denotes its transpose and $\mathcal{M}(A)$ its column span. A denotes a generalized inverse of A and A^* the Moore Penrose inverse (B). A the column string of A is the column strong of A is the column strong of A in the column store obtained by writing the columns of A, one below the other in the natural order. Let $A \in \mathcal{M}^{-N-n}$ and $B \in \mathcal{M}^{2\times n}$ and let the jth columns of A and B be denoted by a, and b, respectively. We now introduce a new product $A \otimes B$ of A and B, which is defined as follows:

$$A \boxtimes B = (a_1 \otimes b_1 : a_1 \otimes b_2 + a_2 \otimes b_1 : \cdots : a_1 \otimes b_n$$

$$+ a_n \otimes b_1 : a_1 \otimes b_2 : a_1 \otimes b_2 + a_3 \otimes b_2 : \cdots : a_1 \otimes b_n$$

$$+ a_n \otimes b_2 : \cdots : a_n \otimes b_n)$$

$$(1)$$

where \otimes denotes the Kronecker product. $A \boxtimes B$ is a matrix of order $mk \times (n(n+1)/2)$. For example, if

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$
 and $B = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$

then $A \boxtimes B$ is the matrix of order 6×3 , given by

$$A \boxtimes B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} : \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$+ \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} : \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} : \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$- \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 7 \\ 6 \\ 8 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ 7 \\ 4 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

If further $C \in \mathcal{R}^{p \times m}$ and $D \in \mathcal{R}^{q \times k}$ as a simple consequence of this definition we have

$$CA \boxtimes DB = [C \otimes D][A \boxtimes B]$$
 (2)

noting that the jth columns of CA and DB are Ca_j and Db_j , respectively. Further, the equation

$$AIA = 0 \tag{3}$$

is equivalent to

$$a_i'Ja_i = 0 \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} a_i' \otimes a_i' \end{bmatrix} J = 0, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

Thus for symmetric matrices J

$$(3) \Rightarrow (A \boxtimes A)'J = 0. \tag{4}$$

For a matrix $V \in \mathcal{R}_n$ and a subspace \mathcal{S} of \mathcal{R}^n , the shorted matrix $\mathcal{S}(V)$ is the unique matrix in R_n which is such that

$$\mathcal{M}[\mathcal{S}(V)] \subset \mathcal{S}$$

 $V > \mathcal{S}(V)$

and if
$$C \in \mathcal{R}_a$$
, $\mathcal{M}(C) \subset \mathcal{S}$ and $V > C$, then $\mathcal{S}(V) > C$.

The existence of $\mathscr{S}(V)$ was established by Anderson and Trapp

We ask the following question. Given subspaces N_1, N_2, \cdots, N_d of R^n when does the knowledge of shorted matrices $N_1(V), N_2(V), \cdots, N_d(V)$ help us to uniquely identify the matrix V in R_1 of rank v so shorted? Theorem 1 gives a set of occessary and sufficient conditions.

Let the columns of a matrix $X_i \in \mathcal{R}^{-\times r_i}$ span $\mathcal{F}_i = \mathcal{M}[\mathcal{S}_i(V)]$ and the columns of X form a basis of $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{M}(X_1; X_2; \cdots; X_k)$.

Shorted Operators and the Identification Problem—The Real Case

THOMAS MATHEW AND SUIT KUMAR MITRA

Abstract — This paper gives necessary and sufficient conditions under which a real symmetric nonnegative definite matrix can be uniquely determined from the knowledge of a finite number of its shorted versions.

An earlier paper by one of the authors [2] gave a set of necessary and sufficient conditions under which a complex Hermitian nonegative definite (n.n.d.) matrix is uniquely identified by a finite number of its shorted versions. The result proved in the context of complex matrices does not hold good for real matrices. The aim of this note is to present the corresponding result for real matrices.

Let \mathfrak{R}^* and $\mathfrak{R}^{m \times n}$ denote respectively the vector spaces of real n-tuples and real matrices of order $m \times n$. Let \mathfrak{R}_n denote the cone of real symmetric n.n.d. matrices of order $n \times n$. For matrices $A, B \in \mathfrak{R}_n$, we write A > B if $A = B \in \mathfrak{R}_n$. For a matrix A, A'

Manuscript received December 6, 1982; revised March 31, 1983. The authors are with the Indian Statistical Institute, Delhi Centre, New Delhi 1100 Its. India. If Rank X = r < v

$$\mathscr{S}_{i}(\mathscr{S}(V)) - \mathscr{S}_{i} \cap \mathscr{S}(V) - \mathscr{T}_{i}(V) - \mathscr{S}_{i}(V), \quad i=1,2,\cdots,b$$

and further for any matrix $Z \in \mathcal{R}_n$ with rank(Z) = v - r and $\mathcal{M}(Z)$ virtually disjoint with that of $\mathcal{S}(i.e., \mathcal{M}(Z) \cap \mathcal{S} = \{0\})$

$$\mathscr{S}_{i}(\mathscr{S}(V)+Z)=\mathscr{S}_{i}(V), \quad i=1,2,\cdots,b.$$

 $\mathscr{S}(V)+Z\in\mathscr{R}_n$ and Rank $(\mathscr{S}(V)+Z)=v$. This shows that the matrix is not uniquely determined by its shorted versions if Rank X < v. To avoid triviality, therefore, we shall henceforth assume that

$$Rank X = v \tag{5}$$

Theorem 1: The matrix $V \in \mathcal{R}_a$ of rank v is uniquely determined by $\mathcal{S}_1(V), \mathcal{S}_2(V), \cdots, \mathcal{S}_n(V)$ iff

named by
$$\mathcal{S}_1(V), \mathcal{S}_2(V), \cdots, \mathcal{S}_b(V)$$
 ill
$$\operatorname{Rank} \left[X_1 \otimes X_1 : X_2 \otimes X_3 : \cdots : X_b \otimes X_b \right] = \nu(\nu+1)/2. \quad (6)$$

Proof: Let $T_i = \{X_i'(X_i, X_i') - \mathcal{G}_i(V)(X_i, X_i')^{-1}X_i\}^*$ From the proof of Theorem 1 in Mitra [2] it is clear that V is uniquely determined by $\mathcal{G}_i(V)$, $\mathcal{G}_i(V)$, $\mathcal{G}_i(V)$, $\mathcal{G}_i(V)$ iff X^*WX is unique and independent of W for every matrix W satisfying the conditions

(a)
$$X_i W X_i = T_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \cdots, b$$

and (b) $W \in (U^-)$ for some $U \in \mathcal{R}$, such that

$$\mathcal{S}_{i} \subset \mathcal{M}(U)$$
.

We note that condition (b) could be replaced by

Clearly for any matrix W satisfying (b) X'WX is p.d. Hence (b) = (b'). Conversely if W satisfies (b'), $W \in \{U^-\}$ where $U = X(X'WX)^{-1}X'$. Further (8) is true for such a choice of U. Hence (b') = (b) and (b) = (b').

Let $P_X = X(X'X)^T X'$ denote the orthogonal projector onto $\mathcal{M}(X)$. Since $X''WX = X'(P_F)'WP_FX = X''XJX'X$ for some J and $X'WX_1 = X'_1XJX'X_1$, the above condition on W is equivalent to requiring that

$$X_i \times X_i \times X_i = T_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \cdots, b$$

have a unique p.d. solution J. The existence of one p.d. solution is guaranteed by our assumption that $S_j(V)$ were indeed obtained by shorting a real symmetric n.n.d. matrix V. The uniqueness of the p.d. solution will require that the null matrix be the only symmetric solution J of the homogeneous equations

$$X_i \times J \times X_i = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \cdots, b$$

which as we have seen in (4) is equivalent to

$$(X'X_i \boxtimes X'X_i)'J = \emptyset, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, b$$

or to

where

$$Q = [X'X_1 \boxtimes X'X_1 : X'X_2 \boxtimes X'X_2 : \cdots : X'X_k \boxtimes X'X_k].$$

Since the columns of Q are column strings of symmetric matrices and real symmetric matrices span a vector space of dimension v(v+1)/2 the equations (9) have the null matrix as the only symmetric solution if

Rank
$$Q = v(v+1)/2$$
.

However, on account of (2)

$$Q = [X' \otimes X']K$$

where

$$K = \{X_1 \boxtimes X_1 : X_2 \boxtimes X_3 : \cdots : X_k \boxtimes X_k\}$$

and

$$K = [X(X'X)^{\top} \otimes X(X'X)^{\top}]O.$$

Hence (11) =

Rank
$$K = \sigma(v+1)/2$$
. (6)

0.E.D

Remarks: Why should the conditions for the real and complex cases differ? To see this let us amplify the proof of Theorem 1 of Mitra [2]. Proceeding as above we note that the complex Hermutian n.nd. matrix V is uniquely determined by its shorted versions $S_1(V), S_2(V), \dots, S_n(V)$ iff the null matrix is the only bermitian solution of the homogeneous equations

$$X_i \times XJX \times X_i = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, b$$
 (12)

If J is a nonnull solution of (12) so is J^* . Further, $J + J^*$ is a Hermitian solution of (12) and this is nonnull unless J is skew Hermitian if J is a nonnull skew Hermitian solution of (12) $\sqrt{-1}J$ is a nonnull Hermitian solution. Hence the above conditions are equivalent to requiring that the null matrix be the only solution of (12) for which it is necessary and sufficient that

Rank
$$(X^*X_1 \otimes X'\overline{X}_1 : X^*X_2 \otimes X'\overline{X}_2 : \cdots : X^*X_k \otimes X'\overline{X}_k) = v^2$$

•• Rank $(X_1 \otimes \overline{X}_1 : X_2 \otimes \overline{X}_2 : \cdots : X_k \otimes \overline{X}_k) = v^2$.

REFERENCES

- W. N. Anderson, Jr. and G. Trapp. "Shorted Operation II," SIAM J. Appl. Math., Vol. 28, pp. 60-71, 1975
- [2] S. K. Mara, "Shorted operators and the identification problem," IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst., vol. CAS-29, pp. 581-583, 1982
- C. R. Rao and S. K. Mutra, Generolized Inverse of Mainces and In Applications. New York: Wiley, 1971.