# ON SOME CHARACTERIZATIONS OF THE NORMAL DISTRIBUTION

By D. BASU and R. G. LAHA Indian Statistical Institute, Calcutta

### 1. Introduction

If  $x_1,x_2,...,x_n$  are n independent normal variables with equal variances then, as is well known,  $\mathbb{Z}=\frac{1}{n}\sum x_i$  is independent of the set of variables  $y_i=x_1-\mathbb{Z}, i=1,2,...,n-1$ , and so it follows that x is independent of any function  $y(x_1,x_2,...,x_n)$  such that

$$g(x_1+\lambda, ..., x_n+\lambda) = g(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) \text{ for all } \lambda. \qquad ... \quad (A)$$

For, putting  $\lambda = -z$ , we have

$$g(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) = g(x_1 - \bar{x}, x_2 - \bar{x}, ..., x_n - \bar{x}) = g(y_1, y_2, ..., y_{n-1}, -\sum y_n).$$

That the direct converse of the above proposition is not true is seen from the following example.

Let  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  be independent and identically distributed continuous chance variables with density function f(x)dx and let

$$g(x_1, x_2) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x_1 < x_2, \\ 1, & \text{if } x_1 > x_2, \end{cases}$$

If  $u = \frac{1}{2}(x_1 + x_2)$  and  $v = \frac{1}{2}(x_1 - x_2)$  then f(u, v) dw dv = 2f(u + v)f(u - v) dw dv and hence the conditional frequency function for u given that v < 0 is

$$f(u)v < 0)du = \frac{du \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} 2f(u+v)f(u-v)dv}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} 2f(u+v)f(u-v)dv}$$

$$= \frac{du \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} 2f(u+v)f(u-v)dv}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} 2f(u+v)f(u-v)dv}$$

$$= f(u)v > 0)du$$

which proves that  $\bar{x}$  is independent of  $g(x_1, x_2)$ .

However, the converse of (A) is true if the function  $g(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$  be of some particular types. Bernstein (1941) proved under certain assumptions that if  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are independent chance variables such that  $x_1+x_2$  is independent of  $x_1-x_2$  then both  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are normal with equal variances. Bernstein's Theorem has been considerably extended by Frechet (1951), Darmois (1951), and Basu (1951). It has been proved that if  $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$  are independent chance variables such that  $a_1x_1+a_2x_2+...+a_nx_n$  is independent of  $b_2x_1+b_2x_2+...+b_nx_n$  then  $x_1$  is normal if  $a_1b_2\neq 0$  (i=1, 2,..., n).

Geary (1936) proved that if  $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$  are independent observations from the same population then the sample mean can be independent of the sample variance if and only if the parent population is normal. Ceary proved his result under the implicit assumption that the parent population has moments of all orders. Lukaes (1942) proved Ceary's Theorem under the assumption that moments up to the second order exist. It is believed that the result is true without any such assumptions. Below we give an extension of Geary's Theorem.

### 2. EXTENSION OF GEARY'S THEOREM

Let  $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$  be independent samples from a population that has finite moments up to the rth order and let K, be the K-statistic of the rth order, i.e. K, is the unbiassed estimator as given by Fisher (1928), of the rth population cumulant k. Theorem: If  $\sum x_i$  is independent of any  $K_i(r \ge 2)$  then the parent population is normal.\*

Let  $\mu_i$  (i = 1, 2, ..., r) be the *i*th population moment about the origin. Then k, is the co-efficient of l' in the power series expansion of

$$\log (1 + \mu_1 t + \frac{1}{2!} \mu_2 t^2 + \dots + \frac{1}{r!} \mu_r t^r)$$

and so

$$k_r = G(\mu_1, \mu_2, ..., \mu_r)$$
 ... (2.1)

where G is some polynomial in the  $\mu$ 's.

Now suppose we want to estimate a term like  $\mu_1^a, \mu_2^a, ..., \mu_r^a$ , where the  $\alpha$ 's are non-negative integers. If we want to use only polynomials in the x's then our estimator must necessarily consist of terms like  $x_1^a, x_2^a, ..., x_n^b$ , where of the n indices  $i_1, i_2, ..., i_n$  and the rest must be equal to j (j = 1, 2, ..., r) and the rest must be zeros.

Hence from considerations of symmetry (Basu, 1952) the best\_unbiassed polynomial estimator of  $\mu_1^a$ ,  $\mu_2^a$ , ... $\mu_r^a$  is

$$t_{\sigma,\sigma_1,\dots,\sigma_r} = \frac{\alpha_1!\dots\alpha_r!(n-\alpha_1,\dots-\alpha_r)!}{n!} \sum_{i=1}^{r} x_1^{i_1}\dots x_n^{i_n} \qquad \dots (2.2)$$

where the summation is taken over all the  $n!/\{\alpha_1!...\alpha_r!(n-\alpha_1...-\alpha_r)!\}$  possible permutations of the indices.

When this paper was in press, Laha (1953) extended Geory's Theorem in another direction by proving the following:—

<sup>&</sup>quot;Let  $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$  be independently and identically distributed chance variables with a finite variance  $\sigma^*$ . If now the conditional expectation of any unbiased quadratic estimates of  $\sigma^*$  ( $\sigma^*$ ) for the fixed sum  $x_1+x_2+...+x_n$  does not involve the latter, then the distribution of each  $x_1$  is normal."

#### CHARACTERIZATIONS OF THE NORMAL DISTRIBUTION

It is thus clear that in general we can set up unbiassed polynomial estimators for  $\mu_1^*...\mu_r^*$ , only if  $\alpha_1+\alpha_1+...+\alpha_r \leqslant n$  and so k, admits of an unbiassed estimator K, only if  $r \leqslant n$ .

Now

$$k_r = G(\mu_1, \mu_2, ..., \mu_r)$$
  
=  $\sum C_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2, ... \sigma_r} \mu_1^{\sigma_1} ..., \mu_r^{\sigma_r}$  ... (2.3)

and hence

$$K_r = \Sigma C_{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_r} t_{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_r} \qquad \dots \qquad (2.4)$$

where the summation is taken over all  $\alpha$ 's such that  $\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + ... + r\alpha_r = r$ .

Let  $\phi(t)$  and  $\psi(t)$  be the characteristic functions of  $\Sigma r_i$  and  $K_r$  respectively. If  $\Sigma x_i$  be independent of  $K_i(2 < r < n)$  then

$$\Phi(t, u) = E \{e^{i\sum x_i + u \cdot K_r}\} = \Phi(t)\psi(u)$$
 ... (2.5)

(we are writing t and u for it and iu respectively). Differentiating both sides with respect to u (which is permissible because  $E(K_r)$  exists) and then putting u=0 we have

$$E(K_{\rho}e^{t\Sigma_{\theta}}) = \{E(K_{\rho})\}\Phi(t)$$
  
=  $k_{\rho}\Phi(t)$ . ... (2.6)

Now

$$E\left(x_{1}^{i_{1}} \dots x_{n}^{i_{n}} e^{tZ_{ri}}\right) = \left(E\left(x_{1}^{i_{1}} e^{tU_{1}}\right) \middle| E\left(x_{s}^{i_{1}} e^{tU_{1}}\right)\right) \dots \left(E\left(x_{n}^{i_{n}} e^{tU_{n}}\right)\right)$$

$$= \varphi_{i_{1}}(t) \dots \varphi_{i_{n}}(t)$$

$$= \left\{\varphi_{i}(t)\right\}^{a_{1}} \dots \left\{\varphi_{i}(t)\right\}^{a_{r}} \left\{\varphi(t)\right\}^{a_{r}, 1} \dots \cdot a_{r} \dots \cdot (2.7)$$

where  $\varphi(t)$  is the c.f. of the parent population and

$$\varphi_r(t) = \frac{d^r}{dt^r} \varphi(t), \quad \varphi_0(t) = \varphi(t).$$

Hence from (2.2), (2.4), (2.6) and (2.7) we have

$$E(K_{\theta} e^{i \sum x_i}) = \sum C_{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{\theta_n}} \varphi_1^{\theta_1} \cdots \varphi_{\theta_n}^{\theta_n} \varphi_1^{\theta_1 - \dots - \theta_n}$$

(where the summation, as usual, extends over all the  $\alpha$ 's for which  $\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + ... + r\alpha_r = r$ )

$$= k_*\Phi(t)$$
.

Now since  $\Phi(t) = \{\varphi(t)\}^n$  we at once have from (2.3) that

$$O\left(\frac{q_1}{q}, \frac{q_2}{q}, \dots, \frac{q_r}{q}\right) = k_r.$$
 (2.8)

We now observe that the l.h.s. of (2.8) is  $\frac{d'}{dt'}\log_{r}(t)$ , for

$$\begin{split} \log_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t+\boldsymbol{h}) &= \log\{\boldsymbol{\theta}(t) + \boldsymbol{h}\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(t) + \frac{\boldsymbol{h}^{1}}{2!}\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(t) + \dots\} \\ &= \log_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) + \log_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\{1 + \boldsymbol{h}\frac{\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}}{2!} + \frac{\boldsymbol{h}^{1}}{2!}\frac{\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}}{2!} + \dots\} \end{split}$$

## Vol. 14 | SANKHYÄ THE INDIAN JOURNAL OF STATISTICS [ PART 4

- Kino, A. J. and Jessen, R. J. (1945): The master sample of agriculture. J. Amer. Stat. Ass., 40, 38-56.
- Китлолим, Т. (1950a): Successive process of statistical inferences (1). Mem. Fract. Sci. Kyushu Univ., Ser. A. 5, 139-180.
- ———— (1950b): Estimation-formulae used in the sampling survey of the Fishing catches in Fukuoka Prefecture: Appendix to Sampling survey of fishery catch in Fukuoka Prefecture. Statistics and Research Division, Agricultural Improvement, Bureau, Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry, 1-39.
- ———— (1951a): Successive process of statistical inferences (2). Mem. Frac. Sci. Kyushu Univ., Scr. A, 6, 55-95.
  - (1951b): Random integration. Bull. Math. Stat., 4.
- ——— (1952a); Successive process of statistical inferences (3). Mom. Frac. Sci. Kyushu Univ., Scr. A, 7, 131-135.
  - (1952b): Successive process of statistical inferences (4), Bull. Math. Stat., 5, 35-50.
- ——— (1953a): Successive process of statistical inferences (5). Mem. Frac. Sci. Kyusyu Univ., Sor. A, 7, 95-120.
- ——— (1953b): Successive process of statistical inferences (6). Mem. Fract. Sci. Kyuryu Univ., Ser. A, 8, 1-29.
- ——— (1953c): Some stochastic considerations concerning empirical functions. Bull. Math. Stat., 5, 19-33.
- KITAGAWA, T. AND FUJITA, T. (1951): Sampling surveys of living costs of labourers in Micke coal mine (in Japanese). Toyo Keizai Shimpo, Tokyo.
- MAHALANOBIS, P. C. (1940): A sumple survey of the acreage under jute in Bengal. Sankhyā, 4, 511-530.
- \_\_\_\_\_ (1944): On large-scale sample surveys. Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc., B, 231, 329-451.
- ——— (1946): Recent experiments in statistical sampling in the Indian Statistical Institute. J. Roy. Stat. Soc., 109, 325-370.
- (1952): Some aspects of the design of sample surveys. Sankhyá, 12, 1-7.
- NEYMAN, J. (1925): Contributions to the theory of small sample drawn from a finite population.

  Biometrika, 27, 472-478.
- ——— (1934): On the two different superts of the representative method: the method of stratified sampling and the method of purposive selection. J. Roy. Stat. Soc., 97, 558-808.
- ——— (1938): Contribution to the theory of sampling human populations. J. Amer. Stat. Ass., 33, 101-116.
- PAULL, A. E. (1950): On a proliminary test for pooling mean squares in the analysis of variance. Ann. Math. Stat., 21, 539-556.
- ROBBINS, H. (1952): Some aspects of the sequential designs of experiments. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 58, 527-535.
- Robens, H. and Monro, S. (1951): A stochastic approximation method. Ann. Math. Stat., 22 400-407.
- STEPHAN, F. F. (1945): The expected value and variance of the reciprocal and other negative powers of a positive Bernoullian variate. Ann. Math. Stat., 16, 50-61.
- SURHATME, P. V. (1935): Contribution to the theory of the representative method. J. Roy. Stat. Soc., Supplement, 2, 253-268.
- WALD, A. (1950): Statistical Decision Functions, John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- YATES, F. (1939): Sampling Methods for Consuses and Surveys, Charles Griffin & Co., London.

Paper received: August, 1963.