# On the Lipschitz Continuity of the Solution Map in Semidefinite Linear Complementarity Problems

## R. Balaji

Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology-Madras, Chennai-600036, India, balaji932@yahoo.com

# T. Parthasarathy

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad-500046, India, pacha14@yahoo.com

## D. Sampangi Raman

Indian Statistical Institute, Nelson Manickam Road, Nungambakkam, Chennai-600029, India, dsampangi@hotmail.com

#### V. Vetrivel

Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology-Madras, Chennai-600036, India, vetri@iitm.ac.in

In this paper, we investigate the Lipschitz continuity of the solution map in semidefinite linear complementarity problems. For a monotone linear transformation defined on the space of real symmetric  $n \times n$  matrices, we show that the Lipschitz continuity of the solution map implies the globally uniquely solvable (GUS)-property. For Lyapunov transformations with the Q-property, we prove that the Lipschitz continuity of the solution map is equivalent to the strong monotonicity property. For the double-sided multiplicative transformations, we show that the Lipschitz continuity of the solution map implies the GUS-property.

Key words: semidefinite linear complementarity problem (SDLCP); Lipschitz continuity; P-property; Q-property; GUS-property.

MSC2000 subject classification: Primary: 90C33; secondary: 93D05

OR/MS subject classification: Primary: programming; secondary: complementarity

History: Received November 12, 2003; revised June 24, 2004.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $\mathcal{S}^n$  be the vector space of all real symmetric  $n \times n$  matrices and  $\mathcal{S}^n_+$  the set of positive semidefinite matrices in  $\mathcal{S}^n$ . Given a linear transformation  $L: \mathcal{S}^n \to \mathcal{S}^n$  and  $Q \in \mathcal{S}^n$ , the semidefinite linear complementarity problem SDLCP(L, Q) is to find a matrix  $X \in \mathcal{S}^n$  such that

$$X \in \mathcal{S}_{+}^{n}$$
,  $Y = L(X) + Q \in \mathcal{S}_{+}^{n}$ ,  $\langle X, Y \rangle = \operatorname{tr}(XY) = 0 \ (\Leftrightarrow XY = 0)$ ,

where tr denotes the trace. We shall refer to XY = 0 as the complementarity condition.

This problem was originally introduced by Kojima et al. [9] in a different form. The SDLCP can be considered as a generalization of the linear complementarity problem (LCP); see Cottle et al. [2]. Motivated by the significance of P-matrices in linear complementarity theory, Gowda and Song [6] introduced the P-property and its variants, namely, the globally uniquely solvable (GUS) property and the  $P_2$ -property for the SDLCP. The relationship between these properties was established in Gowda and Song [6] and in Parthasarathy et al. [12].

One of the important problems in the LCP is to characterize the Lipschitz continuity of its solution map  $q \to S_M(q)$ , where  $S_M(q)$  is the set of all solutions to the linear complementarity problem LCP(M,q). Mangasarian and Shiau [10] showed that if M is a P-matrix, then the multivalued map  $q \to S_M(q)$  is Lipschitz continuous. Pang conjectured that if  $S_M$  is Lipschitz continuous and  $S_M(q) \neq \phi$  for all  $q \in R^n$ , then M must be a P-matrix; see Gowda [4]. This result was established in Murthy et al. [11].

These results motivate us to find the interconnections between the Lipschitz continuity of the solution map  $\phi_L$  of the SDLCP and the P-property and its variants. Because the cone  $\mathcal{S}_+^n$  is nonpolyhedral and the matrix multiplication is not commutative in general, the problem becomes more difficult than in the case of the LCP. We have shown that for a monotone linear transformation L, the Lipschitz continuity of  $\phi_L$  implies the  $P_2$ -property. By specializing to Lyapunov transformations  $L_A$ , we show that if  $L_A$  has the Q-property, then  $\phi_{L_A}$  is Lipschitz continuous if and only if  $L_A$  has the strong monotonicity property. Using this result, we give an example to illustrate that the GUS-property of L need not imply the Lipschitz continuity of  $\phi_L$ . For the transformation  $M_A(X) = AXA^T$ , we prove that if  $\phi_{M_A}$  is Lipschitz continuous, then  $M_A$  has the GUS-property. If we make the additional assumption that A is symmetric, then for the transformation  $M_A$ , we show that  $\phi_{M_A}$  is Lipschitz continuous if and only if  $M_A$  has the strong monotonicity property.

#### 1.1. Notation and Preliminaries.

- (i) Let  $X \in \mathcal{S}^n$ . We write  $X \succeq 0 \ (\succ 0)$  if X is positive semidefinite (definite).
- (ii) Given a linear transformation  $L: \mathcal{S}^n \to \mathcal{S}^n$ , let  $\phi_L(Q)$  denote the set of all solutions to SDLCP(L, Q).
  - (iii) We use I to denote the identity matrix.
  - (iv) For  $A \in \mathcal{S}^n$ , let ||A|| denote the Frobenius norm.

We list below some well-known results on matrices; see Zhang [13].

- (i)  $X \succeq 0 \Rightarrow PXP^T \succeq 0$  for any nonsingular matrix P.
- (ii)  $X \succeq 0$ ,  $Y \succeq 0$ ,  $\langle X, Y \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow XY = YX = 0$ .
- (iii) Let  $A \in \mathcal{S}^n$ . Then, there exists a real invertible matrix Q such that  $QAQ^T = \text{diag}[I_k, -I_r, 0]$ , where k is the number of positive eigenvalues of A and r is the number of negative eigenvalues of A.

DEFINITION 1.1. For  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , we recall the following definitions.

- A is positive stable if every eigenvalue of A has a positive real part.
- (ii) A is Schur stable if all the eigenvalues of A lie in the open unit disk of the complex plane.
- (iii) A is a signature matrix if A is a diagonal matrix and its diagonal entries are either 1 or −1.

DEFINITION 1.2. For a matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , we define the corresponding Lyapunov transformation  $L_A \colon \mathcal{S}^n \to \mathcal{S}^n$  by

$$L_A(X) = AX + XA^T$$
.

DEFINITION 1.3. For a linear transformation  $L: \mathcal{S}^n \to \mathcal{S}^n$ , we say that

- (i) L has the Q-property if SDLCP(L, Q) has a solution for all Q∈ S<sup>n</sup>.
- (ii) L has the P-property if X and L(X) commute,  $XL(X) \leq 0 \Rightarrow X = 0$ .
- (iii) L has the GUS-property, if for all Q∈ S<sup>n</sup>, SDLCP(L, Q) has a unique solution.
- (iv) L has the monotonicity property if ⟨L(X), X⟩ ≥ 0 for any X ∈ S<sup>n</sup>.
- (v) L has the strong monotonicity property if  $\langle L(X), X \rangle > 0$  for any nonzero  $X \in \mathcal{S}^n$ .
- (vi) L has the R<sub>0</sub>-property if SDLCP(L, 0) has a unique solution.
- (vii) L has the  $P_2$ -property if  $X \succeq 0$ ,  $Y \succeq 0$ ,  $(X Y)L(X Y)(X + Y) \leq 0 \Rightarrow X = Y$ .

DEFINITION 1.4. Let  $L: \mathcal{F}^n \to \mathcal{F}^n$  be a linear transformation. Corresponding to any  $\alpha \subseteq \{1, ..., n\}$ , we define a linear transformation  $L_{\alpha\alpha}: \mathcal{F}^{|\alpha|} \to \mathcal{F}^{|\alpha|}$  by

$$L_{\alpha\alpha}(Z) = [L(X)]_{\alpha\alpha} \quad (Z \in \mathcal{S}^{|\alpha|}),$$

where, corresponding to any  $Z \in \mathcal{S}^{|\alpha|}$ ,  $X \in \mathcal{S}^n$  is the unique matrix such that  $X_{\alpha\alpha} = Z$  and  $x_{ij} = 0$  for all  $(i, j) \notin \alpha \times \alpha$ . We call  $L_{\alpha\alpha}$  the principal subtransformation of L corresponding to  $\alpha$ .

DEFINITION 1.5. Let  $L: \mathcal{S}^n \to \mathcal{S}^n$  be a linear transformation and  $\phi_L(Q)$  be the set of all solutions to SDLCP(L,Q). Then, the multivalued map  $\phi_L: \mathcal{S}^n \to \mathcal{S}^n_+$  is Lipschitzian, if there exists C > 0 such that

$$\phi_L(Q) \subseteq \phi_L(Q') + C \|Q - Q'\| \mathbf{B}$$

for all  $Q, Q' \in \mathcal{S}^n$  satisfying  $\phi_L(Q) \neq \phi$  and  $\phi_L(Q') \neq \phi$ . Here,  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the Frobenius norm in  $\mathcal{S}^n$  and **B** denotes the closed unit ball in  $\mathcal{S}^n$ .

Theorem 1.1 (Karamardian [8]). If SDLCP(L, 0) and SDLCP(L, I) have unique solutions, then L has the Q-property.

THEOREM 1.2 (GOWDA AND SONG [6]). For a matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , consider the Lyapunov transformation  $L_A$ . Then, the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) A is positive stable and positive semidefinite.
- (ii) LA has the GUS-property.

Theorem 1.3 (Gowda and Song [6]). If a linear transformation  $L: \mathcal{S}^n \to \mathcal{S}^n$  has the  $P_2$ -property, then L has the GUS-property.

2. Main results. We first give a sufficient condition for the Lipschitz continuity of  $\phi_L$ . The result is known in a more general setting than the SDLCP. For a proof we refer to Proposition 2.3.11 in Facchinei and Pang [3]. Specializing this result to the SDLCP we get the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. Let  $L: \mathcal{F}^n \to \mathcal{F}^n$  be a linear transformation. If L has the strong monotonicity property, then  $\phi_L$  is Lipschitzian.

We now give an example to show that the monotonicity property is not sufficient to conclude that  $\phi_L$  is Lipschitzian.

Example 2.1. Let  $L: \mathcal{S}^2 \to \mathcal{S}^2$  be defined as

$$L\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc} x & y \\ y & z \end{array}\right]\right) := \left[\begin{array}{cc} 2x & y \\ y & 0 \end{array}\right].$$

Then, L has the monotonicity property. It is simple to verify that  $\phi_L(I) = \{0\}$  and

$$\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & n \end{bmatrix} : n = 1, 2, \dots \right\} \subseteq \phi_L(0).$$

If  $\phi_L$  is Lipschitzian, then  $\phi_L(0)$  will be a compact set, which is clearly a contradiction.

The next example shows that  $\phi_{-L}$  is Lipschitzian, but  $\phi_{L}$  is not Lipschitzian.

EXAMPLE 2.2. Let L(X) := -X. We claim that  $\phi_L$  is not Lipschitzian. Assume the contrary. Let C > 0 be the Lipschitzian constant. Without any loss of generality, assume that  $C > 1/\sqrt{2}$ . Let

$$Q_C := \begin{bmatrix} C & 0 \\ 0 & C \end{bmatrix}$$
 and  $Q'_C := \begin{bmatrix} C & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & C \end{bmatrix}$ .

It is not hard to show that

$$\phi_L(Q_C') = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} C & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & C \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \frac{C-1/2}{2} & \frac{1/2-C}{2} \\ \frac{1/2-C}{2} & \frac{C-1/2}{2} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \frac{C+1/2}{2} & \frac{C+1/2}{2} \\ \frac{C+1/2}{2} & \frac{C+1/2}{2} \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

Choose

$$X_C = \begin{bmatrix} C & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \phi_L(Q_C).$$

Then,  $||X_C - X_C'|| > C||Q_C - Q_C'||$  for every  $X_C' \in \phi_L(Q_C')$ , which contradicts that  $\phi_L$  is Lipschitzian.

We now show that if L has the monotonicity property and  $\phi_L$  is Lipschitzian, then SDLCP(L, Q) has a unique solution for all  $Q \in \mathcal{S}^n$ . The proof of this result is based on the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. Suppose that  $\phi_L$  is Lipschitzian and  $\phi_L(I) = \{0\}$ . Then,  $\phi_L(Q) = \{0\}$  for every  $Q \succeq 0$ .

PROOF. Let  $\mathcal{G}^n_{++}$  be the set of all positive definite matrices in  $\mathcal{G}^n$ . Let  $\Omega = \{Q \in \mathcal{G}^n_{++}: \phi_L(Q) = \{0\}\}$ . Then,  $\Omega$  is nonempty by our assumption. We claim that  $\Omega$  is an open set in  $\mathcal{G}^n_{++}$ . Fix  $P \in \Omega$ . Because  $\phi_L$  is Lipschitzian, for all  $U \in \mathbf{B}$ , we have  $\phi_L(kP+U) \subseteq \phi_L(kP) + C\|U\|\mathbf{B}$  for all  $k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$  From  $\phi_L(P) = \{0\}$ , we see that  $\phi_L(kP) = \{0\}$ . Therefore, the sets  $\phi_L(kP+U)$  are uniformly bounded. For any  $X \in \phi_L(kP+U)$ , we have

$$X \succeq 0$$
,  $Y := L(X) + kP + U \succeq 0$ ,  $YX = 0$ .

It follows that for all large  $k, Y \succ 0$ . Hence,  $\phi_L(kP+U) = \{0\}$  for all large k. Because  $kP+U \succ 0$ , for all large  $k, kP+U \in \Omega$ . Hence,  $P+U/k \in \Omega$  for large k. Thus, P is an interior point and  $\Omega$  is an open set in  $\mathcal{F}^n_{++}$ . Now we claim that  $\Omega$  is closed in  $\mathcal{F}^n_{++}$ . Let  $P \in \mathcal{F}^n_{++}$  be in the closure of  $\Omega$ . Because every neighbourhood of P contains a point U of  $\Omega$ , and for any such point  $\phi_L(U) = \{0\}$ , it follows from the Lipschitzian property of  $\phi_L$  that  $\phi_L(P)$  must be contained in an arbitrary small ball around zero vector. Hence,  $\phi_L(P) = \{0\}$ , so that  $P \in \Omega$ . Because  $\mathcal{F}^n_{++}$  is connected,  $\Omega = \mathcal{F}^n_{++}$ . Let  $Q' \succeq 0$ . Then,  $Q' + \epsilon I \succ 0$  for all  $\epsilon > 0$ . Because  $\phi_L$  is Lipschitzian,  $\phi_L(Q') \subseteq \phi_L(Q' + \epsilon I) + C\sqrt{n}\epsilon B$ . Hence, if  $X \in \phi_L(Q')$ , then  $\|X\| \le C\sqrt{n}\epsilon$  for all  $\epsilon > 0$ . Thus, X = 0.  $\square$ 

The proof of the next lemma is straightforward and thus omitted.

Lemma 2.2. Let  $L: \mathcal{S}^n \to \mathcal{S}^n$  be linear. For an invertible matrix  $Q \in R^{n \times n}$ , define  $\hat{L}(X) := QL(Q^TXQ)Q^T$ . Then,  $\phi_L$  is Lipschitzian if and only if  $\phi_{\hat{L}}$  is Lipschitzian.

Lemma 2.3. Suppose that  $\phi_L$  is Lipschitzian, and  $\phi_L(I) = \{0\}$ . Let  $L_{\alpha\alpha}$  be a principal subtransformation of L. Then,  $\phi_{L_{\alpha\alpha}}$  is Lipschitzian.

PROOF. Because  $\phi_L(I) = \{0\}$ , by Lemma 2.1,  $\phi_L(0) = \{0\}$ . Thus, L has the Q-property by Theorem 1.1 and hence  $\phi_L(Q) \neq \phi$  for all  $Q \in \mathcal{F}^n$ . Without any loss of generality, assume that  $\alpha = \{1, \ldots, k\}$ , where  $k \leq n$ . Let  $P, Q \in \mathcal{F}^{|\alpha|}$  and  $Z \in \phi_{L_{\infty}}(P)$ . Put

$$Z' = \begin{bmatrix} Z & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$L(Z') = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} M & N \\ N^T & R \end{array} \right].$$

From the block form of Z', it follows that  $L_{\alpha\alpha}(Z) = M$ . Define

$$P'_{m} = \begin{bmatrix} P & -N \\ -N^{T} & mI \end{bmatrix} \quad \forall m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

We now claim that  $Z' \in \phi_L(P'_m)$  for all large m. Because  $Z \succeq 0$ , it follows that  $Z' \succeq 0$ . Now

$$L(Z') + P'_m = \begin{bmatrix} M+P & 0 \\ 0 & R+mI \end{bmatrix}.$$

Because  $M+P=L_{\alpha\alpha}(Z)+P$ , we see that  $M+P\geq 0$ . Now choose large m such that R+mI>0. Thus,  $L(Z')+P'_m\geq 0$  for large m. The verification of the complementarity condition is straightforward and thus  $Z'\in\phi_L(P'_m)$  for large m. Now for  $Q\in\mathcal{S}^{|\alpha|}$ , define

$$Q'_{m} = \begin{bmatrix} Q & -N \\ -N^{T} & mI \end{bmatrix} \quad \forall m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Let  $X_m \in \phi_L(Q'_m)$ . We claim that the sequence  $\{X_m\}$  is bounded. Put

$$Q_m'' = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & mI \end{bmatrix} \quad \forall m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Because  $Q''_m \geq 0$ , by Lemma 2.1,  $\phi_L(Q''_m) = \{0\}$ . By the Lipschitz continuity of  $\phi_L$ , we have  $X_m \in C \| Q'_m - Q''_m \| \mathbf{B}$ . Thus,  $\{X_m\}$  is bounded. Now there exists a subsequence of  $\{X_m\}$  which converges. Without any loss of generality, assume that  $\{X_m\}$  itself converges. Partition  $X_m$  and  $L(X_m)$  conformally as before:

$$X_m = \begin{bmatrix} Y_m & Z_m \\ Z_m^T & W_m \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad L(X_m) = \begin{bmatrix} A_m & B_m \\ B_m^T & C_m \end{bmatrix}.$$

Because  $X_m(L(X_m)+Q'_m)=0$ , we have  $Z_m^T(B_m-N)+mW_m+W_mC_m=0$ . Taking limits, we see that  $W_m\to 0$ . Because  $X_m\succeq 0$ ,  $Z_m$  converges to 0. Now let  $Y_m\to Y^*$ . We claim that  $Y^*\in \phi_{L_{\alpha\alpha}}(Q)$ . Clearly,  $Y^*\succeq 0$ . Because L is linear, we see that  $A_m$  converges to  $L_{\alpha\alpha}(Y^*)$ . Now applying the limits to  $A_m+Q\succeq 0$ , we get  $L_{\alpha\alpha}(Y^*)+Q\succeq 0$ . The verification of the complementarity condition is direct, because  $\{Z_m\}$  and  $\{W_m\}$  converge to zero. Because  $\phi_L$  is Lipschitzian, for all large m, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} Z & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \begin{bmatrix} Y_m & Z_m \\ Z_m^T & W_m \end{bmatrix} + C \|P_m' - Q_m'\| \mathbf{B}.$$

Taking limits, we see that  $||Z - Y^*|| \le C||P - Q||$  and hence  $\phi_{L_{nor}}$  is Lipschitzian.  $\square$ 

LEMMA 2.4. If  $\phi_1$  is Lipschitzian and L has the Q-property, then L is 1-1.

PROOF. Let  $L^T$  denote the adjoint of L. If L is not 1-1, then there exists a nonzero  $X \in \mathcal{S}^n$  such that  $L^T(X) = 0$ . Put Q = -L(I). Clearly,  $I \in \phi_L(Q)$ . Now we can find a sequence  $\{Q_m\}$  such that  $Q_m \to Q$ , and  $\langle Q_m, X \rangle \neq 0$  for all m. Let  $X_m \in \phi_L(Q_m)$ . We claim that each  $X_m$  is singular. Suppose that  $X_k$  is nonsingular for some k. Then, the complementarity condition  $X_k(L(X_k) + Q_k) = 0$  implies that  $L(X_k) + Q_k = 0$ . Taking the inner product with X, we see that  $\langle X, L(X_k) + Q_k \rangle = 0$ . Because  $L^T(X) = 0$ , we get  $\langle X, Q_k \rangle = 0$ . This contradicts our assumption on  $\{Q_m\}$ . Now by the Lipschitz continuity of  $\phi_L$ , we have  $I \in X_m + C \|Q - Q_m\| \mathbf{B}$ . Because  $Q_m$  converges to Q, we see that  $X_m \to I$ . This is clearly a contradiction, because each  $X_m$  is singular. Hence, L must be 1-1.  $\square$ 

We now prove our main result.

Theorem 2.2. Let  $L: \mathcal{S}^n \to \mathcal{S}^n$  be a linear transformation. Suppose that L has the monotonicity property and  $\phi_L$  is Lipschitzian. Then, L has the  $P_2$ -property. In particular, L has the GUS-property.

PROOF. Assume that there exists  $X \succeq 0$ ,  $Y \succeq 0$  satisfying (X - Y)L(X - Y)(X + Y) = 0. We claim that X = Y, and this will imply that L has the  $P_2$ -property; see Parthasarathy et al. [12, Proposition 1]. If possible, let  $X \ne Y$ . Because  $X + Y \succeq 0$  and nonzero, there exists a real invertible matrix Q such that

$$X + Y = Q \begin{bmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} Q^T,$$

where  $I_r$  is the identity matrix of size  $r \times r$  and  $1 \le r \le n$ . Let  $A := Q^{-1}X(Q^{-1})^T$  and  $B := Q^{-1}Y(Q^{-1})^T$ . Then, A and B are symmetric positive semidefinite matrices with

$$A+B=\begin{bmatrix} I_r & 0\\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It follows that

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} B_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where A<sub>r</sub> and B<sub>r</sub> are  $r \times r$  matrices. Now (X - Y)[L(X - Y)](X + Y) = 0 gives

$$Q^{-1}(X - Y)(Q^{-1})^{T}Q^{T}[L(QAQ^{T} - QBQ^{T})]QQ^{-1}(X + Y)(Q^{-1})^{T} = 0.$$

This gives  $(A-B)[\hat{L}(A-B)](A+B)=0$ , where  $\hat{L}(Z):=Q^TL(QZQ^T)Q$ . Writing

$$\hat{L}(A-B) = \begin{bmatrix} P & N \\ N^T & R \end{bmatrix},$$

we get

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_r - B_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} P & N \\ N^T & R \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (A_r - B_r)P & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = 0.$$

From the block form of A-B, we note that  $P=\hat{L}_{\alpha\alpha}(A_r-B_r)$ , where  $\alpha=\{1,\ldots,r\}$ . Thus,

$$(A_r - B_r)(\hat{L}_{\alpha\alpha})(A_r - B_r) = 0.$$

By Lemma 2.2,  $\phi_{\hat{L}}$  is Lipschitzian. From the monotonicity property of L, it follows that  $\hat{L}$  has the monotonicity property. Hence,  $\phi_{\hat{L}}(I) = \{0\}$ . Thus,  $\phi_{\hat{L}_{\alpha\alpha}}$  is Lipschitzian, by Lemma 2.3. Now the monotonicity property of  $\hat{L}$  implies the monotonicity property of  $\hat{L}_{\alpha\alpha}$ . Hence,  $\phi_{\hat{L}_{\alpha\alpha}}(I_{\alpha\alpha}) = \{0\}$ , where  $I_{\alpha\alpha}$  denotes the identity matrix in  $\mathcal{S}^{|\alpha|}$ . Thus, from Lemma 2.1,  $\phi_{\hat{L}_{\alpha\alpha}}(0) = \{0\}$ . From Theorem 1.1, we see that  $\hat{L}_{\alpha\alpha}$  has the Q-property. Hence,  $\hat{L}_{\alpha\alpha}$  is 1-1, by Lemma 2.4. If  $A_r - B_r$  is invertible, then  $A_r = B_r$ , proving A = B and X = Y. This is a contradiction. Suppose that  $A_r - B_r$  is not invertible. Let

$$A_r - B_r = U \begin{bmatrix} D_s & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^T.$$

Now define  $T(X) = U\hat{L}_{\alpha\alpha}(U^TXU)U^T$ . Then,  $D_sT_{\beta\beta}(D_s) = 0$ , where  $T_{\beta\beta}$  is the principal subtransformation of T corresponding to  $\beta = \{1, \ldots, s\}$ . By repeating the same argument as before, we see that  $T_{\beta\beta}$  has the Q-property and  $\phi_{T_{\beta\beta}}$  is Lipschitzian. Hence, by Lemma 2.4,  $T_{\beta\beta}$  is 1-1. This shows that  $D_s = 0$ , proving  $A_r = B_r$  and thus X = Y. This ends the proof.  $\square$ 

- 3. Some special linear transformations. In this section, we specialize to some specific linear transformations which are prominently studied in the SDLCP literature; see Gowda and Song [6], Gowda and Parthasarathy [5], and Gowda et al. [7].
- **3.1. Stein transformations.** For a matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , we define the corresponding Stein transformation  $S_A : \mathcal{S}^n \to \mathcal{S}^n$  by

$$S_A(X) = X - AXA^T$$
.

We first prove the following theorem which is needed in the sequel.

THEOREM 3.1. Suppose that  $L: \mathcal{S}^n \to \mathcal{S}^n$  is linear. Let  $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$ . If  $\phi_L$  is Lipschitzian and  $\phi_L(I) = \{0\}$ , then the (i, i)-entry of  $L(E_{ii})$  is positive, where  $E_{ii}$  is the matrix in  $\mathcal{S}^n$  with one in the (i, i)-entry and zeros elsewhere.

PROOF. Let  $\alpha = \{i\}$ . Now consider the principal subtransformation  $L_{\alpha\alpha}$  of L. If the (i,i)-entry of  $L(E_{ii})$  is  $\beta$  (say), then it is easy to verify that  $L_{\alpha\alpha}(x) = \beta x$ . By Lemma 2.1,  $\phi_L(Q) = \{0\}$  for all  $Q \succeq 0$ . Now using the same technique as in Gowda and Song [6, proof of Theorem 8], we see that  $\beta$  is nonnegative. Now by Lemma 2.3,  $\phi_{L_{\alpha\alpha}}$  is Lipschitzian and thus  $\beta$  cannot be zero. Hence,  $\beta > 0$ .  $\square$ 

The proof of the next lemma is similar to Lemma 1 in Gowda and Song [6].

Lemma 3.1. Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ . Then, A is positive definite if and only if every diagonal entry of  $UAU^T$  is positive, for any orthogonal matrix U.

We now derive the following result for Stein transformations.

Theorem 3.2. Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ . Then, for the Stein transformation  $S_A$ , consider the following statements:

- φ<sub>SA</sub> is Lipschitzian and S<sub>A</sub> has the Q-property.
- (ii) I − A is positive definite.

Then, (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii).

PROOF. Assume (i). Because  $S_A$  has the Q-property, by Gowda and Parthasarathy [5, Theorem 11],  $S_A$  has the P-property. It is now easy to verify that  $\phi_{S_A}(I) = \{0\}$ . By Theorem 3.1, the (i, i)-entry of  $S_A(E_{ii})$  is positive for each index  $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ . It follows that if  $\alpha$  is a diagonal entry of A, then  $1 - \alpha^2 > 0$ . Thus, every diagonal entry of I - A is positive. Now by Lemma 2.2,  $\phi_{S_{UAU^T}}$  is Lipschitzian for any U orthogonal. Because  $S_A$  has the Q-property,  $S_{UAU^T}$  has the Q-property for all orthogonal U. Thus, by repeating the same argument as before, we see that for any orthogonal U, the diagonal of  $U(I - A)U^T$  is positive. Hence, by Lemma 3.1, I - A is positive definite. This gives (ii).  $\square$ 

The following example shows that the P-property need not imply that  $\phi_L$  is Lipschitz continuous.

Example 3.1. For

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

consider the corresponding Stein transformation  $S_A$ . Because I - A is not positive definite, from Theorem 3.2, it follows that  $\phi_{S_A}$  is not Lipschitzian. But A is Schur stable. Hence,  $S_A$  has the P-property, by Gowda and Parthasarathy [5, Theorem 11].

**3.2. Lyapunov transformations.** For  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , we consider the corresponding Lyapunov transformation  $L_A$ . We now show that if  $\phi_{L_A}$  is Lipschitzian and  $L_A$  has the Q-property, then  $L_A$  has the strong monotonicity property.

Theorem 3.3. The following statements are equivalent for a Lyapunov transformation  $L_A$ :

- A is positive definite.
- (ii) L<sub>A</sub> has the strong monotonicity property.
- (iii) L<sub>A</sub> has the P<sub>2</sub>-property.
- (iv) φ<sub>L<sub>A</sub></sub> is Lipschitzian and L<sub>A</sub> has the Q-property.

PROOF. The equivalence of (i), (ii), and (iii) follows from Parthasarathy et al. [12, Theorem 5]. The implication (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv) follows from Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 1.1. We now show that (iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). For  $L_A$ , the Q-property implies the P-property in (Gowda and Song [6, Theorem 5]). Hence,  $\phi_{L_A}(I) = \{0\}$ . Now by Theorem 3.1, the (i, i)-entry of  $L_A(E_{ii})$  is positive for each index  $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ . If  $\gamma$  is the (i, i)-entry of A, then it is easy to see that the (i, i)-entry of  $L_A(E_{ii})$  is  $2\gamma$ . Thus,  $\gamma > 0$ . Hence, the diagonal of A is positive. Because  $\phi_{L_A}$  is Lipschitzian, by Lemma 2.2,  $\phi_{L_{UAU^T}}$  is Lipschitzian for any orthogonal matrix U. Now the Q-property of  $L_A$  implies the Q-property of  $L_{UAU^T}$  for all orthogonal U. By repeating the same argument as before, we see that the diagonal of  $UAU^T$  is positive for all orthogonal U. Hence, by Lemma 3.1, A is positive definite.  $\square$ 

The following example shows that  $\phi_L$  is not Lipschitzian, but L has the GUS-property. Example 3.2. Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -2 \\ 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then, A is positive stable and positive semidefinite. Hence, by Theorem 1.2,  $L_A$  has the GUS-property. Because A is not a positive definite matrix,  $\phi_{L_A}$  is not Lipschitzian by the above theorem.

**3.3. Multiplicative transformations.** For  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , we now consider the transformation  $M_A : \mathcal{S}^n \to \mathcal{S}^n$  defined by  $M_A(X) := AXA^T$ . We first prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ . Then, the following are equivalent:

- (i) A is positive definite or negative definite.
- (ii) If U is an orthogonal matrix, then every diagonal entry of UAU<sup>T</sup> is different from zero.

PROOF. The implication (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) is obvious. We now show that (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Suppose that A is neither positive definite nor negative definite. Then, there exists a matrix  $X \in \mathcal{S}^n$  of rank 1 such that XAX = 0. Let  $UXU^T = D$ , where D is diagonal. Put  $C = UAU^T$ . Then, we see that DCD = 0. Because D is of rank 1,  $D = \text{diag}[0, ..d_i, .., 0]$ . From the equation DCD = 0, we see that the (i, i)-entry of C is zero which contradicts (ii).  $\square$ 

THEOREM 3.4. For the transformation M<sub>A</sub>, consider the following statements:

- (i)  $\phi_M$  is Lipschitzian.
- (ii) A is positive definite or negative definite.
- (iii) M<sub>A</sub> has the P<sub>2</sub>-property.
- (iv) M<sub>A</sub> has the GUS-property.

Then, (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv).

PROOF. (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): Let  $X \in \phi_{M_A}(I)$ . Then,  $Y = M_A(X) + I > 0$ . Hence, the complementarity condition XY = 0 implies that X = 0. Thus, by Theorem 3.1, the (i, i)-entry of  $M_A(E_{ii})$  is positive for all i. If  $a_i$  is the (i, i)-entry of A, then it is easy to see that the (i, i)-entry of  $M_A(E_{ii})$  is  $a_i^2$ . Hence, we can conclude that every diagonal entry of A is different from zero. If U is an orthogonal matrix, then  $\phi_{M_{UAU^T}}$  is Lipschitzian (by Lemma 2.2). Thus, item (ii) in Lemma 3.2 holds. Hence, A is either positive definite or negative definite. Now (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) follows from Gowda et al. [7, Corollary 6] and (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv) follows from Theorem 1.3.  $\square$ 

When A is symmetric, we prove a stronger result for  $M_A$ .

Theorem 3.5. If A is symmetric, the following are equivalent for the transformation  $M_A(X) := AXA$ .

- M<sub>A</sub> has the Q-property.
- (ii) M<sub>A</sub> has the strong monotonicity property.
- (iii) φ<sub>M<sub>A</sub></sub> is Lipschitzian.
- (iv) MA has the P-property.

PROOF. The implication (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) follows from Theorem 2.1. We now show that (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). It is simple to verify that  $\phi_{M_A}(I) = \{0\}$  and hence  $\phi_{M_A}(0) = \{0\}$  by Lemma 2.1. Now using Theorem 1, we see that  $M_A$  has the Q-property. Thus, we get (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i).

We now show that (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Let S be a signature matrix of order n. We claim that if  $M_S$  has the Q-property, then  $S = \pm I$ . If  $S \neq \pm I$ , without any loss of generality, assume that

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} -I_k & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n-k} \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $I_k$  denotes the identity matrix in  $\mathcal{S}^k$ , k < n. Define  $Q \in \mathcal{S}^n$  as follows:

$$Q(i, j) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } (i, j) = (k, k+1) \text{ or } (i, j) = (k+1, k), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If possible, let  $X \in \phi_{M_S}(Q)$ . Now expanding X(SXS + Q), and summing its (k, k + 1) and (k + 1, k)-entries, we see that  $-x_{kk} - x_{k+1k+1} = 0$ . Because  $X \succeq 0$ , we get  $x_{kk} = x_{k+1k+1} = 0$ . Hence, its principal submatrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_{kk} & x_{kk+1} \\ x_{k+1k} & x_{k+1k+1} \end{bmatrix} = 0.$$

Because  $SXS + Q \succeq 0$ , its principal submatrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_{kk} & x_{kk+1} - 1 \\ x_{k+1k} - 1 & x_{k+1k+1} \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0.$$

This is clearly a contradiction and it follows that  $M_S$  cannot have the Q-property. Hence, S = I or S = -I. Now let  $X \in \phi_{M_A}(-I)$ . Then,  $AXA \succeq I$ . This shows that A cannot be singular. Hence, there exists a real invertible matrix B such that  $BAB^T = S$ , where S is a signature matrix. Now the Q-property of  $M_A$  implies the Q-property of  $M_{BAB^T}$ . Hence,  $S = \pm I$ . This implies that A is either positive definite or negative definite. Now using Parthasarathy et al. [12, Theorem 6], we see that  $M_A$  has the strong monotonicity property. Thus, (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii).

It is obvious to see that the strong monotonicity property implies the P-property. Hence, we get the implication (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv). Finally, (iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) follows from Gowda and Song [6, Theorem 4].  $\square$ 

Acknowledgments. The authors thank Professor Seetharam Gowda for his comments and suggestions. We are also thankful to two anonymous referees and Professor Pang for carefully reading the earlier drafts of this paper and making extremely useful suggestions and comments that substantially improved its presentation. The third author thanks the Department of Science and Technology, India (DST/MS/134/2000) for financial support.

#### References

- Bellman, R. 1995. Introduction to Matrix Analysis. SIAM, Philadelphia, PA.
- [2] Cottle, R. W., J.-S. Pang, R. E. Stone. 1992. The Linear Complementarity Problem. Academic Press, Boston, MA.
- [3] Facchinei, F., J.-S. Pang. 2003. Finite-Dimensional Variational Inequalities and Complementarity Problems. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [4] Gowda, M. S. 1992. On the continuity of the solution map in linear complementarity problems. SIAM J. Optim. 2 619–634.
- [5] Gowda, M. S., T. Parthasarathy. 2000. Complementarity forms of theorems of Lyapunov and Stein and related results. Linear Algebra Appl. 320 131–144.
- [6] Gowda, M. S., Y. Song. 2000. On semidefinite linear complementarity problems. Math. Programming Ser. A 88 575–587.
- [7] Gowda, M. S., Y. Song, G. Ravindran. 2003. On some interconnections between strict monotonicity, globally uniquely solvable, and P properties in semidefinite linear complementarity problems. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 370 355–368.
- [8] Karamardian, S. 1976. An existence theorem for the complementarity problem. J. Optim. Theory Appl. 88 227–232.
- [9] Kojima, M., S. Shindoh, S. Hara. 1997. Interior point methods for the monotone semidefinite linear complementarity problems in symmetric matrices. SIAM J. Optim. 7 86–125.
- [10] Mangasarian, O. L., T. H. Shiau. 1987. Lipschitz continuity of solutions of linear inequalities, programs and complementarity problems. SIAM J. Control Optim. 25 583–595.

- [11] Murthy, G. S. R., T. Parthasarathy, M. Sabatini. 1996. Lipschitzian Q matrices are P matrices. Math. Programming Ser. A 74 55–58.
- [12] Parthasarathy, T., D. Sampangi Raman, B. Sriparna. 2002. Relationship between strong monotonicity property, P<sub>2</sub>-property, and the GUS-property in semidefinite linear complementarity problems. *Math. Oper. Res.* 27 326–331.
- [13] Zhang, F. 1999. Matrix Theory—Basic Results and Techniques. Springer-Verlag, New York.