

EXPRESSIONS FOR THE LOWER BOUND TO CONFIDENCE  
COEFFICIENTS

By SAIBAL KUMAR BANEJEE  
Indian Statistical Institute, Calcutta

**SUMMARY.** A lower bound to the probability of sample estimate plus (and minus)  $t$ -times ( $t > 1$ ) estimate of sampling error covering the population mean (or total) is derived for samples from non-normal populations. Extensions of the result to the case of ratio estimates and multistage designs are also considered.

1. INTRODUCTION

Estimate of sampling variance of estimate indicates variability of the estimate and if the parent population is normal, sample estimate  $x_n$  plus (and minus)  $t$ -times estimate of sampling error covers population mean  $m$  in a per cent of the cases where  $\alpha$  is defined as

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n-1}} \cdot \frac{100}{B\left(\frac{n-1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)} \int_{-t}^{+t} \left(1 + \frac{t^2}{n-1}\right)^{-\frac{n}{2}} dt.$$

For a sample from a non-normal population if  $\hat{m}$  is an estimate of  $m$  and  $V(\hat{m})$  (computed from sample readings) an estimate of sampling variance of  $\hat{m}$ , an expression for the lower bound to the probability that  $\hat{m} \pm t\sqrt{V(\hat{m})}$  covers  $m$ , may be of some interest. In a paper (Banerjee, 1956) it was shown that if  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  be a sample of size  $n$  drawn at random with replacement from a population with mean  $m$  and  $B_2$ -coefficient  $B_2$ , then, for  $t > 1$ ,

$$\text{prob.} \left\{ |z-m| < t \sqrt{\frac{\sum (x_i - z)^2}{n(n-1)}} \right\} > \frac{B_2 - 3}{n} + 1 + \frac{1}{(t^2 - 1)^2} \left\{ \frac{t^4}{n-1} + 1 \right\}.$$

An extension of the result to the case of pps sampling in stratified multistage design may be of some interest. Some of the extensions are indicated below which are all based upon a simple lemma. It is seen that the role of  $B_2$  in sampling with equal probability is taken over by a similar parameter in sampling with unequal probability. Stratified design introduces further parameters. The case of ratio estimate (simple ratio for single stratum, and combined ratio for  $k$  strata) is also touched up without assuming bivariate normal distribution

of  $y$  and  $x$ . A 'probability' inequality in  $R \left( \frac{\sum M_{1r}}{\sum M_{2r}} = \text{population ratio to be estimated} \right)$

of the same form as Fieller's inequality is derived. An extension to multistage design is also indicated.

1.1. Lemma: Let  $\phi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p)$  be a function of  $p$  stochastic variates such that  $E(\phi) > 0$  and  $E(\phi^2)$  exist.

Then

$$\text{prob. } \{ \phi > 0 \} > \frac{[E(\phi)]^2}{E(\phi^2)} \quad \dots (1.1.1)$$

1.2. *Proof:* Let a variate  $y$  be defined as

$$y = 1, \text{ if } \phi > 0, \\ = 0, \text{ if } \phi < 0.$$

Obviously

$$\phi y > \phi,$$

or,

$$E(\phi y) > E(\phi),$$

or,

$$[E(\phi y)]^2 > [E(\phi)]^2, \quad (\because E(\phi) > 0)$$

or,

$$E(\phi^2) E(y^2) > [E(\phi y)]^2 > [E(\phi)]^2 \quad (\text{Schwarz's inequality})$$

or,

$$\text{prob } \{ \phi > 0 \} = E(y^2) > \frac{[E(\phi)]^2}{E(\phi^2)}.$$

## 2. ONE STRATUM PPS SELECTION

2.1. Let there be a finite population consisting of  $N$  units. Let  $y_i$  denote variate value  $y$  of the  $i$ -th unit. Let  $n$  units be selected with replacement from  $N$  units, with probability proportional to some measure of the units. Let  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_N$  denote the probability for the different units to be selected in a particular draw.

2.2. Let  $z_s$  be an estimate of population total  $M$  as built up from  $s$ -th selected unit. Obviously

$$z_s = \frac{y_i}{p_i}$$

if the  $s$ -th selected unit happens to be the  $i$ -th unit of the population.

2.3. Let us define a function  $L$  of estimators  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n$  and  $M$  and  $t(t > 1)$  as

$$L = \frac{t^\lambda}{n} (z - M)^2 \quad \dots (2.3.1)$$

where

$$\lambda = \frac{\sum (z_i - t)^2}{n-1}; \text{ and } z = \frac{\sum z_i}{n}.$$

2.4. It can be easily shown that

$$\left. \begin{aligned} E(\lambda) &= \lambda \\ E\{(z-M)^2\} &= \frac{\lambda}{n} \\ E(\lambda^2) &= \frac{B_s \lambda^2 - 3\lambda^2}{n} + \frac{2\lambda^2}{n-1} + \lambda^2 \\ E\{\lambda(z-M)^2\} &= \frac{B_s \lambda^2 - 3\lambda^2}{n^2} + \frac{\lambda^2}{n} \\ E\{(z-M)^4\} &= \frac{B_s \lambda^2 - 3\lambda^2}{n^3} + \frac{3\lambda^2}{n^2} \end{aligned} \right\} \quad \dots (2.4.1)$$

EXPRESSIONS FOR THE LOWER BOUND TO CONFIDENCE COEFFICIENTS

where 
$$\lambda = \sum_1^t P_i \left( \frac{y_i - M}{P_i} \right)^2$$

and 
$$B_2 = \frac{\sum_1^t P_i \left( \frac{y_i - M}{P_i} \right)^4}{\lambda^2}$$

We have accordingly

$$\left. \begin{aligned} E(L) &= (t-1) \frac{\lambda}{n} \\ E(L^2) &= (t-1)^2 \frac{\lambda^2}{n^2} \left[ \frac{B_2-3}{n} + 1 + \frac{2}{(t-1)^2} \left\{ \frac{t^4}{n-1} + 1 \right\} \right] \end{aligned} \right\} \dots (2.4.2)$$

From (1.1.1), (2.3.1) and (2.4.2),

$$\text{prob. } \{L > 0\} > \frac{B_2-3}{n} + 1 + \frac{2}{(t-1)^2} \left\{ \frac{t^4}{n-1} + 1 \right\}$$

$$\text{or, prob. } \left\{ t + \frac{t\hat{\lambda}}{n} > M > t - \frac{t\hat{\lambda}}{n} \right\} > \frac{B_2-3}{n} + 1 + \frac{2}{(t-1)^2} \left\{ \frac{t^4}{n-1} + 1 \right\} \dots (2.4.3)$$

2.5. Table 1 below gives numerical values of the lower bound to the probability  $t + \frac{t\hat{\lambda}}{n} > M > t - \frac{t\hat{\lambda}}{n}$  for  $t = 3$  and sample size  $n = 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 20, 30, 50, 100$  for different  $B_2$ -values.

TABLE 1: LOWER BOUND OF PROBABILITY OF THE INEQUALITY  $t + \frac{t\hat{\lambda}}{n} > M > t - \frac{t\hat{\lambda}}{n}$   
(values worked out from (2.4.3) taking  $t = 3$ )

$B_2$ -value	sample size $n =$									
	4	6	8	10	12	20	30	50	100	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	
1.0	0.727	0.830	0.875	0.899	0.914	0.939	0.951	0.959	0.964	
2.0	0.615	0.729	0.789	0.825	0.849	0.867	0.881	0.891	0.903	
3.0	0.533	0.650	0.718	0.762	0.793	0.830	0.864	0.885	0.916	
4.0	0.471	0.587	0.659	0.708	0.744	0.823	0.868	0.907	0.937	
5.0	0.421	0.535	0.609	0.661	0.700	0.761	0.844	0.891	0.929	

From Table 1 it is seen that if  $B_2$  be some thing like 4.0 (or less), working with three time the sampling error  $\pm 3\sqrt{\hat{V}(t)}$  will cover the true value in about 70.8 per cent of the cases (or more) if  $n = 10$ . If, however,  $B_2$  be 3.0 or less (in pps sampling  $B_2$  is likely to be small in general) working again with three times the sampling error  $\pm 3\sqrt{\hat{V}(t)}$  will cover the true value in about 70.2 per cent of the cases or more.

3. K STRATA PPS SELECTION

3.1. Let there be  $K$  finite populations consisting of  $N_1, N_2, \dots, N_k$  units. Let  $y_{ij}$  denote variate value  $y$  of the  $j$ -th unit of the  $i$ -th population. Let us consider a scheme of sampling where  $n_i$  units are selected with replacement with probability proportional to some measure of the units from the  $i$ -th population ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ). Let  $p_{ij}$  denote the probability that the  $j$ -th unit of the  $i$ -th population will appear in a particular selection while sampling for units from the  $i$ -th population. Obviously,  $\sum_{j=1}^{N_i} p_{ij} = 1$  (for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ).

3.2. Let  $z_{is}$  be an estimate of population total  $M_i$  of the  $i$ -th population as built up from the  $s$ -th selected unit, among  $n_i$  units selected from the  $i$ -th population. Obviously

$$z_{is} = \frac{y_{ij}}{p_{ij}}$$

if the  $s$ -th selected unit happens to be  $j$ -th unit of the  $i$ -th population.

3.3. Let us define a function  $L$  of estimators  $z_{is}$  ( $s = 1, 2, \dots, n_i; i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ) and  $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_k$  and  $t^2 (t > 1)$  as

$$L = t^2 \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\lambda_i}{n_i} - \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k \bar{z}_i - \sum_{i=1}^k M_i \right\}^2 \quad \dots (3.3.1)$$

where 
$$\lambda_i = \frac{\sum_{s=1}^{n_i} (z_{is} - \bar{z}_i)^2}{n_i - 1}$$

and 
$$\bar{z}_i = \frac{\sum_{s=1}^{n_i} z_{is}}{n_i} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, k).$$

3.4. We have 
$$L = \sum_{i=1}^k \left\{ t^2 \frac{\lambda_i}{n_i} - (z_i - M_i)^2 \right\} + \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^k (z_i - M_i)(z_j - M_j) \quad \dots (3.4.1)$$

Hence 
$$E(L) = (t^2 - 1) \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\lambda_i}{n_i} \quad \dots (3.4.2)$$

where 
$$\lambda_i = \sum_{j=1}^{N_i} p_{ij} (y_{ij} - M_i)^2 \quad (\text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, k).$$

3.5. From (3.4.1)

$$L^2 = \left( \sum_{i=1}^k t_i \right)^2 + \left\{ \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^k (z_i - M_i)(z_j - M_j) \right\}^2 + 2 \left( \sum_{i=1}^k t_i \right) \left\{ \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^k (z_i - M_i)(z_j - M_j) \right\} \quad \dots (3.5.1)$$

where 
$$t_i = \frac{t^2 \lambda_i}{n_i} - (z_i - M_i)^2 \quad (\text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, k).$$

EXPRESSIONS FOR THE LOWER BOUND TO CONFIDENCE COEFFICIENTS

It can be easily shown

$$E \left\{ \left( \sum_1^k l_i \right)^2 \right\} = E \left[ \sum_1^k l_i^2 + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^k l_i l_j \right]$$

$$E \left\{ \sum_1^k l_i^2 \right\} = (r-1)^2 \sum_1^k \left[ \frac{\lambda_i^2}{n_i^2} \left\{ \frac{B_{hi}-3}{n_i} + 1 + \frac{2}{(r-1)^2} \left( \frac{r^2}{n_i-1} + 1 \right) \right\} \right]$$

where

$$B_{hi} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{N_i} P_{ij} \left( \frac{y_{ij} - M_i}{\lambda_i} \right)^2}{(\lambda_i)^2} \quad \dots (3.5.2)$$

$$E \left\{ \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^k l_i l_j \right\} = (r-1)^2 \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^k \frac{\lambda_i \lambda_j}{n_i n_j} = (r-1)^2 \left\{ \left( \sum_1^k \frac{\lambda_i}{n_i} \right)^2 - \sum_1^k \frac{\lambda_i^2}{n_i^2} \right\} \quad \dots (3.5.3)$$

$$E \left\{ \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^k (z_i - M_i)(z_j - M_j) \right\}^2 = 4 \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^k \frac{\lambda_i \lambda_j}{n_i n_j} = 2 \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^k \frac{\lambda_i \lambda_j}{n_i n_j} = 2 \left\{ \left( \sum_1^k \frac{\lambda_i}{n_i} \right)^2 - \sum_1^k \frac{\lambda_i^2}{n_i^2} \right\} \quad \dots (3.5.4)$$

$$E \left[ \left\{ \sum_1^k l_i \right\} \left\{ \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^k (z_i - M_i)(z_j - M_j) \right\} \right] = 0 \quad \dots (3.5.5)$$

3.6. From (3.5.1)–(3.5.5) it follows

$$\begin{aligned} E(L^2) &= (r-1)^2 \left[ \sum_1^k \frac{\lambda}{n} (B_{hi}-3) + \left( \sum_1^k \frac{\lambda_i}{n_i} \right)^2 + \frac{2}{(r-1)^2} \left\{ \left( \sum_1^k \frac{\lambda_i^2}{n_i^2(n_i-1)} \right) \right\} \right] + \left( \sum_1^k \frac{\lambda_i}{n_i} \right)^2 \\ &= \left( \sum_1^k \frac{\lambda_i}{n_i} \right)^2 (r-1)^2 \left[ \frac{\sum_1^k \frac{\lambda_i^2}{n_i^2} (B_{hi}-3)}{\left( \sum_1^k \frac{\lambda_i}{n_i} \right)^2} + 1 + \frac{2}{(r-1)^2} \left\{ \frac{r^2 \sum_1^k \frac{\lambda_i^2}{n_i^2(n_i-1)}}{\left( \sum_1^k \frac{\lambda_i}{n_i} \right)^2} + 1 \right\} \right] \quad \dots (3.6.1) \end{aligned}$$

From (1.1.1), (3.3.1), (3.4.2) and (3.6.1),

$$\text{prob. } \{L > 0\} > \frac{\{E(L)\}^2}{E(L^2)}$$

$$> \frac{1}{\frac{\sum_{t=1}^k \lambda_t^2 (B_{2t}-3)}{\left(\sum_{t=1}^k \lambda_t\right)^2} + 1 + \frac{2}{(n-1)^2} \left\{ \frac{\sum_{t=1}^k \lambda_t^2}{\left(\sum_{t=1}^k \lambda_t\right)^2} + 1 \right\}} \dots \quad (3.6.2)$$

$$\text{or, } \sum_{t=1}^k z_{t+t} \sqrt{\sum_{t=1}^k \frac{\lambda_t}{n_t}} > \sum_{t=1}^k M_t > \sum_{t=1}^k z_{t-t} \sqrt{\sum_{t=1}^k \frac{\lambda_t}{n_t}}$$

with probability equal to or greater than the right hand most expression of (3.6.2).

3.7. If all the  $n_t$ 's are equal to  $n$  the expression for the lower bound takes the form

$$\frac{1}{n} \frac{\sum_{t=1}^k \lambda_t^2 (B_{2t}-3)}{\left(\sum_{t=1}^k \lambda_t\right)^2} + 1 + \frac{2}{(n-1)^2} \left\{ \frac{\sum_{t=1}^k \lambda_t^2}{\left(\sum_{t=1}^k \lambda_t\right)^2} + 1 \right\} \dots \quad (3.7.1)$$

$$\text{which is equal to } \frac{1}{\frac{\theta}{n} (B_2-3) + 1 + \frac{2}{(n-1)^2} \left\{ \frac{\theta^2}{n-1} + 1 \right\}} \dots \quad (3.7.2)$$

$$\text{where } B_2 = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^k \lambda_t^2}{\sum_{t=1}^k \lambda_t} \text{ ; } \theta = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^k \lambda_t^2}{\left(\sum_{t=1}^k \lambda_t\right)^2} = \frac{\{C.V.(\lambda)\}^2 + 1}{K}$$

Table 2 below gives numerical values of (3.7.2) for  $K=8$ ;  $n=4, 8, 12, 10$ ;  $\bar{B}_2=2, 3, 4$ , and  $C.V.(\lambda)=0.0, 25.0, 50.0, 75.0, 100.0, 125.0$ , and  $150.0$ .

EXPRESSIONS FOR THE LOWER BOUND TO CONFIDENCE COEFFICIENTS

TABLE 2. LOWER BOUND OF THE PROBABILITY

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \bar{z}_{i+t} \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{M_i^2}{n}}{\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{M_i}{n}}} > \sum_{i=1}^k M_i > \sum_{i=1}^k \bar{z}_{i-t} \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{M_i^2}{n}}{\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{M_i}{n}}}$$

FOR A STRATIFIED DESIGN OF 8 STRATA AND 4, 8, 12 AND 16 UNITS PER STRATUM FOR DIFFERENT VALUES OF CV( $\lambda$ )

(values worked out from (3.7.2) taking  $t = 3$ )

$B_2$ -value	CV( $\lambda$ ) values as						
	0.0	25.0	50.0	75.0	100.0	125.0	150.0
(0)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
number of units per stratum = 4							
2.0	.905	.901	.890	.872	.848	.810	.788
3.0	.880	.875	.860	.830	.805	.768	.728
4.0	.856	.850	.832	.803	.760	.724	.678
number of units per stratum = 8							
2.0	.943	.941	.936	.928	.917	.903	.887
3.0	.929	.927	.919	.908	.892	.872	.849
4.0	.916	.913	.903	.888	.867	.842	.814
number of units per stratum = 12							
2.0	.953	.952	.949	.943	.936	.927	.917
3.0	.943	.942	.937	.929	.918	.905	.889
4.0	.934	.932	.926	.915	.901	.884	.863
number of units per stratum = 16							
2.0	.957	.957	.954	.951	.945	.939	.931
3.0	.950	.949	.946	.940	.932	.921	.909
4.0	.943	.942	.937	.929	.918	.905	.889

From table 2 it is seen that for a design containing 8 strata and  $n$  units per stratum ( $n > 4$ ), con-

fidence statement of the form  $\sum_{i=1}^k \bar{z}_{i+t} \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{M_i^2}{n}}{\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{M_i}{n}}} > \sum_{i=1}^k M_i > \sum_{i=1}^k \bar{z}_{i-t} \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{M_i^2}{n}}{\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{M_i}{n}}}$  will be true in 70.6

per cent of the cases (or more) if  $B_2 \leq 4$  and coefficient of variation of  $\lambda$  values be less than or equal to 100. If the number of strata be increased, other parameters remaining the same, the probability (as judged by the expression for the lower bound) will increase.

3.8. With respect to stratified designs having a constant number of  $n$  units per stratum there is another method of estimation of sampling error and allied confidence interval for the population mean (or total). This method at times may be operationally easy and thus less costly in large scale tabulations. Hence in this context it may not be out of place to discuss that method. Denoting as before by  $x_{is}$  ( $s = 1, 2, \dots, n; i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ) estimate of population total  $M_i$  as built up from the  $s$ -th selected unit from  $n$  units selected from the  $i$ -th population, a set of estimators and a function  $L_1$  may be defined as

$$a_s = \sum_{i=1}^k x_{is} \quad \dots (3.8.1)$$

$$L_1 = \frac{t^2 \sum_{i=1}^k (\alpha_i - \bar{d})^2}{n(n-1)} - (t - \sum_{i=1}^k M_i)^2 \quad \dots (3.8.2)$$

where 
$$\bar{d} = \frac{\sum_{s=1}^n a_s}{n}.$$

It can be easily shown that

$$E(L_1) = (t^2 - 1) \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\lambda_i}{n},$$

and 
$$\frac{\{E(L_1)\}^2}{E\{L_1^2\}} = \frac{1}{\frac{\theta(\bar{B}_2 - 3)}{n} + 1 + \frac{2}{(t^2 - 1)^2} \left\{ \frac{t^2}{n-1} + 1 \right\}} \quad \dots (3.8.3)$$

where  $\lambda_i$ ,  $\bar{B}_2$  and  $\theta$  are as defined earlier in paras 3.4 and 3.7.

Since  $\theta < 1$ , comparing (3.8.3) with (3.7.2) it is seen that (3.7.2) will always be greater than (3.8.3). Hence if judged only by this criterion (viz. the expression for the lower bound of probability of confidence statement being true) confidence statement of the form

$\bar{d} \pm t \sqrt{\frac{\sum (\alpha_i - \bar{d})^2}{n(n-1)}}$  is not to be preferred over  $\sum_{i=1}^k \hat{x}_i \pm t \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\lambda_i}{n}}$ . If, however, number of units per stratum is large (something like 10 or more) the second method may be used in preference over the first.

#### 4. ONE STRATUM PPS SELECTION RATIO ESTIMATE

4.1. One stratum, pps selection, ratio estimate: Let there be a finite population consisting of  $N$  units. Let  $y_i, x_i$  denote respectively variate values of character  $y$  and  $x$  of the  $i$ -th unit. Let  $n$  units be selected with replacement from  $N$  units with probability proportional to some measure of the units. Let  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_N$  denote the probability for the different units to be selected in a particular draw.

4.2. Let  $z_s$  and  $w_s$  be respectively estimates of population totals  $M_y$  and  $M_x$  of character  $y$  and  $x$  as built up from the  $s$ -th selected unit. Obviously

$$z_s = \frac{y_i}{p_i}; w_s = \frac{x_i}{p_i}$$

if the  $s$ -th selected happens to be the  $i$ -th unit of the population.

EXPRESSIONS FOR THE LOWER BOUND TO CONFIDENCE COEFFICIENTS

4.3. Let us define a function  $L$  of estimators  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n, w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n$  and  $M_x$  and  $M_y$  and  $F(t > 1)$  as

$$L = \frac{t^2}{n(n-1)} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ z_i - R w_i - (t - R\bar{w}) \right\}^2 \right] - (t - R\bar{w})^2 \quad \dots (4.3.1)$$

$$= \frac{t^2}{n} \left\{ \lambda_x + R^2 \lambda_w - 2Rr \sqrt{\lambda_x \lambda_w} \right\} - (t - R\bar{w})^2 \quad \dots (4.3.2)$$

where  $R = \frac{M_y}{M_x}$ ;  $t = \frac{\sum z_i}{n}$ ;  $\bar{w} = \frac{\sum w_i}{n}$ ;

$$\lambda_x = \frac{\sum (z_i - t)^2}{n-1}; \lambda_w = \frac{\sum (w_i - \bar{w})^2}{n-1}; r \sqrt{\lambda_x \lambda_w} = \frac{\sum z_i w_i - n t \bar{w}}{n-1}.$$

4.4. Treating  $z_i - R w_i$  as a variate and taking mathematical expectations of  $L$  and  $L^2$  it can be shown that probability  $\{L > 0\} > P_0$ .

where  $P_0 = \frac{1}{\frac{D_2(z, w) - 3}{n} + 1 + \frac{2}{(t^2 - 1)^2} \left\{ \frac{t^4}{n-1} + 1 \right\}}$  ... (4.4.1)

where  $D_2(z, w) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \left( \frac{y_i - R x_i}{p_i} \right)^4}{\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \left( \frac{y_i - R x_i}{p_i} \right)^2 \right\}^2}$ . ... (4.4.2)

Hence we have from (1.1.1), (4.3.1) and (4.4.1),

$$\frac{t^2}{n} \left\{ \lambda_x + R^2 \lambda_w - 2Rr \sqrt{\lambda_x \lambda_w} \right\} > (t - R\bar{w})^2 \quad \dots (4.4.3)$$

with probability greater than (or equal to)  $P_0$ .

4.5. Following Fieller (1940) "confidence limits" for  $R$  can be derived from (4.4.3). In brief the method may be indicated as under. From (4.4.3) a quadratic equation in  $R$  and a quadratic inequality in  $R$  can be derived as under:

Quadratic equation:  $R^2(\bar{w}^2 - p\lambda_w) - 2R(t\bar{w} - pr\sqrt{\lambda_x \lambda_w}) + (t^2 - p\lambda_x) = 0$ . (4.5.1)

Quadratic inequality:  $R^2(\bar{w}^2 - p\lambda_w) - 2R(t\bar{w} - pr\sqrt{\lambda_x \lambda_w}) + (t^2 - p\lambda_x) \leq 0$ . ... (4.5.2)

where  $p = \frac{t^2}{n}$ .

Actual numerical values of  $R$  which satisfy (4.5.1) for clarity of exposition, may be considered under three heads:

(a)  $\bar{w}^2 - p\lambda_w > 0$ ; (b)  $\bar{w}^2 - p\lambda_w = 0$ ; (c)  $\bar{w}^2 - p\lambda_w < 0$ .

For (a), roots of equation (4.5.1) are real as the discriminant

$$\begin{aligned} D &= 4\{(\bar{w} - pr\sqrt{\lambda_r\lambda_w})^2 - (\bar{w}^2 - p\lambda_r)(z^2 - p\lambda_r)\} \\ &= 4z^2\bar{w}^2(p^2C_{ww} - C_{rw})^2 + p(1 - pC_{ww})(C_{ww} + C_{rz} - 2C_{rw}) > 0 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$C_{rz} = \frac{\lambda_r}{z^2}; C_{ww} = \frac{\lambda_w}{\bar{w}^2}; C_{rw} = \frac{r\sqrt{\lambda_r\lambda_w}}{z\bar{w}}$$

Hence from (4.5.2) limits for  $R$  are

$$R_1 < R < R_2 \quad \dots (4.5.3)$$

where  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  are the roots of (4.5.1) such that  $R_2 > R_1$ .

For (b), limits for  $R$  are derivable from the relation

$$z^2 - p\lambda_r < 2R(z\bar{w} - pr\sqrt{\lambda_r\lambda_w}). \quad \dots (4.5.4)$$

Under (c) there can arise the sub-cases

$$(c.1) \quad z^2 - p\lambda_r > 0$$

$$(c.2) \quad z^2 - p\lambda_r < 0.$$

For (c.1) the discriminant of the equation (4.5.1) is positive and as such if  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  be the roots of the equation (4.5.1), limits for  $R$  will be

$$R < R_1 \quad \text{or} \quad R > R_2 \quad \dots (4.5.5)$$

where  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  will satisfy the relation

$$R_2 > 0 > R_1. \quad \dots (4.5.6)$$

For (c.2) depending upon the numerical values of  $t$  and  $r$  for given  $z^2 - p\lambda_r$  and  $\bar{w}^2 - p\lambda_w$  the discriminant will be

either (c.21) positive

or, (c.22) negative.

For (c.21) limits for  $R$  will be of the nature (4.5.5). For (c.22) as the roots of (4.5.1) will be imaginary any numerical value of  $R$  will satisfy (4.5.2) and as such limits for  $R$  derivable from (4.4.3) will be  $\infty > R > -\infty$ .

4.6. Limits of the nature (4.5.5) are practically useless and considering the very nature of the limits, the limits derivable from (4.4.3) cannot strictly be called confidence limits. Such limitations, however, apply equally to the bi-variate approach of Fieller as well.

#### 5. K STRATA PPS SELECTION COMBINED RATIO ESTIMATE

5.1.  $K$  strata, pps selection, combined ratio estimate: Let there be  $K$  finite populations consisting of  $N_1, N_2, \dots, N_k$  units. Let  $y_{ij}, x_{ij}$  denote respectively variate values of character  $y$  and  $x$  of the  $j$ -th unit of the  $i$ -th population. Let us consider a scheme of sampling where  $n_i$  units are selected with replacement with probability proportional to some measure

EXPRESSIONS FOR THE LOWER BOUND TO CONFIDENCE COEFFICIENTS

of the units from the  $i$ -th population ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ). Let  $p_{ij}$  denote the probability that the  $j$ -th unit of the  $i$ -th population will appear in a particular selection while sampling for units from the  $i$ -th population.

Obviously 
$$\sum_{j=1}^{N_i} p_{ij} = 1 \text{ for } (i = 1, 2, \dots, K).$$

5.2. Let  $z_{is}$  and  $w_{is}$  be respectively estimates of population totals  $M_{iy}$  and  $M_{ix}$  of character  $y$  and  $x$  of the  $i$  th population as built up from the  $s$ -th selected unit among  $n_i$  units selected from the  $i$ -th population. Obviously

$$z_{is} = \frac{y_{ij}}{p_{ij}}; w_{is} = \frac{x_{ij}}{p_{ij}}$$

if the  $s$ -th selected unit happens to be the  $j$ -th unit of the  $i$ -th population.

5.3. Let us define a function  $L$  of estimators  $z_{is}$  and  $w_{is}$  ( $s = 1, 2, \dots, n_i$ ;  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ) and  $M_{iy}$  and  $M_{ix}$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ) and  $t^2 (t > 1)$  as

$$L \equiv t^2 \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\sum_{s=1}^{n_i} (w_{is} - \bar{w}_i)^2}{n_i(n_i-1)} - \left( \sum_{i=1}^k \bar{w}_i \right)^2 \quad \dots (5.3.1)$$

$$= t^2 \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\sum_{s=1}^{n_i} \left\{ z_{is} - t_i - R(w_{is} - \bar{w}_i) \right\}^2}{n_i(n_i-1)} - \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k z_i - R \left( \sum_{i=1}^k \bar{w}_i \right) \right\}^2 \quad \dots (5.3.2)$$

$$= t^2 \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{n_i} \left\{ \lambda_{is} + R^2 \lambda_{iw} - 2Rr_i \sqrt{\lambda_{is} \lambda_{iw}} \right\} - \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k z_i - R \sum_{i=1}^k \bar{w}_i \right\}^2 \quad \dots (5.3.3)$$

where 
$$v_{is} = z_{is} - M_{iy} - R(w_{is} - M_{ix}); \bar{v}_i = \frac{\sum_{s=1}^{n_i} v_{is}}{n_i}$$

$$z_i = \frac{\sum_{s=1}^{n_i} z_{is}}{n_i}; \bar{w}_i = \frac{\sum_{s=1}^{n_i} w_{is}}{n_i};$$

$$\lambda_{is} = \frac{\sum_{s=1}^{n_i} (z_{is} - z_i)^2}{n_i-1}; \lambda_{iw} = \frac{\sum_{s=1}^{n_i} (w_{is} - \bar{w}_i)^2}{n_i-1};$$

$$r_i \sqrt{\lambda_{is} \lambda_{iw}} = \frac{\sum_{s=1}^{n_i} z_{is} w_{is} - n_i z_i \bar{w}_i}{n_i-1}; R = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k M_{iy}}{\sum_{i=1}^k M_{ix}}.$$

5.4. It can be easily shown that

$$E(L) = (t^2 - 1) \sum_1^k \frac{\lambda(u_i)}{n_i} \quad \dots (5.4.1)$$

$$\text{and } E(L^2) = (t^2 - 1)^2 \left\{ \sum_1^k \frac{\lambda(u_i)}{n_i} \right\}^2 \left[ \frac{\sum_1^k \frac{\lambda^2(u_i)}{n_i^2} \{B_{2i} - 3\}}{\left( \sum_1^k \frac{\lambda(u_i)}{n_i} \right)^2} + 1 + \right. \\ \left. + \frac{2}{(t^2 - 1)^2} \left\{ \frac{t^4 \sum_1^k \frac{\lambda^2(u_i)}{n_i^2 (n_i - 1)}}{\left( \sum_1^k \frac{\lambda(u_i)}{n_i} \right)^2} + 1 \right\} \right] \dots (5.4.2)$$

$$\text{where } \lambda(u_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{N_i} p_{ij} \left\{ \frac{y_{ij} - R x_{ij}}{p_{ij}} - (M_{i\bar{y}} - R M_{i\bar{x}}) \right\}^2 \quad \dots (5.4.3)$$

$$\text{and } B_{2i} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{N_i} p_{ij} \left\{ \frac{y_{ij} - R x_{ij}}{p_{ij}} - (M_{i\bar{y}} - R M_{i\bar{x}}) \right\}^4}{\{\lambda(u_i)\}^2} \quad \dots (5.4.4)$$

Hence from (1.1.1), (5.3.3), (5.4.1) and (5.4.2),

$$\text{prob. } \{L \geq 0\} \geq P_0,$$

$$\text{where } P_0 = \frac{\{E(L)\}^2}{E(L^2)} = \frac{1}{\frac{\sum_1^k \frac{\lambda^2(u_i)}{n_i^2} (B_{2i} - 3)}{\left( \sum_1^k \frac{\lambda(u_i)}{n_i} \right)^2} + 1 + \frac{2}{(t^2 - 1)^2} \left\{ \frac{t^4 \sum_1^k \frac{\lambda^2(u_i)}{n_i^2 (n_i - 1)}}{\left( \sum_1^k \frac{\lambda(u_i)}{n_i} \right)^2} + 1 \right\}} \quad \dots (5.4.5)$$

5.5. We have from (5.3.3) and (5.4.5)

$$t^4 \sum_1^k \left\{ \frac{\lambda_{i\bar{y}}}{n_i} + R^2 \frac{\lambda_{i\bar{x}}}{n_i} - 2R \frac{r_{i\bar{y}}}{n_i} \sqrt{\lambda_{i\bar{y}} \lambda_{i\bar{x}}} \right\} > \left\{ \sum_1^k \bar{x}_i - R \sum_1^k \bar{w}_i \right\}^2 \quad \dots (5.5.1)$$

with probability greater than (or equal to)  $P_0$  where  $P_0$  is given by (5.4.5). From (5.5.1) limits for  $R$  can be derived on the same lines as discussed earlier for the case of a single stratum.

EXPRESSIONS FOR THE LOWER BOUND TO CONFIDENCE COEFFICIENTS

6. EXTENSION TO MULTISTAGE DESIGNS

6.1. One stratum, two stage design, pps selection: Let there be a finite population consisting of  $K$  first stage units, where the  $i$ -th unit contains  $N_i$  second stage units. Let  $y_{ij}$  denote value  $y$  of the  $j$ -th second stage unit of the  $i$ -th first stage unit ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, N_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ). Let us consider a two stage sampling scheme where  $n$  first stage units are selected with replacement from  $k$  first units with probability proportional to some measure of the units. Let  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k$  denote the probability for the different first stage unit to be selected in a particular draw. Within each selected first stage unit let us select  $n_1$  or  $n_2$  or ...  $n_k$  second stage units (according as the selected first stage unit happens to be the 1st or 2nd— or  $k$ -th first stage unit) with replacement with probability proportional to some measure of the second stage units. Let  $p_{ij}$  denote the probability that the  $j$ -th second stage unit (of the  $i$ -th first stage unit) having variate value  $y_{ij}$  will appear in a particular selection while sampling for second stage units after the  $i$ -th first stage unit has been selected ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, N_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ). Obviously  $\sum_{j=1}^{N_i} p_{ij} = 1$ , for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$

6.2. Let  $z_i$  be an estimate of population total  $M$  as built up from the  $s$ -th selected first stage unit. Obviously,

$$z_i = \frac{1}{p_i} \cdot \frac{1}{n_i} \sum_{l=1}^{n_i} y_i(l)$$

if the  $s$ -th selected first stage unit happens to be the  $i$ -th first stage unit and  $y_{i11}, y_{i12}, \dots, y_{in_i}$  happen to be  $y$ -values of  $n_i$  selected second stage unit within the  $i$ -th first stage unit.

6.3. Let us define a function  $L$  of estimators  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n, M$  and  $t^2 (t > 1)$  as

$$L \text{ we } t^2 \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (z_i - \bar{z})^2}{n(n-1)} - (\bar{z} - M)^2 \quad \dots \quad (6.3.1)$$

where 
$$\bar{z} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n z_i}{n}$$

6.4. We have from (1.1.1) and (6.3.1)

$$\text{prob. } \left\{ t + t \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (z_i - \bar{z})^2}{n(n-1)}} > M > \bar{z} - t \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (z_i - \bar{z})^2}{n(n-1)}} \right\}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{B_1(x) - 3}{n} + 1 + \frac{1}{(t^2 - 1)^2} \left\{ \frac{t^2}{n-1} + 1 \right\} \quad \dots \quad (6.4.1)$$

where  $B_i(z)$  for the scheme of sampling considered is given as  $\frac{\mu_i(z)}{(\mu_i(z))^2}$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_i(z) &= \sum p_i \left( \frac{M_i}{p_i} - M \right)^2 + \sum \frac{1}{n_i p_i} \sum p_{ij} \left( \frac{y_{ij}}{p_{ij}} - M_i \right)^2 \\ \mu_i(z) &= \sum p_i \left( \frac{M_i}{p_i} - M \right)^4 + \sum \frac{1}{(n_i p_i)^2} \sum p_{ij} \left( \frac{y_{ij}}{p_{ij}} - M_i \right)^4 + \\ &+ 6 \sum \frac{1}{(n_i p_i)^2} (n_i - 1) \left\{ \sum p_{ij} \left( \frac{y_{ij}}{p_{ij}} - M_i \right)^2 \right\} + \\ &+ 4 \sum \frac{1}{(n_i p_i)^2} \left( \frac{M_i}{p_i} - M \right) \sum p_{ij} \left( \frac{y_{ij}}{p_{ij}} - M_i \right)^2 + \\ &+ 6 \sum \frac{1}{n_i p_i} \left( \frac{M_i}{p_i} - M \right)^2 \sum p_{ij} \left( \frac{y_{ij}}{p_{ij}} - M_i \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

when  $M_i$  stands for total of  $y$ -values of the  $i$ -th first stage unit i.e.  $M_i = \sum_{j=1}^{N_i} y_{ij}$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ).

## REFERENCES

- BANERJEE, S. K. (1956): A Lower Bound to the Probability of Student's Ratio. *Sankhyā*, 18, 291-294.  
 COCHRAN, W. G. (1953): *Sampling Techniques*, John Wiley and Sons, New York.  
 FIELLER, E. C. (1940): Biological Standardization of Inulin. *Suppl. J. Roy. Stat. Soc.*, 7, 1-64.  
 ——— (1954): Symposium on interval estimation. *J. Roy. Stat. Soc.*, B, 16, 175-222.

*Paper received: August, 1957.*