

## CARDINALITIES OF BANACH SPACES

By M. BHASKARA RAO AND K. P. S. BHASKARA RAO

[Received June 29, 1971 ; revised December 18, 1971]

**1. Introduction.** In the theory of Boolean algebras, the following result is well known. A complete Boolean algebra of a given infinite cardinal number  $m$  exists if and only if  $m = m^{\aleph_0}$ . In this note a similar theorem is proved.

**THEOREM.** *A Banach space of a given cardinal number  $m$  exists if and only if  $m = m^{\aleph_0}$ .* In this note, we assume all Banach spaces to be nontrivial.

**2. LEMMA 1.** *Let  $B$  be a Banach space. Given  $0 < \epsilon < 1$ , there exists a set  $A \subset B$  with the following properties:*

- (i) *Closed linear manifold spanned by  $A$ ,  $\overline{\text{sp}}(A) = B$ .*
- (ii)  *$x$  in  $A$  implies  $\|x\| = 1$  and  $x, y$  in  $A$  implies  $\|x - y\| > \epsilon$ .*

**PROOF.** Apply Zorn's lemma for the collection of sets satisfying (ii) and then use Lemma 3 of ([1, pp. 578]).

**LEMMA 2.** *If  $B$  is a Banach space, then its cardinal number,  $|B| = m^{\aleph_0}$  for some cardinal number  $m$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $(2/3) < \epsilon < 1$ . Let  $A$  be a subset of  $B$  given by Lemma 1. Case (i)  $|A| = m \geq c$ , where  $c$  is the cardinality of the continuum. Obviously,  $|B| \leq m^{\aleph_0}$ . Since for every infinite cardinal number  $m$ ,  $m^{\aleph_0} = m$ , we can write  $A = A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots$  such that  $A_i$ 's are disjoint and  $|A_i| = m$  for every  $i$ .

Let  $B_i = \frac{1}{2^i} A_i$ . If  $x_i$  belongs to  $B_i$ , then  $\sum x_i$  is convergent. Further, if  $x_i, y_i$  belong to  $B_i$  and  $\sum x_i = \sum y_i$ , then  $x_i = y_i$  for every  $i$ . For, if  $n$  is

the first natural number for which  $x_n \neq y_n$ , then  $\frac{\epsilon}{2^{2^n}} < \|x_n - y_n\| =$

$\| \sum_{i>2^n} x_i - \sum_{i>2^n} y_i \| \leq (2/2^{2^{n+1}}) (4/3)$ . This implies  $\epsilon < \frac{2}{3}$  giving rise to a contradiction. Consequently,  $|B| \geq m^{\aleph_0}$ .

CASE (ii)  $|A| < c$ . Let  $D$  be the linear manifold spanned by  $A$ . Since  $\bar{D} = B$  and every point in  $B$  is a limit of a sequence of elements from  $D$ , we have

$c \leq |B| \leq |D|^{\aleph_0} =$  number of sequences from  $D = |A|^{\aleph_0} \leq c^{\aleph_0} = c$ .  $|B| \geq c$  follows from the fact that every Banach space contains a copy of the real line. Thus we have  $|B| = c^{\aleph_0} = c$ .

LEMMA 3. *If  $m$  is a cardinal number such that  $m = m^{\aleph_0}$ , then there exists a Banach space  $B$  with the property  $|B| = m$*

PROOF. Let  $H$  be any Hilbert space of dimension  $m$ . Applying a technique used in the proof of Lemma 2 to an orthonormal basis of  $H$ , we can conclude that  $|H| = m^{\aleph_0} = m$ .

THEOREM. *A Banach space of a given cardinal number  $m$  exists if and only if  $m = m^{\aleph_0}$ .*

3. REMARKS. 1. The proof of the analogous theorem for Hilbert spaces is quite simple if one works with its orthonormal basis.

2. From the theorem, we conclude if  $m = c + 2^c + 2^{2^c} + \dots$ , then there is no Banach space of cardinal number  $m$ . For, by König's theorem (see [2, pp. 45]), we can easily verify that  $m \neq m^{\aleph_0}$ .

3. From the results proved above, the following result follows. A Banach algebra of a given cardinal number  $m$  exists if and only if  $m = m^{\aleph_0}$ . If  $B$  is a Banach algebra and  $|B| = m$ , then  $m^{\aleph_0} = m$ . For, every Banach algebra is a Banach space. If  $m = m^{\aleph_0}$ , take any Banach space  $B$  of cardinality  $m$  and make it a Banach algebra by defining product  $x \cdot y = 0$  for every  $x, y$  in  $B$ . Alternatively, take any abelian group  $G$  of

cardinality  $m$  and equip  $G$  with discrete topology. Then  $G$  becomes a locally compact Abelian topological group and let  $\mu$  be Haar measure on  $G$ . Then  $L_1(G, \mu)$  is a Banach algebra of cardinality  $m^{\aleph_0} = m$ .

4. It has been brought to the authors' attention that the main theorem of this paper follows from the results of [3] and also from the Lemma 3.1 of [4]. But this theorem is not explicitly stated and deduced in these papers. Moreover, the proof given here is simple, direct and functional analytic.

The authors are grateful to Dr. M. G. Nadkarni for his valuable help in preparing this paper.

#### REFERENCES

1. N. DUNFORD AND J. T. SCHWARTZ: *Linear operators*, Part I, Interscience Publishers, New York, 1957.
2. E. KAMKE: *Theory of sets*, Dover Publications, New York, 1950.
3. F. K. SCHMIDT: Über die Dichte metrischer Räume, *Math. Ann.*, 106, 1932, p. 457-472.
4. A. H. STONE: Cardinalities of closed sets, *Mathematika*, 6, 1959, pp. 99-107.

Indian Statistical Institute,  
Calcutta 35.