

INFLUENCE OF HUMIDITY AND TEMPERATURE ON THE YIELD OF COTTON

By K. RAOJAVAN NAIR AND PURNENDU BOSE
Statistical Laboratory, Calcutta.

Fisher (1924) devised a special technique by which he studied the influence of weekly rainfall on the annual yield of wheat at Rothamsted. This paper is a generalization of the above method when the influence of more than one meteorological factor is to be studied.

General formulae. Let M_1, M_2, \dots, M_k be k meteorological factors which influence the yield of the crop y . Let g be the subdivisions of the year for each of which records of the meteorological factors are available. The multiple linear regression equation of the yield of the crop upon the k meteorological factors is written as

$$Y = C + \sum_{i=1}^k a_{1i}m_{1i} + \sum_{i=1}^k a_{2i}m_{2i} + \dots + \sum_{i=1}^k a_{ni}m_{ni} \quad \dots \quad (1)$$

where $m_{11}, m_{12}, \dots, m_{1g}, m_{21}, m_{22}, \dots, m_{2g}, m_{n1}, m_{n2}, \dots, m_{ng}$ are the measurements of the meteorological factors in the different intervals of time. If the subdivisions of time were made infinitely small we should replace the linear regression function by a regression integral of the form

$$Y = C + \int_0^T a_1 m_1 dt + \int_0^T a_2 m_2 dt + \dots + \int_0^T a_n m_n dt \quad \dots \quad (2)$$

where $m_i dt$ in general is the effect of the meteorological factor in the element of time dt . The integral is taken over the whole period.

If $T_1, T_2, T_3, \dots, T_n$ be a series of orthogonal functions of time such that

$$\int_0^T T_i T_j dt = 0 \quad (r \neq s), \quad \int_0^T T_i^2 dt = 1.$$

then we may represent the series of values of each of the meteorological factors as a time series using the following orthogonal polynomials.

$$\left. \begin{aligned} m_1 &= p_{10} T_0 + p_{11} T_1 + p_{12} T_2 + \dots + p_{1n} T_n \\ m_2 &= p_{20} T_0 + p_{21} T_1 + p_{22} T_2 + \dots + p_{2n} T_n \\ m_n &= p_{n0} T_0 + p_{n1} T_1 + p_{n2} T_2 + \dots + p_{nn} T_n \end{aligned} \right\} \quad \dots \quad (3)$$

where

$$p_{rs} = \int_0^T m_r T_s dt$$

The regression values $a_{11}, a_{12}, \dots, a_{1n}$ for the meteorological factors in (1) may be expected to lie on a continuous time curve so that we may express the regression function in (2) in the form

$$\left. \begin{aligned} a_1 &= a_{10} T_0 + a_{11} T_1 + a_{12} T_2 + \dots \\ a_2 &= a_{20} T_0 + a_{21} T_1 + a_{22} T_2 + \dots \\ a_n &= a_{n0} T_0 + a_{n1} T_1 + a_{n2} T_2 + \dots \end{aligned} \right\} \quad \dots \quad (4)$$

where

$$a_{rs} = \int_0^T T_r T_s dt$$

The degree of the polynomials in (3) and (4) should be the same and in practice seldom exceeds 4 or 5. Now using the relations (3) and (4) the relation (2) stands as

$$Y = C + (p_{10} a_{10} + p_{11} a_{11} + \dots + p_{1n} a_{1n}) + (p_{20} a_{20} + p_{21} a_{21} + \dots + p_{2n} a_{2n}) + \dots + (p_{n0} a_{n0} + p_{n1} a_{n1} + \dots + p_{nn} a_{nn}) \quad \dots \quad (5)$$

Now the values $p_{10}, p_{11}, \dots, p_{1n}, p_{20}, \dots$ may be obtained for each year by fitting orthogonal polynomials for each meteorological factor, then correlating this series with the yield of the crop for several years, we can get values of a_{11} ($i=1$ to k ; $s=1$ to n) as partial regression coefficients using relation (5).

By substituting these values in (4) we get values of a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k which will give us the effect of fluctuations in each meteorological factor at any point of time in the season on the yield of the crop at the end of the season. In this paper one actual example has been worked out with two meteorological factors. In this special case equation (5) will reduce to

$$Y = C + (p_{10}a_0 + p_{11}a_1 + \dots + p_{1n}a_n) + (p_{20}a_0 + p_{21}a_1 + \dots + p_{2n}a_n) \quad \dots \quad (5)$$

The description and the method of analysis of the experiment is discussed in the next paragraph.

Numerical Illustration. The application relates to yield of cotton recorded in the agricultural research station, Sarkand (Sind) and supplied to us for investigation by the Director of Agriculture, Sind. In certain years there were low yields in particular tracts; and it was apprehended that such low yields were due to climatic factors. Yield figures for 1931-1941 (year 1937 was omitted) along with certain humidity and maximum temperature figures for four months (August to November) for each year were sent to us for analysis. The number of years is too small, but the material has been analyzed to elucidate the practical procedure. For each day there were four readings for temperature and humidity from 10 A.M. to 4 P.M. at intervals of 2 hours; the mean of these four readings was taken as the representative figure for the day. We have thus 119 individual figures for temperature and humidity for each year; these were analyzed by calculating the coefficients of the polynomial up to 3rd degree. The distribution of temperature and humidity in each season is thus represented by four figures.

The computation of temperature and humidity coefficients involves a great deal of labour. The method of successive summation first given by Hamly and subsequently by Fisher was used. The method may be briefly described as follows.

Let the whole interval be divided into two parts (1 to m) and (m to n). Then,

$$\begin{aligned} s_1 &= \sum_{i=1}^m x_i, \quad s_2 = \sum_{i=1}^m \left\{ (m+1-i) x_i \right\}, \quad s_3 = \sum_{i=1}^m \left\{ (m+1-i)(m+2-i) x_i / 2! \right\} \\ a_0 &= \sum_{i=1}^m \left\{ (m+1-i)(m+2-i) \dots (m+k-1-i) x_i / (k-1)! \right\} \end{aligned}$$

where x_i are the numbers. The remaining n numbers are to be summed backwards from the bottom of the column, dropping one term at the end of each summation and so giving

$$\begin{aligned} s'_1 &= \sum_{i=m}^n x_i, \quad s'_2 = \sum_{i=m}^n \left\{ (m+1-i) x_i \right\}, \quad s'_3 = \sum_{i=m}^n \left\{ (m+1-i)(m+2-i) x_i / 2! \right\} \\ a'_0 &= \sum_{i=m}^n \left\{ (m+1-i)(m+2-i) \dots (m+k-1-i) x_i / (k-1)! \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Taking alternately sums and differences we obtain

$$S_1 = s_1 + s'_1, \quad S_2 = s_2 - s'_2, \quad S_3 = s_3 + s'_3, \quad S'_4 = s_4 - s'_4$$

and so on. from which the final sum of the whole column may be obtained from the equation .

$$S_1 = S'_1, \quad S_2 = S'_2 + M S'_1, \quad S_3 = S'_3 + M S'_2 + M(M+1) S'_1 / 2!$$

$$S_4 = S'_4 + M S'_3 + M(M+1) S'_2 / 2! + M(M+1)(M+2) S'_1 / 3!, \quad \text{where } M = n - m.$$

The series $S_1, S_2, S_3, S_4, \dots$ has to be divided by a series of numbers $n, n(n+1)/2!, n(n+1)(n+2)/3!, \dots, n(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)/4!$ etc. yielding a series of numbers.

$$\begin{aligned} a &:= -\frac{1}{4} \sum (x_i), \quad b = -\frac{2!}{n(n+1)} \sum ((n+1-i)x_i), \quad c = \frac{3!}{n(n+1)(n+2)} \sum ((n+1-i)(n+2-i)x_i / 2!) \\ d &= -\frac{4!}{n(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)} \sum ((n+1-i)(n+2-i)(n+3-i)x_i / 3!) \end{aligned}$$

In the present case as we have fitted a third degree curve, it is sufficient to calculate up to c . From the values of a, b, c, d, \dots we can finally calculate P -values from the following relations

$$P_1 = \sqrt[n]{n.a}, \quad P_2 = (a-b) \sqrt{2n(n+1)(n-1)}, \quad P_3 = (a-3b+2c) \sqrt{5n(n+1)(n+2)(n-1)(n-2)}$$

$$P_4 = (a-6b+10c-5d) \sqrt{7n(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)}$$

HUMIDITY AND TEMPERATURE ON THE YIELD OF COTTON

Polynomial values of Meteorological Factors. In table 1, columns (4) and (5) give the values of S_r for different values of r , and the last two columns give the values of the constants a , b , c , and d , for each year.

TABLE I. WEIGHTED MEANS OF THE SERIES OF READINGS FOR HUMIDITY AND TEMPERATURE.

Year	constant		R_p		Values of the constant	
			humidity	temperature	humidity	temperature
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
1931	a	1	6347.4	10569.8	45.0202	88.8218
	b	2	425440.7	641440.8	59.5883	90.9251
	c	3	17651510.7	26517915.2	01.3418	92.0855
	d	4	544737347.2	814500515.4	61.9890	92.7213
1932	a	1	5540.7	10581.2	46.5605	88.9176
	b	2	378787.9	635709.8	53.0460	90.9410
	c	3	1632976.6	26780306.0	66.7157	92.2936
	d	4	517970374.7	819840926.8	68.9726	93.3399
1933	a	1	6060.5	10650.47	50.8226	89.4997
	b	2	390111.7	654479.41	51.0375	91.6136
	c	3	11640359.4	26514545.22	60.9668	92.0700
	d	4	515533025.6	808508382.30	58.3525	92.1736
1934	a	1	5138.7	10606.0	43.1824	84.0840
	b	2	346501.8	632339.4	48.5204	88.5829
	c	3	14742044.9	260117704.8	51.1012	90.3455
	d	4	460845328.0	800805752.2	62.4676	91.1830
1935	a	1	4565.7	10289.1	38.3672	86.4630
	b	2	287612.0	643466.9	40.2818	80.1214
	c	3	1194684.4	26402355.8	41.0164	91.0112
	d	4	371822088.6	811092273.8	42.3226	92.4301
1936	a	1	4122.1	10318.0	34.0263	88.3806
	b	2	257847.0	637407.8	36.1130	92.0400
	c	3	10756772.3	26882140.4	37.3325	93.3443
	d	4	33479500.9	824724216.6	38.1169	93.8965
1938	a	1	4448.4	11107.6	37.3647	93.3112
	b	2	306766.6	692906.4	42.9645	96.9146
	c	3	13197181.0	28179982.3	45.8207	97.6340
	d	4	416300027.8	862326901.0	47.2809	98.1770
1939	a	1	3818.0	11277.6	32.0172	94.7697
	b	2	259626.8	607233.2	36.3623	95.6517
	c	3	11129474.7	24351035.0	38.6467	98.4479
	d	4	350337184.4	867277874.0	39.8563	98.7407
1940	a	1	4167.5	11340.7	35.0210	95.3000
	b	2	270830.4	685120.2	39.0709	97.3568
	c	3	15062542.0	28168055.6	41.4784	97.8157
	d	4	37006284.4	861296903.6	43.1479	98.0507

Table 2 gives the orthogonal polynomial coefficients for humidity and temperature for each year in columns (3) to (8). Col. (2) gives the yield of cotton for each year.

Regression Equations. Having obtained the P values for temperature and humidity we have fitted separately (1) yield against humidity; (2) yield against temperature; and (3) yield jointly against humidity and temperature. The respective equations are as follows

$$Y = 112.8274 + 2.1910 P_{10} - 0.7752 P_{11} + 4.1904 P_{12} \quad \dots \text{ (i)}$$

$$Y = 1613.4737 + 0.0002 P_{10} - 0.0122 P_{11} + 16.0435 P_{12} \quad \dots \text{ (ii)}$$

$$Y = 403.0320 + 2.1283 P_{10} - 0.0762 P_{11} + 3.5090 P_{12} - 0.001884 P_{13} - 0.0050 P_{14} + 11.4448 P_{15}, \dots \text{ (iii)}$$

Values of the multiple correlation coefficients are given below.

$$R_1 = 0.3079, R_2 = 0.4099, R_3 = 0.5648$$

The degrees of freedom for testing R_2 , R_3 , and R_4 are 5, 5 and 2 respectively, but none of them are significant.

TABLE 2. ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIAL COEFFICIENTS FOR HUMIDITY AND TEMPERATURE

year	yield	humidity			temperature		
		P_{10}	P_{11}	P_{12}	P_{20}	P_{21}	P_{22}
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1931	1694.2	600.27	-87.20	-26.56	969.03	-40.70	-2.81
1932	691.6	507.98	-123.89	20.01	970.09	-58.43	-26.25
1933	1701.1	535.03	-70.65	23.47	975.44	-41.23	-33.77
1934	1328.0	471.12	-101.88	-0.65	917.36	-85.52	-22.88
1935	1414.0	418.68	-36.47	18.88	943.31	-60.69	-13.48
1936	1389.0	377.91	-28.06	25.11	964.30	-70.38	-29.01
1937	635.0	407.60	-100.48	3.50	1018.35	-68.13	-42.71
1938	1274.0	349.85	-81.82	6.63	1033.94	-54.90	-32.27
1940	857.0	382.07	-77.34	28.52	1039.72	-39.20	-28.48

Conclusion. On available evidence there is no significant influence of temperature and humidity on the yield of cotton. It must however be remembered that owing to the scantiness of the material analysed here, any but a large influence might well remain undetected.

REFERENCES

- FERGUS R. A. (1924) The influence of rainfall on the yield of wheat at Rothamsted. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London, B*, 213, 89-142.

Paper received : 20 March, 1943.