible by p (so in particular  $p \neq 2$ ). Let  $\xi$  be a primitive (2n)th root of unity and  $a \in K$ . Then

$$D_n(X, a) + D_n(Y, a) = \prod_{1 \le k \le n-1 \text{ odd}} (X^2 - (\xi^k + 1/\xi^k)XY + Y^2 + (\xi^k - 1/\xi^k)^2 a).$$

**Proof.** This is essentially [2, Proposition 3.1]. The factorizations of  $D_m(X, a) = D_m(Y, a)$  are known, see [3, Proposition 1.7]. The claim then follows from that and  $D_{2n}(X, a) - D_{2n}(Y, b) = D_n(X, a)^2 - D_n(Y, b)^2 = (D_n(X, a) + D_n(Y, b))(D_n(X, a) - D_n(Y, b))$ .

The following proposition classifies polynomials f over K with a certain Galois theoretic property. To facilitate the notation in the statement and its proof, we introduce a notation: If E is a field extension of K, and  $f,h\in K[X]$  are polynomials, then we write  $f\sim_E h$  if and only if there are linear polynomials  $L,R\in E[X]$  with f(X)=L(h(R(X))). Clearly,  $\sim_E$  is an equivalence relation on K[X]. In determining the possibilities of f in Proposition 2.10, we first determine certain polynomials  $h\in \tilde{K}[X]$  with  $f\sim_{\tilde{K}} h$ , and from that we conclude the possibilities for f. The following Lemma illustrates this latter step.

**Lemma 2.9.** Let  $\bar{K}$  be an algebraic closure of the field K of characteristic p. Suppose that  $f \sim_{\bar{K}} X^p - 2X^{(p-1)/2} + X$  for  $f \in K[X]$ . Then  $f \sim_K X^p - 2aX^{(p-1)/2} + a^2X$  for some  $a \in K$ .

**Proof.** There are  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \overline{K}$  with  $f(X) = \alpha h(\gamma X + \delta) + \beta \in K[X]$ , where  $h(X) = X^p - 2X^{(p+1)/2} + X$ .

The coefficients of  $X^p$  and  $X^{(p+1)/2}$  of f(X) are  $\alpha y^p \in K$  and  $-2\alpha y^{(p+1)/2} \in K$ , so  $\gamma^{(p-1)/2} \in K$  and  $\alpha \gamma \in K$ .

Suppose that p > 3. Then the coefficient of  $X^{(p-1)/2}$  is (up to a factor from K)  $\alpha \gamma^{(p-1)/2} \delta \in K$ , so  $\alpha \delta \in K$  and therefore  $\delta / \gamma \in K$ . Thus, upon replacing X by

 $X - \delta/\gamma$ , we may assume  $\delta = 0$ . Then  $\beta \in K$ , so  $\beta = 0$  without loss of generality. Now dividing by  $\alpha \gamma^p$  and setting  $a = 1/\gamma^{(p-1)/2}$  yields the claim.

In the case  $\rho = 3$  we get from above  $\gamma \in K$  and then  $\alpha \in K$ . Thus we may assume  $\alpha = \gamma = 1$ . Looking at the coefficient of X, which is  $-4\delta + 1$ , shows  $\delta \in K$ , so  $\delta = \beta = 0$  without loss of generality. Thus  $f(X) = X^3 - 2X^2 + X$ .  $\square$ 

**Proposition 2.10.** Let K be a field of characteristic p, and  $f(X) \in K[X]$  be a polynomial of degree  $n \ge 3$  which is not a polynomial in  $X^p$ . Let x be a transcendental, and set t = f(x). Suppose that the normal closure of K(x)/K(t) has the form K(x,y) where F(x,y) = 0 with  $F \in K[X,Y]$  irreducible of total degree 2. Furthermore, suppose that the Galois group of K(x,y)/K(t) is dihedral of order 2n. Then one of the following holds:

- (a) p does not divide n, and  $f \sim_K D_n(X, a)$  for some  $a \in K$ . If  $a \neq 0$ , then  $\zeta + 1/\zeta \in K$  where  $\zeta$  is a primitive nth root of unity.
- (b)  $n = p \geqslant 3$ , and  $f \sim_K X^p aX$  for some  $a \in K$ .
- (c)  $n = 2p \ge 6$ , and  $f \sim_K (X^p aX + b)^2$  for some  $a, b \in K$ .
- (d) n = p, and  $f \sim_K X^p 2aX^{\frac{p-1}{2}} + a^2X$  for some  $a \in K$ .
- (e) n = 4, p = 2, and  $f \sim_K X^4 + (1 a)X^2 + aX$  for some  $a \in K$ .

In the cases (b), (d), (e), and (a) for odd n, the following holds: If K(w) is an intermediate field of K(x, y)/K(t) with |K(x, y)| : K(w)| = 2, then K(w) is conjugate to K(x).

In case (a) suppose that  $f(X) = D_n(X, a)$  and K(w) is not conjugate to K(x). Furthermore, suppose that t = g(w) for a polynomial  $g(X) \in K[X]$ . Then  $g(X) = -D_n(h(\xi + 1/\xi)X - c, a)$  for  $h, c \in K$  and  $\xi$  a primitive (2n)th root of unity.

**Proof.** Let  $\hat{K}$  be the algebraic closure of K in K(x, y). Then  $K(x) \subseteq \hat{K}(x) \subseteq K(x, y)$ , so either  $\hat{K} = K$  or  $K(x, y) = \hat{K}(x)$ .

We start looking at the latter case. Here  $\hat{K}(x)/\hat{K}(t)$  is a Galois extension with group C which is a subgroup of  $G = \operatorname{Gal}(\hat{K}(x)/K(t))$  of order n. Note that C is either cyclic or dihedral. Let  $\sigma \in C$ , so  $x^{\sigma} = \frac{ax+b}{cx+d}$  with  $a,b,c,d \in \hat{K}$ . From  $f(\frac{ax+b}{cx+d}) = f(x^{\sigma}) = f(x)^{\sigma} = t^{\sigma} = t = f(x)$  we obtain that  $\frac{ax-b}{cx+d}$  is a polynomial, so  $x^{\sigma} = ax + b$ .

Suppose that p does not divide n. Then we may assume that the coefficient of  $X^{n-1}$  of f vanishes. From f(ax+b)=f(x) we obtain b=0. Thus C is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $\hat{K}^{\times}$ , in particular C is cyclic and generated by  $\sigma$  with  $x^{\sigma}=\zeta x$  with  $\zeta$  a primitive nth root of unity. From  $f(x)=f(\zeta x)$  we see that, up to a constant factor,  $f(X)=X^n$ . This is case (a) with a=0.

From now on it is more convenient to work over an algebraic closure  $\tilde{K}$  of K. As  $\tilde{K}(t) \cap K(x,y) = \hat{K}(t)$  (see, e.g., [4, Proposition 1.11(c)]), we obtain that  $\operatorname{Gal}(\tilde{K}(x)/\tilde{K}(t)) = C$ .

Now suppose that p divides n = |C|, but  $p \ge 3$ . First assume that C is cyclic. From Lemma 2.4 we get p = n. Let p be a generator of C. Lemma 2.4 shows the following: There is  $x' \in \bar{K}(x)$  with  $\bar{K}(x) = \bar{K}(x')$ , such that  $x'^p = x' + 1$ . So t' = x' + 1.

 $x'^p - x'$  is fixed under C. We obtain  $t' \in \bar{K}(t)$ , because  $\bar{K}(t)$  is the fixed field of C. From  $p = [\bar{K}(x') : \bar{K}(t')]$  we obtain  $\bar{K}(t') = \bar{K}(t)$ . So there are rational functions  $L, R \in \bar{K}(X)$  of degree 1 with x' = R(x) and t = L(t'). Then  $f(x) = t = L(t') = L(x'^p - x') = L(r(x)^p - R(x))$ , so  $f = L \circ (X^p - X) \circ R$ . By Lemma 2.7 we may assume that L and R are polynomials over  $\bar{K}$ . Then  $f(X) = \alpha(X^p - aX) + \beta$  with  $\alpha, \beta, \alpha \in K$ . From that we get case (b).

Next assume that C is dihedral of order n. As  $p \ge 3$ , we get that p divides n/2. We apply Lemma 2.5 now. This yields n = 2p, and there is x' with  $\bar{K}(x') = \bar{K}(x)$  such that  $\bar{K}(t)$  is the fixed field of the automorphisms  $x' \mapsto -x'$  and  $x' \mapsto x' + 1$ . Obviously  $t' = (x'^p - x')^2$  is fixed under these automorphisms, and as  $[\bar{K}(x')]$ :  $\bar{K}(t') = 2p$ , we obtain  $\bar{K}(t) = \bar{K}(t')$ . The claim follows similarly as above.

Now assume that p=2 divides n. Applying Lemmata 2.4 and 2.5, we get that C is the Klein 4 group. We see that t'=x'(x'+1)(x'+b)(x'+b+1) is fixed under the automorphisms sending x' to x'+1 and to x'+b. So t'=h(x') with  $h(X)=X^4+(1+b+b^2)X^2+(b+b^2)X$ . Next we show that  $b^2+b\in K$ . A suitable substitution  $\gamma f(\alpha X+\beta)+\delta$  should give  $f(X)\in K[X]$ . We obtain  $\gamma f(\alpha X-\beta)+\delta=\gamma(f(\alpha X)+f(\beta))+\delta\in K[X]$ . Looking at the coefficients of  $X^2$  and X yields  $\alpha\in K$ , so  $\alpha=1$  without loss of generality. Looking at  $X^4$  gives  $\gamma\in K$ , so  $\gamma=1$  without loss. Finally the coefficient of X yields the claim. Thus  $\gamma f(X)=\chi f(X)+\chi f(X)=\chi f(X)+\chi f(X)=\chi f(X)=$ 

It remains to study the case  $K = \hat{K}$ , so  $\hat{K}(x, y)/\hat{K}(t)$  is Galois with group G. By the Diophantine trick we obtain a rational parametrization of the quadric F(X, Y) = 0 over  $\hat{K}$  (actually, a suitable quadratic extension over which F(X, Y) = 0 has a rational point suffices). In terms of fields that means  $\hat{K}(z) = \hat{K}(x, y)$  for some element z.

We apply Lemma 2.5. Up to replacing x and t by x' and t' as above, we get the following possibilities:

(a) p does not divide n, x is fixed under the automorphism sending z to 1/z, and t is fixed under this automorphism and the one sending z to  $z/\zeta$ . So we may choose  $t = z^n - 1/z^n$ , x = z + 1/z. But then  $t = D_n(x, 1)$ . There are linear polynomials L,  $R \in \bar{K}[X]$  with  $L \in D_n(X, 1) \in R = f \in K[X]$ , so we get case (a) of the proposition by [3, Lemma 1.9]. For the remaining claims concerning this case, we may assume that  $f(X) = D_n(X, a)$ . Again set t = f(x), and now choose z with z + a/z = x. Then  $t = D_n(x, a) = D_n(z + a/z, a) = z^n + (a/z)^n$ . The normal closure K(x, y) = K(x, w) of K(x)/K(t) is contained in  $K(\zeta, z)$ . The elements  $x' = \zeta x + \frac{a}{\zeta x}$  and  $x'' = \frac{x}{\zeta} + \frac{\zeta a}{x}$  are conjugates of x, so  $x, x', x'' \in K(x, y)$ . From  $x' + x'' = (\zeta + 1/\zeta)(x - a/x)$  we obtain  $\zeta + 1/\zeta \in K(x, y)$ . However, we are in the case that K is algebraically closed in K(x, y), so  $\zeta + 1/\zeta \in K$ .

Suppose that K(w) is not conjugate to K(x). As extending the coefficients does not change Galois groups, this is equivalent to  $\bar{K}(x)$  not being conjugate to  $\bar{K}(w)$  in  $\bar{K}(x,y) = \bar{K}(z)$ . Note that x is fixed under the involution  $z \mapsto a/z$ . The other

involutions in  $\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{K}(z)/\bar{K}(t))$  have the form  $z \to a\beta/z$ , where  $\beta$  is an nth root of unity, or  $z \to -z$ . The latter involution cannot fix w, because the fixed field would be  $\bar{K}(z^2)$ , however,  $z^n + (a/z)^n$  cannot be written as a polynomial in  $z^2$ . Thus suppose that  $z \mapsto a\beta/z$  fixes w. If  $\beta^{n/2} = 1$ , then an easy calculation shows that  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & a \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \beta a \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  are conjugate in  $\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{K}(z)/\bar{K}(t))$ , contrary to  $\bar{K}(x)$  and  $\bar{K}(w)$  not being conjugate. Thus  $\beta^{n/2} \neq 1$ , hence  $\beta^{n/2} = -1$ , because  $\beta^n = 1$ . The element  $w' = z + (\beta a)/z$  is fixed under the involution  $z \to a\beta/z$ , so  $\bar{K}(w') = \bar{K}(w)$ . Furthermore,

$$t = z^n + (a/z)^n = z^n + (\beta a/z)^n = D_n(z + (\beta a)/z, \beta a) = D_n(w', \beta a),$$

so  $g(X) = D_n(uX + v, \beta a)$  for some  $u, v \in \bar{K}$ . The condition that g(X) has coefficients in K shows that  $\frac{1}{a} \in K$ , see [3, Lemma 1.9]. Thus, upon replacing X by  $X - \frac{1}{a}$ , we may assume v = 0. The transformation formula in Definition 2.6 gives  $g(X) = D_n(uX, \beta a) = \beta^{n/2} D_n(\frac{u}{\sqrt{\beta}}X, a) = -D_n(\frac{1}{\delta}X, a)$  with  $\delta \in \bar{K}$ . As each conjugate of w has degree 2 over K(x) we obtain that f(X) - g(Y) splits over K in irreducible factors of degree 2. By Lemma 2.8 one of the factors of  $f(X) - g(Y) = D_n(X, a) - D_n(\frac{1}{\delta}Y, a)$  is  $X^2 - \frac{1}{\delta}(\xi + 1/\xi)XY + \frac{1}{\delta^2}Y^2 - (\xi - 1/\xi)^2 a$ . All coefficients of this factor have to be in K, so there is  $b_1 \in K$  with  $\frac{1}{\delta}(\xi + \frac{1}{\delta}) = b_1$ . We obtain  $g(X) = -D_n(\frac{b_1}{\xi + 1/\xi}X, a) = -D_n(b(\xi + 1/\xi)X, a)$ , where  $b = \frac{b_1}{(\xi + 1/\xi)^2} \in K$ . The claim follows.

- (b)  $n = p \ge 3$ . From a computation above we obtain  $t = (z^p z)^2$ . We may assume that x is fixed under the automorphism sending z to -z, so for instance  $x = z^2$ . Let  $h \in \bar{K}(X)$  with h(x) = t. That means  $h(z^2) = (z^p z)^2 = z^{2p} 2z^{p+1} + z^2$ , hence  $h(X) = X^p 2X^{\frac{p-1}{2}} + X$ . Lemma 2.9 yields the claim.
  - (c) The case n = p = 2 does not arise, because we assumed  $n \ge 3$ .

The conjugacy of K(w) and K(x) has been shown in the derivation of case (e) above. In the cases (a) (n odd), (b) and (d) it holds as well, because G is dihedral of order 2n with n odd, so all involutions in G are conjugate.  $\square$ 

3. PROOF OF THE THEOREMS

## 3.1. Proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2

Suppose that f(X) is not a polynomial in  $X^p$ , so not all exponents of f are divisible by p. Let q(X,Y) be an irreducible divisor of f(X) = g(Y) of degree at most 2. Set t = f(x), where x is a transcendental over K. Clearly both variables X and Y appear in q(X,Y). In an algebraic closure of K(t) choose y with q(x,y) = 0. Note that g(y) = t. The field  $K(x) \cap K(y)$  lies between K(x) and K(t), so by Lüroth's Theorem,  $K(x) \cap K(y) = K(u)$  for some u. Writing  $t = \Phi(u)$  and  $u = f_1(x)$  for rational functions  $\Phi$ .  $f_1 \in K(X)$ , we have  $f = \Phi \circ f_1$ . By Lemma 2.7(a), we may replace u by u' with K(u) = K(u'), such that t is a polynomial in u, and u is a polynomial in x. Thus without loss of generality we may assume that  $\Phi$  and  $f_1$  are polynomials. From that it follows that u is also a polynomial in y, so  $g(X) = \Phi(g_1(X))$  for a polynomial  $g_1$  with  $g_1(y) = u$ . Note that  $f_1$  is again not a polynomial in  $X^p$ . As g is irreducible and  $f_1(x) - g_1(y) = u - u = 0$ , we get that g(X,Y) divides

 $f_1(X) - g_1(Y)$ . Thus, in order to prove the theorems, we may assume that  $f = f_1$  and  $g = g_1$ , so  $K(x) \cap K(y) = K(t)$ .

First suppose that the polynomial q(x, Y), considered in the variable Y, is inseparable over K(x). Then the characteristic of K is 2, and (up to a factor)  $q(X,Y) = aX^2 + bX + c + Y^2$ , hence  $y^2 = ax^2 + bx + c$ . So  $K(y^2) \subseteq K(x) \cap K(y) = K(t)$ , therefore  $[K(y):K(t)] \le 2$ . But  $[K(x):K(t)] = [K(x,y):K(y)][K(y):K(t)]/[K(x,y):K(x)] \le 2$ . We obtain deg f, deg  $g \le 2$ , a situation which gives ease (a) in the theorems.

Thus we assume that K(x,y)/K(x) is separable. By the assumption that f(X) is not a polynomial in  $X^p$ , we also obtain that K(x)/K(t) is separable. Thus K(x,y)/K(t) is separable. From  $K(x)\cap K(y)=K(t)$  we obtain that the fields K(x), K(y), and K(x,y) are pairwise distinct. So K(x,y) is a quadratic extension of K(x) and K(y). Thus K(x,y)/K(t) is a Galois extension, whose Galois group G is generated by involutions  $\tau_x$  and  $\tau_y$ , where  $\tau_x$  and  $\tau_y$  fix x and y, respectively. In particular, G is a dihedral group.

For deg  $f = \deg g = 2$  we obtain case (a) of the Theorems. Thus assume  $n = \deg f = \deg g \ge 3$  from now on.

The possibilities for f are given in Proposition 2.10. In the cases (b), (d), (e), and (a) for odd n, we obtain that K(x) and K(y) are conjugate, yielding the case (a) of Theorem 1.1 and case (b) of Theorem 1.2.

Let us assume case (c) of Proposition 2.10. Here G is a dihedral group of order 4p. If  $\tau_x$  and  $\tau_y$  are conjugate, then we obtain case (a) of Theorem 1.1 and case (b)(iii) of Theorem 1.2. Thus suppose that  $\tau_x$  and  $\tau_y$  are not conjugate. By Lemma 2.2 there is a conjugate  $\tau_y'$  of  $\tau_y$  such that  $\tau_x$  and  $\tau_y'$  generate a group of order 4. Thus K(x) and K(y') have degree 2 over  $K(x) \cap K(y')$ . So there are  $f_0, g_0, h \in K[X]$  with  $f_0$  and  $g_0$  of degree 2 and  $f = h \circ f_0, g = h \circ g_0$ , giving case (a) of Theorem 1.1. Without loss of generality assume that  $f(X) = (X^p + aX + b)^2$ , and  $f_0(X) = X^2$ . From  $f(-X) = h((-X)^2) = h(X^2) = f(X)$  we obtain h = 0, so  $f(X) = h(X^2)$  with  $h(X) = X^p + 2aX^{\frac{p+1}{2}} + a^2X$ . This yields case (d) of Theorem 1.2.

Finally, assume the situation of Proposition 2.10, case (a) for even n. If K(x) and K(y) are conjugate, then we obtain the case (a) of Theorem 1.1 and case (b)(i) of Theorem 1.2. If however K(x) and K(y) are not conjugate, then Proposition 2.10 yields case (c) of Theorem 1.2. In order to obtain case (b) of Theorem 1.1 one applies Lemma 2.2 in order to show that  $\tau_x$  and a conjugate of  $\tau_y$  generate a dihedral 2-group and argues as in the previous paragraph.

## 3.2. Proof of Theorem 1.3

We have  $f(X) = u(X)^p$  and  $g(X) = v(X)^p$ , where the coefficients of u and v are contained in a purely inseparable extension L of K. (This includes the case K = L.) In particular, [L:K] is a power of p, so q(X,Y) remains irreducible over L if p > 2.

Suppose first that p > 2, or that q(X, Y) is irreducible over L if p = 2. As each irreducible factor of  $f(X) - g(Y) = u(X)^p - v(X)^p = (u(X) - v(Y))^p$  arises at least

p times, we obtain that  $q(X, Y)^p = q(X^p, Y^p)$  divides  $f(X) - g(Y) = f_0(X^p) - g_0(Y^p)$ , and the claim follows in this case.

It remains to look at the case that p = 2 and  $q(X, Y) = q_1(X, Y)q_2(X, Y)$  is a nontrivial factorization over L. If  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  do not differ by a factor, then as above  $q_1(X, Y)^2$  and  $q_2(X, Y)^2$  divide  $u(X)^2 - v(Y)^2$ , so  $q(X, Y)^2$  divides  $u(X)^2 - v(Y)^2$ , and we conclude as above.

Thus  $q(X,Y) = \delta(\alpha X - Y + \beta)^2$  for some  $\alpha, \beta \in L$ ,  $\delta \in K$ . Then  $q(X,Y) = \delta(\alpha X^2 + Y^2 + b)$  with  $a, b \in K$  divides  $f_0(X^2) - g_0(Y^2)$ , so aX + Y + b divides  $f_0(X) - g_0(Y)$ , hence  $g_0(X) = f_0(\alpha X + b)$ , and the claim follows.

**Remark 3.1.** The method of the paper is easily extended to the study of degree 2 factors of polynomials of the form a(X)b(Y) = c(X)d(Y), where a, b, c, d are polynomials. For if q(X, Y) is a quadratic factor, x is a transcendental, and y chosen with q(x, y) = 0, then a(x)/c(x) = d(y)/b(y), so setting t = a(x)/c(x) = d(y)/b(y) and studying the field extension K(x, y)/K(t) requires only minor extensions of the arguments given in the paper.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Berrondo E., Gallardo L. Factors of small degree of some difference polynomials f(x) = g(t) in f[t][x]. Publ. Math. Debrecen 67 (2005) 305–314.
- [2] Bilu Y.F. Quadratic factors of f(x) = g(y). Acta Arith. 90 (1999) 343–355.
- [3] Turnwald G. On Schur's conjecture, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A 58 (1995) 312–357.
- [4] Turnwald G. Some notes on monodromy groups of polynomials, in: Number Theory in Progress. Vol. 1 (Zakopane-Kościelisko, 1997), de Gruyter, Berlin, 1999, pp. 539-552.

(Received October 2006).