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#### Abstract

It is shown that a maximally entangled state of two spin-1/2 particles not only gives maximal violation of the CHSH inequality but also gives the largest violation attainable for any pairs of four spin observables that are noncommuting for both systems. Any entangled state implies a violation but it need not be an eigen-state of the relevant Bell operator.


Recently it has been shown that for any entangled state of two quantum systems it is possible to find pairs of observables whose correlations violate the Bell/CHSH inequality [1]. In the special case of two particles of spin $J$ in a singlet state, the maximal violation of the Bell/CHSH inequality allowed by Cirel'son's theorem [2], occurs provided $2 J+1$ is even $[3,4]$. Braunstein et al. [5] showed that for any Bell/CHSH inequality based on noncommuting observables for both systems it is always possible to construct a state which will yield a violation, though not necessarily maximal.

Local realism constrains the statistics of two or more physically separated systems which can be expressed for two systems in terms of the expectation value of some Hermitian operator (the Bell operator, $B_{\text {CHSH }}$ ) [5] by

$$
\begin{equation*}
-2 \leqslant\left\langle B_{\mathrm{CHSH}}\right\rangle \leqslant 2 . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Quantum theory predicts a violation of this inequality if for some state the expectation value exceeds the bound. In operator language the largest violation will

[^0]be given by the largest eigen-value of this Bell operator. In other words, the states which can produce this largest violation will be eigen-states with this largest eigen-value. The eigen-states which produce violation cannot be product states. In general, they are entangled states of degenerate eigen-vectors of the squared Bell operator $B_{\text {CHSH }}^{2}$ corresponding to the largest eigenvalue [5].

In this Letter we shall determine the form of all eigen-states of the Bell operator giving the largest violation attainable for any four spin-1/2 observables that are noncommuting for both systems. They are maximally entangled states (the singlet state is one example) with a relative phase between the two orthogonal vectors in the four-dimensional tensor product Hilbert space.

To show this we consider four spin observables $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}$ and $\sigma_{4}$ which represent spin measurement along the directions $n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}$ and $n_{4}$, respectively. $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ act on one particle and $\sigma_{3}$ and $\sigma_{4}$ on the other. The Bell operator for these observables can be written as
$B_{\mathrm{CHSH}}=\sigma_{1} \sigma_{3}+\sigma_{1} \sigma_{4}+\sigma_{2} \sigma_{3}-\sigma_{2} \sigma_{4}$.

The square of the Bell operator is given by [6]
$B_{\mathrm{CHSH}}^{2}=4\left(I-\left[\sigma_{\mathrm{l}}, \sigma_{2}\right]\left[\sigma_{3}, \sigma_{4}\right]\right)$.
Now for any $\sigma_{i}$ and $\sigma_{j},\left[\sigma_{i}, \sigma_{j}\right]$ is given by
$\left[\sigma_{i}, \sigma_{j}\right]=-2 \mathrm{i} \sin \theta_{i j} \sigma_{n_{i j}}$,
where $\theta_{i j}$ is the angle between the unit vectors $n_{i}$ and $n_{j}$ and $\sigma_{n_{1 j}}$ represents the spin observable corresponding to a spin measurement along the unit vector $n_{i j}$ perpendicular to the plane containing $n_{i}$ and $n_{j}$.

Then (3) can be written as
$B_{\mathrm{CHSH}}^{2}=4\left(I+\sin \theta_{12} \sin \theta_{34} \sigma_{n_{12}} \sigma_{n_{34}}\right)$.
The largest eigen-value ( $\lambda$ ) of $B_{\text {CHSH }}^{2}$ is given by
$\lambda=4\left(1+\left|\sin \theta_{12} \sin \theta_{34}\right|\right)$.
The corresponding degenerate eigen-vectors are either $\psi_{n_{12}} \psi_{n_{34}}$ and $\psi_{-n_{12}} \psi_{-n_{34}}$ for $\sin \theta_{12}$ and $\sin \theta_{34}$ having the same sign or $\psi_{n_{12}} \psi_{-n_{34}}$ and $\psi_{-n_{12}} \psi_{n_{34}}$ for $\sin \theta_{12}$ and $\sin \theta_{34}$ of opposite sign. Here $\psi_{ \pm n_{i j}}$ are eigenvectors of $\sigma_{ \pm n_{i j}}$.

Corresponding to the eigen-value (6) the largest eigen-value (in terms of the absolute value) of $B_{\mathrm{CHSH}}$ is
$\mu=2\left(1+\left|\sin \theta_{12} \sin \theta_{34}\right|\right)^{1 / 2}$.
This eigen-value corresponds to the largest violation of the Bell/CHSH inequality for the observables concerned. It is obvious from (7) that Cirel'son's bound $(2 \sqrt{2})$ is obtained when both $\theta_{12}$ and $\theta_{34}$ are $\pi / 2$.

For simplicity and without loss of generality we assume that the vectors $n_{i}(i=1,2,3,4)$ lie on the $x-y$ plane and their corresponding azimuthal angles are $\phi_{i}$. We also assume that $\sin \left(\phi_{1}-\phi_{2}\right)$ and $\sin \left(\phi_{3}-\phi_{4}\right)$ are of the same sign. In that case, the eigen-state of $B_{\text {CHSH }}$ giving the largest violation for the observables concerned will be a superposition of eigen-vectors $\psi_{z} \psi_{z}$ and $\psi_{-z} \psi_{-z}$.

Let the eigen-state be
$\psi=c_{1} \psi_{z} \psi_{z}+c_{2} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \phi} \psi_{-z} \psi_{-z}$,
where $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ are real and $c_{1}^{2}+c_{2}^{2}=1$. With this $\psi$, the expectation value of $B_{\text {CIISH }}$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle\psi \mid B_{\mathrm{CHSH}} \psi\right\rangle \\
& \quad=2 c_{1} c_{2}\left[\cos \left(\phi-\phi_{1}-\phi_{3}\right)+\cos \left(\phi-\phi_{1}-\phi_{4}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\cos \left(\phi-\phi_{2}-\phi_{3}\right)-\cos \left(\phi-\phi_{2}-\phi_{4}\right)\right] \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

Now it is obvious from (9) that the largest eigenvalue $2\left[1+\left|\sin \left(\phi_{1}-\phi_{2}\right) \sin \left(\phi_{3}-\phi_{4}\right)\right|\right]^{1 / 2}$ of $B_{\text {CHSH }}$ will be achieved by the above expectation for those $\psi$ for which $\left|c_{1}\right|=\left|c_{2}\right|=1 / \sqrt{2}$ and some $\phi$ depending on the $\phi_{i}$ as $c_{1} c_{2}$ has been factorised out in expression (9).

So we conclude that the entangled states which give the largest possible violation for any four arbitrary spin-1/2 observables that are noncommuting for both systems, must be maximally entangled states.

Let us make this clear from two examples. From the result of Gisin [1] follows that for a normalized state vector $\psi$ of the form
$\psi_{1}=c_{1} \psi_{z} \psi_{-z}+c_{2} \psi_{-z} \psi_{z}$,
with $c_{1}, c_{2} \geqslant 0, c_{1} \neq c_{2}$, there exist four spin observables for which the largest possible violation of the Bell/CHSH inequality occurs and this highest violation depends on $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ only [7]. We shall show that although this is the highest possible violation for the particular $\psi_{1}$, it is not the maximum possible violation for the four spin observables chosen. So this $\psi_{1}$ cannot be an eigen-vector of $B_{\mathrm{CHSH}}$ formed by the relevant observables.

If we take the choice of the unit vectors $\left(a_{i}\right)_{y}=0$, $\left(a_{i}\right)_{x}=\sin \theta_{i},\left(a_{i}\right)_{2}=\cos \theta_{i}$ for $i=1,2,3,4$, where $\theta_{1}=\pi / 2, \theta_{2}=0$,
$\cos \theta_{4}=-\cos \theta_{3}=\left(1+4 c_{1}^{2} c_{2}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}$,
then
$\left\langle\psi_{1} \mid B_{\mathrm{CHSH}} \psi_{1}\right\rangle=2\left(1+4 c_{1}^{2} c_{2}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$.
Following (7) the largest eigen-value of $B_{\text {CHSH }}$ is given by
$2\left[1+\left|\sin \left(\theta_{3}-\theta_{4}\right)\right|\right]^{1 / 2}=\frac{2\left(1+2 c_{1} c_{2}\right)}{\left(1+4 c_{1}^{2} c_{2}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}$.
The difference between this largest eigen-value and $\left\langle\psi_{1} \mid B_{\mathrm{CHSH}} \psi_{1}\right\rangle$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{2\left(1+2 c_{1} c_{2}\right)}{\left(1+4 c_{1}^{2} c_{2}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}-2\left(1+4 c_{1}^{2} c_{2}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \quad=\frac{4 c_{1} c_{2}\left(1-2 c_{1} c_{2}\right)}{\left(1+4 c_{1}^{2} c_{2}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

which is a positive quantity as $2 c_{1} c_{2}<1$. The largest violation (12) for this choice of spin observables will he given by some maximally entangled state, i.e., $c_{1}=$ $c_{2}=1 / \sqrt{2}$ and some $\phi$ depending on $\theta_{3}$ and $\theta_{4}$.

The other example is Bell's original example [8]. Here the state is chosen as the singlet state and the $\sigma_{i}$ are chosen in a plane (say the $x-y$ plane) with two of them measuring spin in coincident directions. Let $n_{1}=n_{4}$. With these constraints the largest violation $(5 / 2)$ will be achieved when
$\phi_{1}-\phi_{3}=\phi_{2}-\phi_{3}=\pi / 3=\left(\phi_{1}-\phi_{2}\right) / 2$.
Again following (7) the largest eigen-value of $B_{\mathrm{CHSH}}$ for the above choice of observables is
$2\left[1+\sin ^{2}(\pi / 3)\right]^{1 / 2}=\sqrt{7}(>5 / 2)$.
So the singlet state though the maximally entangled state is not the eigen-state of $B_{\text {CHSH }}$ for the above choice of observables.

Let us find the exact maximally entangled state whose corresponding eigen-value gives the largest violation for this choice of observables. Let the state be
$\psi_{0}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\psi_{z} \psi_{-z}+\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \phi} \psi_{-z} \psi_{z}\right)$.
Then

```
\(\left\langle\psi_{0} \mid B_{\text {CHSH }} \psi_{0}\right\rangle\)
    \(=[\cos \phi+2 \cos (\phi-\pi / 3)+\cos (\phi+\pi / 3)]\)
    \(=\frac{1}{2}(5 \cos \phi+\sqrt{3} \sin \phi)=f(\phi) \quad(\) say \()\).
```

It can be easily shown that the maximal value for $f(\phi)=\sqrt{7}$ and this occurs at $\phi=\tan ^{-1}(\sqrt{3} / 5)$. So the eigen-state giving the largest violation ( $\sqrt{7}$ ) is given by the maximally entangled state of the form given by Eq. (15) with the above value of $\phi$.
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