RATE OF CONVERGENCE IN THE INVARIANCE PRINCIPLE FOR RANDOM SUMS

By M. SREEHARI
M. S. University of Baroda
and

B. L. S. PRAKASA RAO Indian Statistical Institute

SUMMARY. A rate of convergence in the invariance principle for random aums is obtained using the results on the rate of convergence for the invariance principle due to Hoyde (1999) and Borovkov (1973).

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\{X_n\}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. randam variables (r,v.) with $EX_1=0$ and $var(X_1)<\infty$. Let $S_k=\sum\limits_{j=1}^k X_j$. Erdos and Kac (1946) considered the problem of finding the limit distributions of the three functions of S_1,\ldots,S_n viz. $\max_{1\leq k\leq n} S_k$, $\max_{1\leq k\leq n} |S_k|$ and the number of positive sums among $\{S_1,\ldots,S_n\}$. These results were later generalized by Donsker (1951), Prohoror (1956) and to some dependent cases by Billingsley (1956). Their technique is now known as "The invariance principle".

One of the important problems in probability theory is to obtain the rate of convergence in limit theorems. Rosenkrantz (1967), Heyde (1963) and Borovkov (1973) investigated the problem of rate of convergence in the invariance principle proved by Donsker (1951).

Another problem of interest is the limit distributions of functions of sums of random number of random variables. Let $\{N_n\}$ be a sequence of positive integer valued r.v.s. such that $\frac{N_n}{n}$ converges in probability to a positive r.v. N. The problem of obtaining the limit distributions of functions of randomly selected partial sums such as S_{N_n} , $\max_{1 \le k \le N_n} S_k$ has been considered by Renyi (1960), Blum et al. (1963), Mogyorodi (1962), Billingsley (1962, 1968), Prakasa Rao (1969), Srechari (1968a) and others. Billingsley (1963) and Srechari (1968b) independently extended the invariance principle of Billingsley

Paper presented at the conference on Mathematical Statistics and Probability, Indias Statistical Institute, New Dolhi, December 1980 in honour of C. R. Rao on the occasion of his sixticth birthday.

(1956) to random sum case. Further, the rate of convergence in the limit distribution of S_{N_n} has been studied for independent r.v.s. by Sreehari (1975) and for dependent r.v.s. by Prakasa Rao (1974, 1975). Landers and Rogge (1976) have recently obtained an exact rate of convergence in the random central limit theorem and it has been extended by Ahmad and Basu (1979) and Ahmad (1979). The purpose of this paper is to extend the results of Recenkrantz, Heyde and Borovkov to the random sum case in the light of the work of Ahmad (1979).

2. ASSUMPTIONS AND KNOWN BESULTS

Let $\{X_n\}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. r.v.s. with $EX_n=0$ and $var(X_n)=1$. Let $S_n=\sum_{j=1}^n X_j$. Let $\{N_n\}$ be a sequence of non-negative integer valued r.v.s. such that

$$\frac{N_n}{m} \to N$$
 in probability as $n \to \infty$

where N is a positive r.v. We assume N to be independent of the r.v.s. X_{\bullet} .

Consider C[0, 1] the space of continuous functions on [0, 1] with uniform topology. For $v \in [0, \infty)$, let $p(v) = S_{\{v\}} + (v - [v]) X_{\{v\}+1}$, where [v] denotes the greatest integer less than or equal to v. Define on C[0, 1] the random processes

$$p_n(t) = p(nt)n^{-1/2},$$
 $0 < t < 1,$
 $q_n(t) = p(tN_n)(nN)^{-1/2},$ $0 < t < 1,$

and

$$h_n(t) = p(t[nN])(nN)^{-1/2}, \quad 0 < t < 1.$$

Let V(t) be the standard Wiener process on [0, 1]. Let \mathcal{F} be a real valued functional defined on C[0, 1] and let $\psi(x) = P[\mathcal{F}(V(\cdot)) \leqslant x]$ and $\psi_n(x) = P[\mathcal{F}(P_n(\cdot)) \leqslant x]$. Suppose \mathcal{F} is uniformly continuous and there exists a constant L > 0 such that

$$|\psi(x+h)-\psi(x)| \leq L|h|.$$

Let $0 < E |X_1|^{2+\alpha} < \infty$ for some α , $0 \le \alpha \le 2$. Then Rosenkrantz (1967) proved that there exists a constant $A_1 > 0$ such that

$$\Delta_n = \sup_{x} |\psi_n(x) - \psi(x)| \le A_1 n^{-n} (\log n)^{1/2} \qquad \dots (1)$$

where $\mu = \alpha/2(\alpha+3)$. Heyde (1969) extended the result of Rosenkrantz (1967) under the assumption $0 < E |X_1|^{2+x} < \infty$ for some $\alpha > 0$. He proved that there exists a constant $A_2 > 0$ such that

$$\Delta_n = \sup_{x} |\psi_n(x) - \psi(x)| \leq A_2 n^{-\mu} (\log n)^{\lambda} \qquad \dots (2)$$

where $\mu = \min(2\alpha, \alpha+2)/4(\alpha+3)$ and $\lambda = (\alpha+2)/2(\alpha+3)$. Borovkov (1973) proved that, if $0 < E \mid X_1 \mid^{2+\alpha} < \infty$ for some $0 < \alpha \le 1$ then there exists a constant $A_2 > 0$ such that

$$\Delta_n = \sup_{x \to \infty} |\psi_n(x) - \psi(x)| \le A_3 n^{-\mu}$$
 ... (3)

where $\mu = \alpha/2(\alpha+3)$.

We need the following two results in the sequel.

Theorem 1: (Gikhman and Shorokhod, 1974, p.70): Let $\{X_a\}$ be i.i.d. r.v.s. and $S_a=\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}X_f,\,S_0=0.$ If

$$P(|S_n-S_k| \le t) > 1-a > 0$$
 for $k = 0, 1, ..., n$,

then

$$P\left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |S_k| > 2t\right) \leq \frac{P(|S_n| > t)}{1-a} \leq \frac{a}{1-a}.$$

Theorem 2: (Petrov, 1975, p. 251): Let $\{X_n\}$ be i.i.d. r.v.s. with $EX_1=0$ var $X_1=1$ and $S_n=\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$. If

$$E[exp\{|X_1|^{4\gamma/(8\gamma+1)}\}] < \infty \text{ for some } 0 < \gamma \leqslant 1/6$$

then

$$\frac{P(S_n \geqslant xn^{1/2})}{1-\Phi(x)} \to 1 \text{ as } n \to \infty,$$

uniformly in x in the interval $0 < x \le n^2/\rho(n)$ where $\rho(n) \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$. Here Φ is the standard normal distribution function. We make the following assumptions in the sequel on the sequence $\{N_n\}$ and N and the functional \mathcal{F} .

(A1)
$$P\left(N < \frac{1}{n\theta_n}\right) = O(\varepsilon_n)$$
 where $\theta_n = n^{-1}(\log \log n)^d$, $d > 0$ and $\varepsilon_n = n^{-s}$.

(A2)
$$P\left(\left|\frac{N_n}{[nN]}-1\right| > \delta_n\right) = O(\epsilon_n)$$
 for $\delta_n = \epsilon_n^{0/4}$.

- (A3) F is a uniformly continuous functional on C[0, 1].
- (A4) Let $\psi(x) = P(\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{W}(\cdot)) \leqslant x)$. Then there exists L > 0 such that $|\psi(x+h) \psi(x)| \leqslant L|h|$ for all x and h.
- (A5) $E\{\exp(|X_1|^{2\mu/(4-9\mu)})\} < \infty$ for some $0 < \mu < 1/4$.

In view of (A5), all the moments of X_1 exist. Hence

$$\Delta_n = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} O(n^{-s}) & \text{for } 0 < \mu \leqslant 1/8 \\ O(n^{-\mu}(\log n)^{2\mu}) & \text{for } 1/8 < \mu < 1/4 \end{array} \right. ... \eqno(4)$$

from the results of Heyde (1969) and Borovkov (1973). In the following c, c_1, c_2, \dots are all nonnegative constants.

3. MAIN RESULT

The aim of this paper is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3: Under the assumptions (A1) to (A5),

$$\sup_{\mathbf{z}} \ |P(\mathcal{F}(q_n(\cdot)) \leqslant \mathbf{z}) - P(\mathcal{F}(W(\cdot)) \leqslant \mathbf{z})| = \begin{cases} O[n^{-\mu}(\log\log n)^{4\mu}] & \text{for } 0 < \mu \leqslant 1/8 \\ O[n^{-\mu}(\log n)^{2\mu}(\log\log n)^{4\mu}] & \text{for } 1/8 < \mu < 1/4, \end{cases}$$

At first, we prove a couple of lemmas. Let

$$G_n(x) = P(\mathcal{F}(q_n(\cdot)) \leqslant x)$$

and

$$H_n(x) = P(\mathcal{S}(h_n(\cdot)) \leqslant x).$$

Lemma 1: Under assumptions (A1), (A2), (A4) and (A5),

$$\sup_{x \in \mathcal{L}} |C_n(x) - II_n(x \pm \varepsilon_n)| = O(\varepsilon_n).$$

Proof: Let $B_n = [|\mathcal{F}(q_n(\cdot)) - \mathcal{F}(h_n(\cdot))| > \epsilon_n)$ and B_n^c denote the complement of B_n .

Then

$$G_n(x) \leqslant P(\mathcal{F}(q_n(\cdot)) \leqslant x, B_n^*] + P(B_n)$$

 $\leqslant P(\mathcal{F}(h_n(\cdot)) \leqslant x + \varepsilon_n] + P(B_n).$

Similarly

$$G_{\bullet}(x) \geqslant P[\mathcal{F}(h_{\bullet}(\cdot)) \leqslant x - \varepsilon_{\bullet}] - P(B_{\bullet}).$$

The lemma follows if we show that $P(B_n) = O(\epsilon_n)$. There exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$\begin{split} P(B_n) &< P[\|q_n(\cdot) - h_n(\cdot)\| > c\varepsilon_n] \\ &= P\left[\sup_{0 \le t \le 1} |q_n(t) - h_n(t)| > c\varepsilon_n\right] \\ &< P\left[\sup_{0 \le t \le 1} |q_n(t) - h_n(t)| > c\varepsilon_n, N > \frac{1}{n\theta_n}, \left| \frac{N_n}{[nN]} - 1 \right| < \delta_n \right] + O(\varepsilon_n) \\ &= O(\varepsilon_n) + \sum_{k \ge 1/\theta_n} P\left[\sup_{0 \le t \le 1} |q_n(t) - h_n(t)| > c\varepsilon_n, [nN] = k, |N_n - k| < k\delta_n \right] \\ &= O(\varepsilon_n) + \sum_{k \ge 1/\theta_n} P\left[\sup_{0 \le t \le 1} |p_t(t) - h_n(t)| > c\varepsilon_n, [nN] = k, |N_n - k| < k\delta_n \right] \\ &= O(\varepsilon_n) + \sum_{k \ge 1/\theta_n} P\left[\sup_{0 \le t \le 1} |p_t(t) - p_t(t)| > c\varepsilon_n k^{1/2}, [nN] = k \right] \\ &= O(\varepsilon_n) + \sum_{k \ge 1/\theta_n} P\left[\sup_{0 \le t \le 1/\theta_n} |p_t(t) - p_t(t)| < c\varepsilon_n k^{1/2}, ([nN] = k) \right] \\ &= O(\varepsilon_n) + \sum_{k \ge 1/\theta_n} P\left[\sup_{0 \le t \le 1/\theta_n} |p_t(t) - p_t(t)| < c\varepsilon_n k^{1/2}, ([nN] = k) \right] \\ &= O(\varepsilon_n) + \sum_{k \ge 1/\theta_n} P\left[\sup_{0 \le t \le 1/\theta_n} |p_t(t) - p_t(t)| < c\varepsilon_n k^{1/2} \right] P([nN] = k) \\ &= O(\varepsilon_n) + \sum_{k \ge 1/\theta_n} P\left[\sup_{0 \le t \le 1/\theta_n} |p_t(t) - p_t(t)| < c\varepsilon_n k^{1/2} \right] P([nN] = k) \\ &= O(\varepsilon_n) + \sum_{k \ge 1/\theta_n} P\left[\sup_{0 \le t \le 1/\theta_n} |p_t(t) - p_t(t)| < c\varepsilon_n k^{1/2} \right] P([nN] = k) \\ &= O(\varepsilon_n) + \sum_{k \ge 1/\theta_n} P\left[\sup_{0 \le t \le 1/\theta_n} |p_t(t) - p_t(t)| < c\varepsilon_n k^{1/2} \right] P([nN] = k) \\ &= O(\varepsilon_n) + \sum_{k \ge 1/\theta_n} P\left[\sup_{0 \le t \le 1/\theta_n} |p_t(t) - p_t(t)| < c\varepsilon_n k^{1/2} \right] P([nN] = k) \\ &= O(\varepsilon_n) + \sum_{k \ge 1/\theta_n} P\left[\sup_{0 \le t \le 1/\theta_n} |p_t(t) - p_t(t)| < c\varepsilon_n k^{1/2} \right] P([nN] = k) \\ &= O(\varepsilon_n) + \sum_{k \ge 1/\theta_n} P\left[\sup_{0 \le t \le 1/\theta_n} |p_t(t) - p_t(t)| < c\varepsilon_n k^{1/2} \right] P([nN] = k) \\ &= O(\varepsilon_n) + \sum_{k \ge 1/\theta_n} P\left[\sup_{0 \le t \le 1/\theta_n} |p_t(t) - p_t(t)| < c\varepsilon_n k^{1/2} \right] P([nN] = k) \\ &= O(\varepsilon_n) + \sum_{k \ge 1/\theta_n} P\left[\sup_{0 \le t \le 1/\theta_n} |p_t(t) - p_t(t)| < c\varepsilon_n k^{1/2} \right] P([nN] = k) \\ &= O(\varepsilon_n) + \sum_{k \ge 1/\theta_n} P\left[\sup_{0 \le t \le 1/\theta_n} |p_t(t) - p_t(t)| < c\varepsilon_n k^{1/2} \right] P([nN] = k) \\ &= O(\varepsilon_n) + \sum_{k \ge 1/\theta_n} P\left[\sup_{0 \le t \le 1/\theta_n} |p_t(t) - p_t(t)| < \varepsilon_n k^{1/2} \right] P([nN] = k) \\ &= O(\varepsilon_n) + \sum_{k \ge 1/\theta_n} P\left[\sup_{0 \le t \le 1/\theta_n} |p_t(t) - p_t(t)| < \varepsilon_n k^{1/2} \right] P([nN] = k)$$

Consider

$$\begin{split} \sup_{\substack{0 \le i \le 1 \\ |j-k| < k \delta_n}} & |p(ij)-p(ik)| \leqslant 2 \sup_{\substack{0 \le i \le 1 \\ 1 < j|k < 1 + \delta_n}} & |p(ij)-p(ik)| \\ &= 2 \max_{\substack{1 \le m < 1 \mid \delta_n \pmod{m-1 \mid \beta_n \le i < m \delta_n \\ 1 < j|k < 1 + \delta_n}} & |p(ij)-p(ik)| \\ &\leqslant 2 \max_{\substack{1 \le m < 1 \mid \delta_n \pmod{m-1 \mid k \delta_n \le i \le m \delta_n (1 + \delta_n) \\ k \le j \le k(1 + \delta_n)}} & |p(ij)-p(ik)| \end{split}$$

so that

$$P\left[\sup_{\substack{j-k| < k\delta_n \\ 0 \le i \le 1}} |p(ij)-p(ik)| > c\varepsilon_n k^{1/2}\right]$$

$$\leq \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor 1/\delta_n \rfloor} P\left\{\sup_{\substack{(m-1)k\delta_n < ij < mk\delta_n(1+\delta_n) \\ k < j < k(1+\delta_n)}} |p(ij)-p(ik)| > c_1\varepsilon_n k^{1/2}\right\}$$

$$\leq \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor 1/\delta_n \rfloor} P\left[\sup_{\substack{(m-1)k\delta_n < ij < mk\delta_n(1+\delta_n) \\ k < j < k(1+\delta_n)}} |p(ij)-p(i(m-1)k\delta_n)(m-1)k\delta_n)| > c_1\varepsilon_n k^{1/2}\right]$$

$$\leq \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor 1/\delta_n \rfloor} P\left[\sup_{\substack{(m-1)k\delta_n < ij < mk\delta_n(1+\delta_n) \\ m = 1}} |S_r - S_{(im-1)k\delta_n 1}| > c_1\varepsilon_n k^{1/2}\right].$$
Note that central limit theorem holds for (X_i) . Using Theorem 1 we get

Note that central limit theorem holds for $\{X_n\}$. Using Theorem 1 we get that the last term is less than or equal to

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor 1/\delta_n \rfloor} \frac{1}{1 - \left(\frac{m\delta_n^2 + \delta_n}{c_n^2 \varepsilon_n^2}\right)} P(|S_{\lfloor m\delta_n^2 + \delta_n \rfloor}| > c_3 \varepsilon_n k^{1/2}) \\ &\leq \frac{c_3^2 \varepsilon_n^2}{c_n^2 \varepsilon_n^2 - 2\delta_n} \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor 1/\delta_m \rfloor} P(|S_{\lfloor m\delta_n^2 + \delta_n \rfloor}| > c_3 \varepsilon_n k^{1/2}). \quad \dots \quad (6) \end{split}$$

Write $u_n = [mk\delta_n^2 + k\delta_n]$ and $x_n = c_3 \epsilon_n k^4 u_n^{-1/2}$. Note that $u_n \to \infty$. Choosing $\gamma = \frac{\mu}{\mu(2-5\mu)}$, we obtain that $\gamma < 1/6$ since $0 < \mu < 1/4$ and $\frac{x_n}{u_N^2} = O(n^\rho(\log n)^\epsilon)$ for some $\tau > 0$ where $\rho = \mu \left[\frac{9}{4}\gamma + \frac{1}{8}\right] - \gamma < 0$. Hence $\frac{x_n}{u_N^2} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Furthermore $E[\exp\{|X_1|^{4\tau/(2\tau+1)}\}] < \infty$ by (A5). Therefore, applying Theorem 2, we get that

$$P(\,|\,S_{u_n}|>x_nu_n^{1/2})\leqslant 2(1-\Phi(x_n))$$

for n large, $m \le 1/\delta_n$ and $k \ge 1/\theta_n$. Thus, from (6), we have

$$P\left[\sup_{\substack{0\leqslant t\leqslant 1\\|j-k|\leqslant k}\leqslant \delta_n}|p(tj)-p(tk)|\right]\leqslant c\varepsilon_nk^{1/k}\right]\leqslant \left(\frac{c_k}{c_k-2\varepsilon_n^{1/k}}\right)\frac{1}{\delta_n}\left\{1-\Phi(z_n)\right\}$$

$$=\frac{c_4 \epsilon_n^{-9/4}}{c_r-2\epsilon_1^{1/4}} \{1-\Phi(x_n)\}.$$

Since $x_n \sim \varepsilon_n^{1/8}$ and $1 - \Phi(x_n) \sim x_n^{-1} e^{-ix_n^2}$ as $n \to \infty$, it follows that the latterm is $O(\varepsilon_n)$. Thus, from (5), we have

$$P(B_n) \leqslant O(\varepsilon_n) + \sum_{k>1/N} O(\varepsilon_n) P([nN] = k) = O(\varepsilon_n)$$

completing the proof of Lemma 1.

Lomma 2: Under the assumptions (A1) to (A5),

$$\sup_x \left\{ P(\mathcal{S}(h_n(\cdot)) \leqslant x) - P(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{W}(\cdot)) \leqslant x) \right\} = \begin{cases} O(\theta_n^x) & \text{if } 0 < \mu \leqslant 1/8 \\ O(\theta_n^x(-\log \theta_n)^{2\mu}) & \text{if } \frac{1}{8} < \mu < \frac{1}{4} \end{cases}$$

Proof: Note that

$$H_n(x) - \psi(x)$$

$$= \sum_{k>1/\theta_n} P(\mathcal{J}(h_n(\cdot)) \leqslant x, [nN] = k) - P([nN] = k, \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{V}(\cdot)) \leqslant x)$$

$$+ \sum_{k \leq 1/\theta_n} P(\mathcal{F}(h_n(\cdot)) \leqslant x, [nN] = k) - P([nN] = k, \mathcal{F}(1l'(\cdot)) \leqslant x)$$

so that

$$\begin{split} |II_a(x)-\psi(x)| &\leqslant |\sum_{k>|1|\theta_a} P(\lceil nN\rceil = k) \{P(\mathcal{F}(p_k(\cdot))\leqslant x)-\psi(x)\}| \\ &+2\sum_{k<|1|\theta_a} P(\lceil nN\rceil = k) \\ &\leqslant \sum_{k>|1|\theta_a} P(\lceil nN\rceil = k) |P(\mathcal{F}(p_k(\cdot))\leqslant x)-\psi(x)| \\ &+2P\left(|N\leqslant \frac{1}{n\theta_a}\right). \end{split}$$

Assumption (A5) implies that $E(X_1)^{2+\alpha} < \infty$ for $\alpha > 0$. Hence assumptions (A3) and (A4) prove (4) which in turn gives the relation

$$\sup_{x} |\, H_n(x) - \psi(x) \,\, | \, < \, \left\{ \begin{aligned} &\sum_{k \, > \, 1/\theta_n} P((nN) = k) A k^{-\mu} + O(\varepsilon_n) \text{ for } 0 < \mu \leqslant 1/8 \\ &\sum_{k \, > \, 1/\theta_n} P((nN) = k) A k^{-\mu} (\log k)^{2\mu} + O(\varepsilon_n) \text{ for } \frac{1}{2} < \mu < \frac{1}{4} \end{aligned} \right.$$

for some A > 0. Therefore.

$$\sup_{x} | \, \Pi_n(x) - \psi(x) | \, \leqslant \, \left\{ \begin{array}{l} c_1 \theta_n^{\, \mu} \ \text{for} \ 0 < \mu \leqslant 1/8 \\ \\ c_2 \theta_n^{\, \mu} (-\log \, \theta_n)^{2\mu} \ \text{for} \ \frac{1}{4} < \mu < \frac{1}{4}. \end{array} \right.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.

Combining Lemmas 1 and 2 and using (A4), we complete the proof of Theorem 3.

Remarks: It may be noted that since the estimate (3) is valid if one replaces Δ_n by the Levy-Prohorov distance between the measures associated with p_n and IV (vide Borovkov (1973)), a similar extension should be possible in Theorem 3. After the original submission of our paper we have come to know of better estimates than (3) for Δ_n due to Sahanenko. This, too, is likely to extend to the present case. We shall return to these questions later. An excellent review of the literature up to 1978 is given in Borovkov (1978).

Acknowledgement. The authors thank Professors A. A. Borovkov and J. K. Ghosh for bringing the above results to their attention.

REFERENCES

- ARMAD, X. A. (1979): Some extensions to rate of convergence in the random CLT for sums and maximum sums (Proprint).
- AHMAD, I. A. and BASU, A. K. (1979): On rates of convergence in the random CLT for partial sums and maximum partial sums (Preprint).
- BILLINGSLEY, P. (1956): An invariance principle for dependent random variables, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 83, 250-268.
- ——— (1962): Limit theorems for randomly selected partial sums Ann. Math. Stat., 33, 485-492.
- (1988): Convergence of Probability Measures, Wiley, New York.
- BLUM, J. R., HANSON, D. L. and ROSENBLATT, J. I. (1903): On the central limit theorem for the aum of a random number of independent random variables. Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie Vero Orbicis, 1, 380-393.

- BOROVKOV, A. A. (1973): On the rate of convergence for the invariance principle. Theory of Probability its Appl., 18, 207-225.
- Bonoveov, A. A. (1978). Rates of convergence and large deviations in invariance principle—Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Helsinki, 1978, Vol. 2, 725-731.
- DONSEER, M. (1951): An invariance principle for certain probability limit theorems, Memoirs of Amer. Math. Soc., No. 6.
- ERDOS, P. and KAG, M. (1946): On cortain limit theorems of the theory of probability, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 52, 292-302.
- GIERMAN, I. I. and SKOROKHOD, A. V. (1974): Theory of Stochastic Processes, Vol. 1, Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- HAYDE, C. C. (1969): On extended rate of convergence results for the invariance principle, Ann. Math. Stat., 40, 2178-2179.
- LANDERS, D. and ROGOE, L. (1976): The exact approximation order in the central limit theorem for random summation, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheoris Verw. Gebiete, 36, 287-283.
- MOGYORODI, J. (1982): A central limit theorem for the sum of a random number of independent random variables, Publ. Math. Inst. Hung. Acad. Scie., 7, 409-424.
- PETEOV, V. (1975): Sums of Independent Random Variables, Springer-Verlag, Berlin,
- PRAKASA RAO, B. L. S. (1969); Random central limit theorems for martingales. Acta. Math. Acad. Sci. Hung. 20, 217-222.
- ——— (1974): On the rate of convergence in the random central limit theorem for martingales. Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser. Sci Math. Astronom. Phys., 22, 1255-1260.
- ——— (1975): Remarks on the rate of convergence in the random central limit theorem for mixing sequences. Z. Wahrsheinlichkeitstheorie Verw. Octicte, 31, 157-1280.
- Phohorov, Yu. V. (1956): Convergence of random processes and limit theorems in probability theory. Theory Probability Appl., 1, 157-214.
- RENYS, A. (1960): On the central limit theorems for the sum of a random number of random variables. Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung., 11, 97-102.
- ROSENERANTZ, W. A. (1967): On rates of convergence for the invariance principle, Trans. Amer.

 Math. Soc., 129, 542-552.
- SREEMAN, M. (1908a): A limit theorem for the maximum of cumulative sums. Acta. Math. Acad. Sci. Hung., 19, 117-120.
- —— (1968b): An invariance principle for random partial sums, Sankhyd Sar. A, 30. 433-442.
- ——— (1975): Rate of convergence in the random central limit theorem. Jr. of M. S. University of Baroda, 24, 1-8.

Paper received: February, 1981.

Revised: February, 1982.