AN EXAMPLE OF NON-EXISTENCE OF A MINIMUM VARIANCE ESTIMATOR

By D. BASU

(Research Fellow of the National Institute of Sciences)
Statistical Laboratory, Calculta

An unbiased estimator t of a population characteristic θ is said to be a minimum variance (or efficient) estimator (m.v.o.) of θ if in the class of all unbiassed estimators of θ the variance of t is uniformly the lowest for all θ . In this note we construct a simple example to show that minimum variance estimators do not exist in many situations.

Let $x=(x_1,x_2,...x_n)$ be a random sample from a population with probability density

$$p(u)du = \operatorname{const} \quad e^{-(u-0)^4} du \qquad \dots \quad (1)$$
$$-\infty < u < \infty - \infty < \theta < \infty$$

The joint probability density of the sample is

$$P(x|\theta)dx = \text{const } e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{2} (z_{i}-\theta)^{4}} dx$$

$$= \text{const } e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{4} (4+\theta)\sum_{i=1}^{3} -60^{3}\sum_{i=1}^{3} (4+\theta)^{3}} z_{i-1} e^{4} dx$$

so that Σr_i , Σr_i^3 and Σr_i^3 are shared sufficient statistics for θ . This, therefore, is not a situation (Rao 1952) where we may expect the existence of a m.v.e. for all estimable functions $\tau(\theta)$. As a matter of fact we now give an indirect proof of the non-existence of a m.v.e. for

$$\tau(\theta) = Ex_1^3 = \theta^3 + 3\mu_2\theta$$
 ... (2)

where μ_* is the central second moment of x_i .

Let clearly

$$t^{0} = \frac{P'_{\theta}(x|\theta)}{4nP(x|\theta)}\Big|_{\theta=0} = \frac{1}{n}\sum x_{i}^{0}$$

 $E t^{0} = \theta^{3} + 3 \theta$

Since at the point $\theta=0$ the estimator t^0 has zero covariance with all statistics z such that

$$E(z|\theta)=0$$
 and $V(z|\theta)<\infty$ for all θ ... (3)

it follows from Rao (1952) that l^0 has minimum variance at $\theta=0$. Hence if there exist a m.v.e for (2) then that must be the same (excepting possibly for a set of Lebesgue measure zero) as l^0 (Rao 1952).

Now, since θ is a location parameter here, it can be easily seen that if $(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n)$ be a m.v.o. for $\tau(\theta)$ then $\ell(x_1 + \lambda, \dots x_n + \lambda)$ is a m.v.o. for $\tau(\theta + \lambda)$ for all real λ .

VOL. 12] SANKHYÄ: THE INDIAN JOURNAL OF STATISTICS [PARTS 1 & 2

Also it is easily proved that if $t_1, t_2, ...t_n$ are m.v.e.'s for $\tau_1, \tau_2, ...\tau_n$ respectively then any linear function of the t's is the m.v.e.' for the corresponding function of the t's.

Thus if t^0 be the m.v.e. for $\theta^2 + 3\mu_i\theta$ then $t^{-1} = \frac{1}{n} \sum (x_i - 1)^n$ is the m.v.e. for $(\theta - 1)^n + 3\mu_i(\theta - 1)$ and $t^1 = \frac{1}{n} \sum (x_i + 1)^n$ is the m.v.e. for $(\theta + 1)^n + 3\mu_i(\theta + 1)$ $\mathbb{E} = \frac{1}{n}(t^{-1} - 2t^0 + t^1)$ is the m.v.e. for θ .

We now show that 2 is not the m.v.e. for θ and thus prove that there cannot exist an m.v.e. for (2).

Consider the statistic

$$t = \frac{1}{2n(n-1)\mu_1} [n\Sigma x_1^9 - \Sigma x_1\Sigma x_1^8]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2n(n-1)\mu_2} [(n-1)\Sigma \dot{x}_1^9 - \Sigma x_1x_1^9]$$

$$Ex_1^9 - \theta^9 + \mu_2 \text{ and } Ex_1^9 - \theta^3 + 3n\theta$$

Now

$$Ex_1 = 0 + \mu_0$$
 and $Ex_1 = 0 + 3\mu_0$

$$\therefore E(t \mid \theta) = \frac{1}{2n(n-1)\mu_2} [n(n-1)(\theta^2 + 3\mu_2\theta) - n(n-1)\theta(\theta^2 + \mu_2)]$$

$$= \theta$$

Let us compute the variance of t at $\theta=0$

$$\Gamma(t;\theta=0) = \frac{1}{n \cdot 4\mu_1} \left\{ \mu_0 + \frac{\mu_2 \mu_4}{n-1} + \frac{n-2}{n-1} \mu_1^2 - 2\mu_1 \mu_4 \right\}$$

Now

$$V(x;\theta) = \frac{\mu_0}{x}$$
.

... the variance of t at $\theta=0$ will be smaller than that of z if

$$\mu_{6} + \frac{\mu_{5}\mu_{6}}{n-1} + \frac{n-2}{n-1} \mu_{2}^{1} - 2\mu_{5}\mu_{4} < 4\mu_{2}^{2} \qquad \dots \tag{4}$$

$$\Gamma(3/4) \qquad \text{a.i.} \qquad \Gamma(5/4) \qquad \dots$$

Now

$$\mu_{8} = \frac{\Gamma(3/4)}{\Gamma(1/4)} = .339, \ \mu_{4} = \frac{\Gamma(5/4)}{\Gamma(1/4)} = 1/4,$$

$$\mu_{6} = \frac{\Gamma(7/4)}{\Gamma(1/4)} = 3/4.\mu_{2}$$

.. the inequality (4) will hold if

$$\frac{1}{n-1}(1/4-\mu_3^2)<3\mu_8^2-1/4$$

or if $\frac{1}{1} < .68$

which is so if n>2.

Thus V(t) < V(2) at $\theta = 0$ for all n > 2 and thus our proposition is proved.

REFERENCE

Rao, C. R. (1952): Some theorems on minimum variance selimation. Sankhyz, 12, Parts 1 & 2.