ON A CHARACTERISATION OF THE MULTIVARIATE NORMAL DISTRIBUTION

By R. G. LAHA

Indian Statistical Institute, Culcutta

1. Introduction

In recent years considerable work has been done on the characterisations of the normal distribution, Bernstein (1941), Frechet (1951), Darmois (1951) and Basu (1951) have proved some theorems on the stochastic independence of linear functions of independent chancovariables. It has been established that if x1.x1....x are independent chance variables such that $a_1x_1+a_2x_2+...+a_nx_n$ is independent of $b_1x_1+b_2x_2+...+b_nx_n$ then each x_i is normally distributed, provided that $a_ib_i \neq 0$ (i = 1, 2, ..., n). Genry (1936) and Lukacs (1942) have proved that if the sample mean is distributed independently of the sample variance in the case of a sample from a population with finite variance, then the population is normal. Basu and Laha (1954) extended Geary's theorem by proving that if the sample mean is distributed independently of any sample k-statistic (as defined by Fisher) then the population is normal. The author (1953) has made another extension of Geary's theorem, proving that if x1, x2, ..., x2 are identically distributed independent random variables with a finite variance σ^2 and if the conditional expectation of any unbiased quadratic estimate of σ^2 for the given sum $x_1 + x_2 + ... + x_n$ be independent of the latter, then the distribution of each z, is normal. The theorem on the stochastic independence of symmetric and homogeneous linear and quadratic statistics proved by Lukacs (1952) follows as a simple corollary from the author's theorem above. The object of the present note is to establish the multivariate analogue of this theorem.

Theorem: Let $X_{(p \times n)}$ represent a sample of size n drawn independently at random from any p-variate distribution with a dispersion multix $\Sigma = (\sigma_{n \theta})$ (x, $\beta = 1, 2, ..., p$). If the conditional expectation of any unbiased quadratic estimate of Σ for the fixed sample means does not contain the latter, then the joint distribution of the variates is n-variate normal.

Proof: Let XAX' denote any unbiased quadratic estimate of \(\Sigma \) such that

$$E(XAX') = \Sigma, \qquad \dots (2.1)$$

Let $x_a = (x_{a1}, x_{a2}, ..., x_{an})$ ($\alpha = 1, 2, ..., p$); then it follows evidently from (2.1) that

$$E(z_{\theta}Az'_{\theta}) = \sigma_{\theta\theta}$$
 $(x, \beta = 1, 2, ..., p)$ (2.2)

On simplification, (2.2) yields

$$(\sigma_{a\beta} + m_a m_\beta) \sum_{r=1}^{n} \alpha_{rr} + m_a m_\beta \sum_{r \geq 1 \atop r \geq 1} \alpha_{rs} = \sigma_{a\beta}$$
 (2.3)

where $E(x_{el}) = m_e$.

Vol. 14 1 SANKHYÄ: THE INDIAN JOURNAL OF STATISTICS [Pakt 4

Hence the condition of unbiasedness leads to the relations

$$\sum_{n=1}^{n} a_{n} = 1 \text{ and } \sum_{n=1}^{n} a_{n} = 0. \qquad \dots (2.4)$$

By the condition of the theorem, it follows evidently that

$$E\{(\chi_a A \chi_{\beta}^i), e^{i(t_1 \sum x_1 + t_2 \sum x_2 + \dots + t_p \sum x_p)}\}$$

 $= E(\chi_a A \chi_{\beta}^i), E(e^{i(t_1 \sum x_1 + t_2 \sum x_2 + \dots + t_p \sum x_p)}),$ (2.5)

After some algebraic simplifications, (2.5) reduces to the form

$$\frac{\partial^{3}\phi}{\partial l_{a}\partial l_{3}} \cdot \frac{1}{\phi} \sum_{r=1}^{n} a_{rr} + \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial l_{a}} \cdot \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial l_{\beta}} \cdot \frac{1}{\phi^{3}} \sum_{r,l} a_{rs} = -\sigma_{a\beta} \qquad ... \quad (2.6)$$

where of denotes the characteristic function of the above p-variate distribution.

On writing $\psi = ln\phi$, we have

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial l_a} = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial l_s} \cdot \frac{1}{\phi} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial l_a \partial l_B} = \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial l_a \partial l_B} \Big/ \phi - \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial l_a} \cdot \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial l_B} \Big/ \phi^2, \qquad \dots \quad (2.7)$$

Now substituting the relations of (2.7) in (2.6) and then using the conditions of (2.4), we have at once

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t_a \partial t_b} = -\sigma_{ab}. \qquad \dots (2.8)$$

Since the above relation (2.8) holds for all $\alpha, \beta = 1, 2, ..., p, \psi(t_1, t_2, ..., t_p)$ is a quadratic form in $t_1, t_2, ..., t_p$, which proves the theorem.

REFERENCES

BASU, D. (1951): On the independence of linear functions of independent chance variables. Bull. Inter. Stat. Inst., 33, Part II, 83-96.

BASU, D. and Lana, R. O. (1984): On some characterisations of the normal distribution (with addenda). Sankhyō, 13, 359-302 and 14, 18.

BERNSTZIN, S. (1941): En russo (avec un resumó francais) sons lo titro. Sur uno propriete characteristiquo de la loi de Gauss. Transactions of the Leningrad Polytechnic Institute, 21.

DARMOIS, G. (1951): Sur diverses propriétés caractéristiques de la loi de probabilité de Laplace-Gauss.

Bull. Inter. Stat. Inst., 33, Part 11, 79-82.

FRECHET, M. (1951): Cénéralisation de la loi de probabilité de Laplace. Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincare, 12, Faso, L.

GERRY, R. C. (1936): The distribution of Student's ratio for non-normal samples. J. Roy. Stat. Soc. Suppl., 3, 178-184.

LAHA, R. G. (1953): On an extension of Geory's theorem. Biometrika, 40, 228-220.

LUEACS, E. (1942): A characterisation of the normal distribution. Ann. Math. Stat., 13, 91-93.
——(1952): The stochastic independence of symmetric and homogeneous linear and quadratic statistics. Ann. Math. Stat., 23, 442-440.

Paper received : September, 1953.