

ON THE NOTION OF RESIDUAL FINITENESS FOR G -SPACES

GOUTAM MUKHERJEE and ANIRUDDHA C. NAOLEKAR

(Received 23 February 2001; revised 25 September 2001)

Communicated by S. Gadde

Abstract

We define equivariant completion of a G -complex and define residually finite G -spaces. We show that the group of G -homotopy classes of G -homotopy self equivalences of a finite, residually finite G -complex, is residually finite. This generalizes some results of Roitberg.

2000 *Mathematics subject classification*: primary 55P60, 57S17.

Keywords and phrases: equivariant completion, residually finite G -spaces, residually finite groups, Hopfian groups.

1. Introduction

The notion of profinite completion in group theory is well understood and it is well known that profinite completion of a group is residually finite. The notion of profinite completion of Sullivan [8] in homotopy theory motivated Roitberg to introduce the notion of residual finiteness in the homotopy category [7]. He showed that the profinite completion of a path connected CW-complex is residually finite [7, Theorem 1 (a)]. He further showed that for a finite CW-complex X which is residually finite, $\mathcal{E}(X)$, the pointed homotopy classes of self homotopy equivalences is residually finite [7, Theorem 3]. This is the homotopy theoretic analogue of the well-known result of Baumslag that the automorphism group of a finitely generated residually finite group is residually finite. The aim of this paper is to prove equivariant versions of the above results of Roitberg.

Let G be a finite group and $G\mathcal{H}$ denote the category of G -path connected G -CW-complexes (which we abbreviate to G -complexes) with base point. All maps and homotopies are based. Following Sullivan, we define the profinite completion

\hat{X}_G of a G -complex X (for equivariant completion, generalizing the non equivariant completion of Bousfield-Kan, see [3]). We also introduce the notion of residual finiteness for G -spaces and show that for any $X \in G\mathcal{H}$, the profinite completion \hat{X}_G is residually finite. Let $\mathcal{E}_G(X)$ denote the group of G -homotopy classes of equivariant homotopy self equivalences of X . One of the main results of the paper is

THEOREM 1.1. *Let $X \in G\mathcal{H}$ be finite. Assume that X is residually finite. Then $\mathcal{E}_G(X)$ is a residually finite group.*

Recall that a theorem of Sullivan [9] and Wilkerson [11] says that if X is a nilpotent finite complex, then $\mathcal{E}(X)$ is commensurable with an arithmetic group and hence, is finitely presented. Thus if X is a finite, nilpotent complex which is also residually finite, then $\mathcal{E}(X)$ being residually finite and finitely presented, is Hopfian. The equivariant analogue of the Sullivan-Wilkerson theorem is proved in [10]. We use this to prove

THEOREM 1.2. *If $X \in G\mathcal{H}$ is finite and nilpotent, then $\mathcal{E}_G(X)$ is Hopfian.*

Convention Throughout, G will denote a finite group and all spaces, maps and homotopies are based and ‘ $X \in G\mathcal{H}$ is finite’ is meant that X is a finite G -CW-complex.

2. Equivariant completion and residual finiteness

Recall that a space F is *totally finite* if the homotopy groups $\pi_n(F)$, $n \geq 1$ are finite and if in addition there exists a positive integer n_0 such that $\pi_n(F) = 0$ for $n > n_0$. A space is of *finite type* if all its homotopy groups are finitely generated.

A G -space X is *totally finite* if for every subgroup H of G , the H fixed point set X^H is totally finite.

DEFINITION 2.1. A G -space X is *residually finite* if for any finite G -complex W and $\alpha, \beta \in [W, X]_G$, $\alpha \neq \beta$ there exists a G -map $f : X \rightarrow Z$ with Z totally finite such that $f_*(\alpha) \neq f_*(\beta)$ where $f_* : [W, X]_G \rightarrow [W, Z]_G$ is the map induced by f .

A G -map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ between G -spaces is a \mathbb{F} -*monomorphism* if for every finite $W \in G\mathcal{H}$ the induced map $f_* : [W, X]_G \rightarrow [W, Y]_G$ is a monomorphism.

Here is an example of a residually finite space.

EXAMPLE 2.2. Let $X = S^1 \vee S^1$. Then X can be given the structure of a \mathbb{Z}_2 -complex as follows. X has one 0-cell of the type $\mathbb{Z}_2/\mathbb{Z}_2$ and one 1-cell of the type \mathbb{Z}_2/e . X can then be readily recognized as an equivariant Eilenberg-MacLane space $K(\lambda, 1)$

where λ is the $O_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ -group $\lambda(\mathbb{Z}_2/e) = F_2$, the free group of rank two, and $\lambda(\mathbb{Z}_2/\mathbb{Z}_2)$ is the trivial group. We claim that X is residually finite as \mathbb{Z}_2 -space. First note that if W is a finite G -complex then

$$[W, K(\lambda, 1)]_G \cong \text{Hom}_{O_G}(\underline{\pi}_1(W), \lambda).$$

(This is true more generally [6]). Now let $\alpha, \beta \in [W, K(\lambda, 1)]_G$ be such that $\alpha \neq \beta$. Then clearly $\alpha(\mathbb{Z}_2/e) \neq \beta(\mathbb{Z}_2/e) : \pi_1(W^e) \rightarrow \lambda(\mathbb{Z}_2/e)$. Since F_2 is residually finite there exists a finite group F and a homomorphism $\mu : \lambda(\mathbb{Z}_2/e) \rightarrow F$ such that $\mu \circ \alpha(\mathbb{Z}_2/e) \neq \mu \circ \beta(\mathbb{Z}_2/e)$. Define an O_G -group λ' by $\lambda'(\mathbb{Z}_2/e) = F$ and $\lambda'(\mathbb{Z}_2/\mathbb{Z}_2)$ to be the trivial group. Then, the map $\mu : \lambda \rightarrow \lambda'$ defined by $\mu(\mathbb{Z}_2/e) = \mu$ and $\mu(\mathbb{Z}_2/\mathbb{Z}_2)$ being the trivial homomorphism, defines a natural transformation. This gives rise to a G -map $h : K(\lambda, 1) \rightarrow K(\lambda', 1)$ of equivariant Eilenberg-MacLane spaces. Clearly $h_*(\alpha) \neq h_*(\beta)$. Observe that $K(\lambda', 1)$ is totally finite. Note that X is not nilpotent as a \mathbb{Z}_2 -space (compare Proposition 2.9).

PROPOSITION 2.3. *If X is residually finite as a G -space, then X^G is residually finite.*

PROOF. Let $\alpha, \beta \in [W, X^G], \alpha \neq \beta$ with W a finite CW-complex. Then endowing W with the trivial G -action, α, β can be considered as elements of $[W, X]_G$ and it is easy to see that $\alpha \neq \beta$, as elements of $[W, X]_G$. Hence there is a totally finite G -space Z and a G -map $f : X \rightarrow Z$, such that, $f_*(\alpha) \neq f_*(\beta)$. Then, it follows that $f_*^G(\alpha) \neq f_*^G(\beta)$. □

We can now construct a G -space X which is residually finite, if one forgets the group action but is not residually finite when considered as a G -space.

EXAMPLE 2.4. Let $G = \mathbb{Z}_2$. Let $f : \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ denote the only homomorphism between the additive group of rationals and the integers. This map is then realized as a map $f : K(\mathbb{Q}, 1) \rightarrow S^1$ of Eilenberg-MacLane spaces. Consider the O_G -space T , defined by, $T(G/G) = K(\mathbb{Q}, 1)$ and $T(G/e) = S^1$, with all structure maps as the identity, except the map $T(\hat{e}) : T(G/G) \rightarrow T(G/e)$, which equals f . Then, by the Elmendorf construction [2], there exists a G -space CT , such that, CT has the homotopy type of S^1 , whereas CT^G has the homotopy type of $K(\mathbb{Q}, 1)$. Corollary 1 of [7] shows that CT^G is not residually finite, but the underlying space of the G -space CT , is clearly residually finite. It follows from the above proposition that, CT is not residually finite, as a G -space.

We now turn to the definition of equivariant completion. Recall [4, Theorem 3.1, page 134] that, a contravariant functor from $G\mathcal{H}$ to the category of sets, is *representable*, if and only if, it satisfies the Brown's axioms (the wedge and the Mayer-Vietoris axioms). A functor satisfying the wedge and the Mayer-Vietoris axioms will

be called a *Brownian functor*. A *compact Brownian functor* is a Brownian functor taking values in compact Hausdorff spaces.

We shall need the following two properties of compact Brownian functors.

(1) Suppose k' is a contravariant functor defined on the subcategory of $G\mathcal{H}$ consisting of finite G -complexes taking values in compact Hausdorff spaces. Suppose that k' satisfies the Brown's axioms, whenever they make sense. Then, there is a unique extension of k' to a compact Brownian functor k , defined by, $k(X) = \text{inv } \lim_{\alpha} k'(X_{\alpha})$, where the inverse limit is over the finite G -subcomplexes X_{α} of X .

(2) The arbitrary inverse limit of compact Brownian functors, over a small filtering category, is a compact Brownian functor.

The proofs of both these facts are analogous to the nonequivariant case [8, page 36] and are therefore omitted. We shall use the above properties of compact Brownian functors to introduce equivariant completion as follows.

Step 1 For $X \in G\mathcal{H}$, let \mathcal{F}_X denote the category whose objects are G -maps $X \rightarrow F$ with F a totally finite G -space and morphisms are homotopy commutative diagrams.

LEMMA 2.5. \mathcal{F}_X is a small filtering category.

PROOF. Recall ([8]) that, to show that the category \mathcal{F}_X is small filtering we need to check the smallness, the directedness of \mathcal{F}_X and the essential uniqueness of maps in \mathcal{F}_X . The first condition is clear since we can replace \mathcal{F}_X by an equivalent small category, by picking a representative from each G -homotopy type of F 's. The second property is also clear as given objects $f_1 : X \rightarrow F_1$ and $f_2 : X \rightarrow F_2$ in \mathcal{F}_X we can imbed them in $f_1 \times f_2 : X \rightarrow F_1 \times F_2$. The essential uniqueness of maps in \mathcal{F}_X follows from the co-equalizer construction in equivariant homotopy theory, which is given by a suitable pushout diagram [4, page 39]. Explicitly, for two morphisms from $\pi' : X \rightarrow F'$ to $\pi : X \rightarrow F$ in \mathcal{F}_X given by G -maps $f_1, f_2 : F' \rightarrow F$, consider the G -space

$$\{(p, x) \in F' \times F' : p(0) = f_1(x), p(1) = f_2(x)\}$$

with diagonal action, where the G -action on F' is induced by the action on F . Let F'' be the component of the above G -space containing the base point, the base point being the constant path at the base point of F in the first factor and the base point of F' in the second factor. Then, as in the non-equivariant case [4, page 40], [8] we have an exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow \pi_i(F'')^H \rightarrow \pi_i(F')^H \rightarrow \pi_i(F^H) \rightarrow \dots,$$

for every subgroup H of G . From this exact sequence it follows that F'' is a totally finite G -space. Now, one gets the required co-equalizer by using a G -homotopy from $f_1 \circ \pi'$ to $f_2 \circ \pi'$. □

Step 2 Let $Z \in G\mathcal{H}$ be finite and F a totally finite G -space. Then by equivariant obstruction theory [1], it is easy to see that, the homotopy set $[Z, F]_G$ is finite. This yields a contravariant functor defined on the sub category of $G\mathcal{H}$ consisting of finite G -complexes and taking values in compact Hausdorff spaces. A direct verification shows that this functor satisfies the Brown's axioms whenever they make sense. Then by property (1), we get a compact Brownian functor defined by $S_F(Y) = \text{inv} \lim_{\alpha} [Y_{\alpha}, F]_G = [Y, F]_G$, where the inverse limit is taken over the finite G -subcomplexes of Y .

From Step 1 and Step 2 we get a functor on \mathcal{F}_X which assigns to each object $\pi : X \rightarrow F$, the compact Brownian functor S_F obtained as in Step 2. By property (2) of compact Brownian functors $\text{inv} \lim_{\mathcal{F}_X} S_F$ is again a compact Brownian functor, which assigns, to each $Y \in G\mathcal{H}$, the compact Hausdorff space $\text{inv} \lim_{\mathcal{F}_X} [Y, F]_G$. Therefore, by Brown's representation theorem [4, Theorem 3.1, page 134], there exists a space \hat{X}_G in $G\mathcal{H}$ such that for every G -complex Y there is a bijection

$$[Y, \hat{X}_G]_G \longleftrightarrow \text{inv} \lim_{\mathcal{F}_X} [Y, F]_G.$$

DEFINITION 2.6. The space \hat{X}_G is called the *equivariant profinite completion* of X .

Clearly, \hat{X}_G comes equipped with a G -map $i : X \rightarrow \hat{X}_G$, which is determined by the objects of \mathcal{F}_X and is called the completion map.

We now prove an important property of equivariant completion. First recall that a G -space X is nilpotent if every fixed point set is nilpotent. An equivariant Postnikov decomposition for a G -space B consists of G -maps $\alpha_n : B \rightarrow B_n$ and $r_{n+1} : B_{n+1} \rightarrow B_n$, $n \geq 0$ such that B_0 is a point and α_n induces an isomorphism $\underline{\pi}_q(B) \rightarrow \underline{\pi}_q(B_n)$ for $q \leq n$, $r_{n+1}\alpha_{n+1} = \alpha_n$, and r_{n+1} is the G -fibration over a $K(\underline{\pi}_{n+1}(B), n+2)$ by a map $k^{n+2} : B_n \rightarrow K(\underline{\pi}_{n+1}(B), n+2)$. On passage to H -fixed points, a Postnikov system for B gives a Postnikov system for B^H . Moreover, every nilpotent G -space admits a Postnikov decomposition [4, 2].

PROPOSITION 2.7 (Hasse principle). *Let $Y \in G\mathcal{H}$ be finite and $B \in G\mathcal{H}$ be a nilpotent space of finite type. If $f, g : Y \rightarrow B$ are G -maps such that $i \circ f$ is G -homotopic to $i \circ g$, then f is G -homotopic to g .*

PROOF. The proof is by induction over the stages in the equivariant Postnikov system of B and is parallel to the nonequivariant case. Let $K \rightarrow B_{n+1} \rightarrow B_n$ be a part of the equivariant Postnikov decomposition of B (see [4, 2]), where $K = K(\underline{\pi}, n+1)$ and $\underline{\pi} = \underline{\pi}_{n+1}(B_{n+1})$. Suppose $f_n : Y \rightarrow B_n$ and $f_{n+1} : Y \rightarrow B_{n+1}$ are the G -maps constructed from f . Now consider the G -fibration

$$\text{Map}(Y, K) \rightarrow \text{Map}(Y, B_{n+1}) \xrightarrow{r} \text{Map}(Y, B_n)$$

with the obvious action on the function spaces so that

$$\text{Map}(Y, B_{n+1})^G = \text{Map}_G(Y, B_{n+1}).$$

We then have an ordinary fibration

$$\text{Map}_G(Y, K) \rightarrow \text{Map}_G(Y, B_{n+1}) \xrightarrow{r} \text{Map}_G(Y, B_n).$$

Consider the homotopy exact sequence of the above fibration

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \rightarrow \pi_1(\text{Map}_G(Y, B_n), f_n) &\xrightarrow{l} \pi_0(\text{Map}_G(Y, K), f_{n+1}) \\ &\xrightarrow{\tilde{f}_{n+1}} \pi_0(\text{Map}_G(Y, B_{n+1}), f_{n+1}) \xrightarrow{r} \pi_0(\text{Map}_G(Y, B_n), f_n). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\pi_0(\text{Map}_G(Y, K), f_{n+1}) = H_G^{n+1}(Y; \underline{\pi})$ where $H_G^{n+1}(Y; \underline{\pi})$ denotes the Bredon cohomology group with coefficients in the O_G -group $\underline{\pi}$ [1]. Here \tilde{f}_{n+1} denotes the map given by the action of $H_G^{n+1}(Y, \underline{\pi})$ on $(f_{n+1}) \in \pi_0(\text{Map}_G(Y, K), f_{n+1})$ obtained by equivariant obstruction theory [5]. Clearly, the image $I = I(f_{n+1})$ is the isotropy subgroup of the point (f_{n+1}) and the map r collapses the orbits of the action of $H_G^{n+1}(Y, \underline{\pi})$. Thus we get an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow I(f_{n+1}) \rightarrow H_G^{n+1}(Y, \underline{\pi}) \rightarrow \text{orbit}(f_{n+1}) \rightarrow 0.$$

We proceed as in the non-equivariant case and repeat the above argument for maps into completions \widehat{B}_G , to get a ladder whose top row being the above exact sequence, the base row being the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow I(\widehat{f}_{n+1}) \rightarrow H_G^{n+1}(Y, \widehat{\underline{\pi}}) \rightarrow \text{orbit}(\widehat{f}_{n+1}) \rightarrow 0,$$

and with induced maps $c_0 : I(f_{n+1}) \rightarrow I(\widehat{f}_{n+1})$, $c : H_G^{n+1}(Y, \underline{\pi}) \rightarrow H_G^{n+1}(Y, \widehat{\underline{\pi}})$ and $c_1 : \text{orbit}(f_{n+1}) \rightarrow \text{orbit}(\widehat{f}_{n+1})$. Here, the O_G -group $\widehat{\underline{\pi}}$ is defined by the group completion $\widehat{\underline{\pi}}(G/H) = \underline{\pi}(G/H)$. Also note that by property (1) of compact Brownian functor the map $c : H_G^{n+1}(Y, \underline{\pi}) \rightarrow H_G^{n+1}(Y, \widehat{\underline{\pi}})$, is a finite completion. With this at our disposal the rest of the proof is exactly similar to the non-equivariant case. \square

Equivariant completion yields, as in the nonequivariant case ([7, Theorem 1]), examples of residually finite spaces.

PROPOSITION 2.8. *If $X \in G\mathcal{H}$, then \widehat{X}_G is residually finite.*

Suppose that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a G -map with Y residually finite. If f is a \mathbb{F} -monomorphism, then X is residually finite. The Hasse principle implies that if $X \in G\mathcal{H}$ is nilpotent and of finite type, then the completion map $i : X \rightarrow \widehat{X}_G$ is a \mathbb{F} -monomorphism. Both these facts put together imply

PROPOSITION 2.9. *If $X \in G\mathcal{H}$ is nilpotent and of finite type, then X is residually finite.*

3. Proof of the main theorem

In this section we prove our main theorem which gives a sufficient condition for the group $\mathcal{E}_G(X)$ to be Hopfian. The main step in proving this (as in the non-equivariant case) is showing that, under suitable conditions, the group $\mathcal{E}_G(X)$ is residually finite.

DEFINITION 3.1. Let $[f] : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism in $G\mathcal{H}$. f is said to *represent an epimorphism in $G\mathcal{H}$* if for any two maps $\alpha, \beta : Y \rightarrow Z$ in $G\mathcal{H}$, $\alpha \circ f$ is G -homotopic to $\beta \circ f$ implies α is G -homotopic to β .

Suppose that X and Y_0 are in $G\mathcal{H}$ and $[X, Y_0]_G = \{[f_1], \dots, [f_r]\}$. Define $Y = Y_0 \times \dots \times Y_0$ with r factors. Then Y is a G -complex with the diagonal G action. Consider the G -map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ by $f = (f_1, \dots, f_r)$. Let $M(Y)$ denote the monoid of equivariant self homotopy equivalences of Y preserving the base point. Each element of the symmetric group S_r induces a self map of the G -space Y by permuting its coordinates. This gives an embedding of S_r into $M(Y)$.

LEMMA 3.2. *With the above notation, if $e : X \rightarrow X$ represents an epimorphism in $G\mathcal{H}$, then e determines a unique $\sigma \in S_r \subseteq M(Y)$ such that $f \circ e$ is G -homotopic to $\sigma \circ f$. The assignment $e \mapsto \sigma$ induces a monoid homomorphism $\psi : E(X) \rightarrow S_r \subseteq M(Y)$, where $E(X)$ is the monoid of equivariant self epimorphisms of the G -space X .*

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1. Let $\theta \in \mathcal{E}_G(X)$, $\theta \neq id$. We shall exhibit a homomorphism $\eta : \mathcal{E}_G(X) \rightarrow F$ with F a finite group such that $\eta(\theta) \neq id$. Since X is residually finite, we have a map $f : X \rightarrow Y_0$ of with Y_0 totally finite such that $f_*(\theta) \neq f_*(id)$. Since X is finite and Y_0^H is totally finite one observes using equivariant obstruction theory [1] that the equivariant homotopy set $[X, Y_0]_G$ is finite. Thus by Lemma 3.2 there is a $r > 1$ and a $\sigma \in S_r \subseteq M(Y_0)$ such that $f \circ \theta$ is G -homotopic to $\sigma \circ f$ and $f_*(\theta) \neq f_*(id)$. Hence $\sigma \neq 1$. Now the monoid homomorphism $\psi : E(X) \rightarrow S_r$ of Lemma 3.2 restricted to $M(X)$ induces a group homomorphism $\eta : \mathcal{E}_G(X) \rightarrow S_r$ such that $\eta(\theta) \neq id$. This completes the proof. \square

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2. Recall that by Proposition 2.9, X is residually finite. Thus $\mathcal{E}_G(X)$ is a residually finite group. Moreover it follows from the work of Triantafyllou [10, Theorem 1.2] that $\mathcal{E}_G(X)$ is commensurable with an arithmetic subgroup of $\mathcal{E}_G(X_0)$, where X_0 is the equivariant rationalisation of X . Thus $\mathcal{E}_G(X)$ is finitely generated. The theorem now follows as finitely generated residually finite groups are Hopfian. This completes the proof. \square

There are situations where it is not difficult to recognize the group $\mathcal{E}_G(X)$ as being residually finite.

EXAMPLE 3.3. Let λ be an O_G -group. Let $n \geq 1$. If $n > 1$, then λ is abelian. Then if λ has the property that $\lambda(G/H)$ is finitely generated residually finite group for all subgroups H , then it is not difficult to see that $\mathcal{E}_G(X)$ is residually finite where X is the equivariant Eilenberg-MacLane space $K(\lambda, n)$.

EXAMPLE 3.4. As another example, suppose that $X \in G\mathcal{H}$ is a finite nilpotent space such that for any G -homotopy equivalence $f : X \rightarrow X$ which is not G -homotopic to identity, there exists a subgroup H of G such that $f^H : X^H \rightarrow X^H$ is not homotopic to the identity. Then $\mathcal{E}_G(X)$ is residually finite (compare Proposition 3.5).

We end with the following

PROPOSITION 3.5. *Suppose $X \in G\mathcal{H}$ is a finite and nilpotent. Further assume that for each subgroup H, K of G*

- (1) $[X^K, X^H]$ is a group and
- (2) $[X^K, \Omega^n X^H]$ is trivial for $n \geq 1$.

Then $\mathcal{E}_G(X)$ is residually finite.

PROOF. First note that for every subgroup H of G , X^H is nilpotent of finite type and hence X^H is residually finite [7]. Now let $[f] \in \mathcal{E}_G(X)$ such that $[f] \neq [id]$. Then there exists a subgroup H of G such that $[f^H] \neq [id]$, otherwise, by [2, Theorem 3], the natural family $\{[f^H]\}$ would correspond to $id : X \rightarrow X$ and this would mean $f \simeq_G id$. The group $\mathcal{E}(X^H)$ is residually finite by [7, Theorem 3]. Using the obvious homomorphism $\mathcal{E}_G(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(X^H)$ one sees that the group $\mathcal{E}_G(X)$ is also residually finite. This completes the proof. \square

COROLLARY 3.6. *Suppose $X \in G\mathcal{H}$ is a finite and nilpotent. Moreover suppose that the G -action on X is free outside the base point. Then $\mathcal{E}_G(X)$ is residually finite.*

EXAMPLE 3.7. Let $X = S^2 \vee S^2$. Then X can be given a \mathbb{Z}_2 -complex structure by interchanging the copies of S^2 . Then X satisfies the hypothesis of the corollary and hence $\mathcal{E}_G(X)$ is residually finite. It is easy to see that this group is non-zero.

Acknowledgement We thank Professor P. Sankaran for his valuable comments.

References

- [1] G. E. Bredon, *Equivariant cohomology theories*, Lecture Notes in Math. 34 (Springer, Berlin, 1967).
- [2] A. D. Elmendorf, ‘Systems of fixed point sets’, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **277** (1983), 275–284.

- [3] J. P. May, 'Equivariant completion', *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **14** (1982), 231–237.
- [4] ———, *Equivariant homotopy and cohomology theory*, CBMS Regional Conf. Series in Math. 91 (Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1996).
- [5] A. Mukherjee and G. Mukherjee, 'Bredon-Illman cohomology with local coefficients', *Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2)* **47** (1996), 199–219.
- [6] G. Mukherjee, 'Hopfian and co-Hopfian G -CW-complexes', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **125** (1997), 1229–1236.
- [7] J. Roitberg, 'Residual finiteness in homotopy theory', *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **32** (1984), 347–358.
- [8] D. Sullivan, 'Genetics of homotopy theory and the Adams conjecture', *Ann. of Math. (2)* **100** (1974), 1–79.
- [9] ———, 'Infinitesimal computations in topology', *Publ. Inst. Haute Etude Sci.* **47** (1978), 269–331.
- [10] G. Triantafillou, 'An algebraic model for G -homotopy types', *Astérisque* **113–114** (1984), 312–337.
- [11] C. W. Wilkerson, 'Applications of minimal simplicial groups', *Topology* **15** (1976), 111–130.

Stat.-Math. Unit

Indian Statistical Institute

203, B. T. Road

Calcutta 700035

India

e-mail: goutam@isical.ac.in, anirudha@isical.ac.in