

On game-theoretic methods in the theory of Souslin sets

by

Ashok Maltra (Calcutta)

1. Introduction. In this note, we shall use the methods of Blackwell [1] to prove the Coreduction Principle (stated below) for Souslin sets in certain topological spaces and also establish a result on the constituents (defined below) of a Souslin set.

Let Y be a topological space. A subset A of Y is said to be a *Souslin set* if there exists a system $\{A_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}\}$, indexed by all finite sequences of natural numbers, of closed subsets of Y such that

$$A = \bigcup_{(n_k)} \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} A_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}$$

where the union extends over all sequences of natural numbers.

A subset A of Y is said to be a *bi-Souslin set* if both A and $Y - A$ are Souslin sets.

An alternative way of describing Souslin sets is through sieves. Denote by Q the set of all rationals in the open interval $(0, 1)$, and label the elements of Q as r_1, r_2, \dots (we shall hold fixed throughout the paper this particular labelling of the elements of Q). Any system $\{W_r, r \in Q\}$, indexed by the elements of Q , of subsets of Y will be called a *sieve*. By the set sifted by the sieve $\{W_r, r \in Q\}$ is meant the set of all $y \in Y$ such that there is a sequence $\{r_{n_k}\}$ (possibly depending on y) of elements of Q such that $r_{n_1} > r_{n_2} > \dots$ and $y \in W_{r_{n_k}}$ for all $k \geq 1$. The alternative way of describing Souslin sets is this: A is a Souslin subset of Y if and only if there is a sieve $\{W_r, r \in Q\}$ of closed subsets of Y such that A is the set sifted by $\{W_r, r \in Q\}$ (cf. Theorems 9 and 10 in [5], p. 25).

Let A be a Souslin subset of Y and let $\{W_r, r \in Q\}$ be a sieve such that A is the set sifted by $\{W_r, r \in Q\}$. For each ordinal $\alpha < \omega_1$ (= the first uncountable ordinal), let A_α be the set of all $y \in Y$ such that the set $\{r \in Q: y \in W_r\}$, when equipped with the usual order on the rationals, is of ordinal type α . The sets $\{A_\alpha: \alpha < \omega_1\}$ are called the *constituents* of the Souslin set A relative to the sieve $\{W_r, r \in Q\}$.

The aim of this paper is to prove by game-theoretic methods the following theorems.

THEOREM 1 (COREDUCTION PRINCIPLE). *Let Y be a topological space in which every open set is a Souslin set. If A, B are Souslin sets in Y , then there exist Souslin sets E, F in Y such that $A \subset E, B \subset F, A \cap B = E \cap F$ and $E \cup F = Y$.*

The classical analogue of Theorem 1 (that is, with Y a Polish space and A, B analytic subsets of Y) was established by Kuratowski [3]. Blackwell [1] used game-theoretic methods to prove the classical result. We shall imitate Blackwell's methods to prove Theorem 1.

THEOREM 2. *Let Y be a topological space in which every open set is a Souslin set. Let A be a Souslin set in Y and let $\{W_r, r \in Q\}$ be any sieve of closed subsets of Y such that A is the set sifted by $\{W_r, r \in Q\}$. Then the constituents of A (relative to $\{W_r, r \in Q\}$) are bi-Souslin subsets of Y .*

Theorem 2 was proved by methods quite different from ours by Rogers and Willmott (see corollary to Theorem 12 in [5], p. 30).

In the next section, we build up the machinery needed to prove Theorems 1 and 2.

2. Sieves and games. Let Y be a topological space and let $\{W_r, r \in Q\}$ and $\{Z_r, r \in Q\}$ be two sieves of subsets of Y . Following Blackwell [1], we associate with each $y \in Y$ a two-person game $G(y)$ as follows: Players I and II choose rationals from Q alternately, player I being the first to make a choice, each choice being made with complete information about previous choices of both players. A play $\pi = (r_{m_1}, r_{n_1}, r_{m_2}, r_{n_2}, \dots)$ is a *win* for player I in $G(y)$ if there is a natural number k such that $r_{m_1} > r_{n_1} > \dots > r_{m_2} > y \in W_{r_{m_2}}, i = 1, 2, \dots, k, r_{n_1} > r_{n_2} > \dots > r_{n_{k-1}}, y \in Z_{r_{n_1}}, i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$, and either $r_{n_k} \geq r_{m_{k-1}}$ or $y \notin Z_{r_{n_k}}$. The play π is a *win* for player II in $G(y)$ if there is a $k \geq 1$ such that $r_{m_1} > r_{m_2} > \dots > r_{m_{k-1}}, y \in W_{r_{m_{k-1}}}, i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1, r_{n_1} > r_{n_2} > \dots > r_{n_{k-1}}, y \in Z_{r_{n_1}}, i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$, and either $r_{m_k} \geq r_{n_{k-1}}$ or $y \notin W_{r_{m_k}}$. Finally the play π ends in a *draw* in $G(y)$ if for every $k \geq 1, r_{m_k} > r_{m_{k+1}}, y \in W_{r_{m_k}}, r_{n_k} > r_{n_{k+1}}$ and $y \in Z_{r_{n_k}}$.

Thus, each player at each stage tries to produce a rational $r \in Q$ which is strictly smaller than his previous choices and such that $y \in W_r$ or $y \in Z_r$ according as whether player I plays or player II plays. The first player to fall in this loses in the game $G(y)$. If neither player fails, it is a draw.

Let P_i be the collection of all finite sequences of elements of Q (including the empty sequence, which we denote by ϵ) of even length, let P_e be the collection of all finite sequences of elements of Q of odd length, and let $P = P_1 \cup P_e$. By a *strategy* (in any of the games $G(y)$)

for player I (II) is meant a function from $P_1 (P_2)$ to Q . Denote the set of all strategies for players I and II by Φ and Ψ , respectively; that is, $\Phi = Q^{P_1}$ and $\Psi = Q^{P_2}$. Equip Φ and Ψ with the product of discrete topologies on Q . Since P_1 and P_2 are countably infinite, we note that Φ and Ψ are homeomorphic to N^N , where N is the set of all natural numbers and N^N is equipped with the product of discrete topologies on N .

A strategy φ for player I and a strategy ψ for player II uniquely determine a play $(r_{m_1}, r_{n_1}, r_{m_2}, r_{n_2}, \dots)$ as follows:

$$r_{m_1} = \varphi(e),$$

$$r_{n_k} = \psi(r_{m_1}, r_{n_1}, \dots, r_{m_{k-1}}, r_{n_{k-1}}, r_{m_k}), \quad k \geq 1,$$

and

$$r_{m_{k+1}} = \varphi(r_{m_1}, r_{n_1}, \dots, r_{m_k}, r_{n_k}), \quad k \geq 1.$$

We shall denote the play determined by player I using the strategy φ and player II using the strategy ψ by $\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle$. We say that $\varphi^* \in \Phi$ is a *winning* strategy in $G(y)$ for player I if for every $\psi \in \Psi$, the play $\langle \varphi^*, \psi \rangle$ is a win for player I in $G(y)$. Call a strategy $\varphi^* \in \Phi$ a *drawing* strategy for player I in $G(y)$ if for every $\psi \in \Psi$, the play $\langle \varphi^*, \psi \rangle$ is a win for player I in $G(y)$ or the play $\langle \varphi^*, \psi \rangle$ ends in a draw in $G(y)$. Analogous definitions apply to winning and drawing strategies for player II.

We now prove a lemma which will be used in the sequel.

LEMMA. Let Y be a topological space in which every open set is a Souslin set. Let $\{W_r, r \in Q\}$ and $\{Z_r, r \in Q\}$ be two sieves of closed subsets of Y . Define:

$$E = \{y \in Y : \text{player I has a drawing strategy in } G(y)\}$$

and

$$F = \{y \in Y : \text{player II has a drawing strategy in } G(y)\}.$$

Then E and F are Souslin subsets of Y .

(Here, of course, $G(y)$, $y \in Y$, are the games associated, as above, with the sieves $\{W_r, r \in Q\}$ and $\{Z_r, r \in Q\}$ of the lemma.)

Proof. We shall prove that E is a Souslin set. An analogous proof works for F .

Let $H = \{(y, \varphi) \in Y \times \Phi : \varphi \text{ is a drawing strategy for player I in } G(y)\}$. Observe that E is the projection of H to Y . Thus, if we can prove that H is a Souslin subset of $Y \times \Phi$, it will follow by a result of Rogers and Willmott [4] that E is a Souslin set in Y . In fact, we shall now show that H is bi-Souslin in $Y \times \Phi$.

With each sequence $(r_{m_1}, r_{n_1}, \dots, r_{m_{k-1}}, r_{n_{k-1}}) \in P_1$ (when $k = 1$, the sequence $(r_{m_1}, r_{n_1}, \dots, r_{m_{k-1}}, r_{n_{k-1}})$ is to be interpreted as the empty

sequence), we associate sets $K(r_{m_1}, r_{n_1}, \dots, r_{m_{k-1}}, r_{n_{k-1}})$, $L(r_{m_1}, r_{n_1}, \dots, r_{m_{k-1}}, r_{n_{k-1}})$ and $M(r_{m_1}, r_{n_1}, \dots, r_{m_{k-1}}, r_{n_{k-1}})$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & K(r_{m_1}, r_{n_1}, \dots, r_{m_{k-1}}, r_{n_{k-1}}) \\ &= \left[\bigcap_{i=1}^{k-1} W_{r_{m_i}} \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^{k-1} Z_{r_{n_i}} \right] \times \left[\bigcap_{i=1}^{k-1} \{ \varphi \in \Phi : \varphi(r_{m_1}, r_{n_1}, \dots, r_{m_{i-1}}, r_{n_{i-1}}) = r_{m_i} \} \right] \text{ if } k > 1 \\ &= Y \times \Phi \quad \text{if } k = 1. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & L(r_{m_1}, r_{n_1}, \dots, r_{m_{k-1}}, r_{n_{k-1}}) \\ &= \bigcup_{r \in Q} [W_r^c \times \{ \varphi \in \Phi : \varphi(r_{m_1}, r_{n_1}, \dots, r_{m_{k-1}}, r_{n_{k-1}}) = r \}], \quad k \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & M(r_{m_1}, r_{n_1}, \dots, r_{m_{k-1}}, r_{n_{k-1}}) \\ &= \bigcup_{r \in Q(r_{m_1}, r_{n_1}, \dots, r_{m_{k-1}}, r_{n_{k-1}})} [Y \times \{ \varphi \in \Phi : \varphi(r_{m_1}, r_{n_1}, \dots, r_{m_{k-1}}, r_{n_{k-1}}) = r \}] \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Q(r_{m_1}, r_{n_1}, \dots, r_{m_{k-1}}, r_{n_{k-1}}) &= \{ r \in Q : r \geq r_{m_{k-1}} \} \quad \text{if } k > 1 \\ &= \emptyset \quad \text{if } k = 1. \end{aligned}$$

(union over the empty set is to be interpreted as the empty set). It is easy to see that the sets

$$\begin{aligned} & K(r_{m_1}, r_{n_1}, \dots, r_{m_{k-1}}, r_{n_{k-1}}), \\ & L(r_{m_1}, r_{n_1}, \dots, r_{m_{k-1}}, r_{n_{k-1}}), \\ & M(r_{m_1}, r_{n_1}, \dots, r_{m_{k-1}}, r_{n_{k-1}}) \end{aligned}$$

are all bi-Souslin in $Y \times \Phi$. Finally, note that

$$H^c = \bigcup_{s \in \bar{P}_1} [K(s) \cap [L(s) \cup M(s)]]$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{P}_1 &= \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \{ \{ (r_{m_1}, r_{n_1}, \dots, r_{m_k}, r_{n_k}) \in P_1 : r_{m_i} > r_{m_{i+1}}, r_{n_i} > r_{n_{i+1}}, \\ & \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1 \} \} \cup \{ e \}. \end{aligned}$$

Since \bar{P}_1 is countable, it follows that H^c is bi-Souslin in $Y \times \Phi$, and so H is bi-Souslin in $Y \times \Phi$. This completes the proof of the lemma.

3. Proof of theorems.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $\{W_r, r \in Q\}$ and $\{Z_r, r \in Q\}$ be sieves of closed subsets of Y such that A, B are, respectively, the sets sifted by $\{W_r, r \in Q\}$ and $\{Z_r, r \in Q\}$. Let $\{A_\alpha, \alpha < \omega_\alpha\}$ and $\{B_\beta, \beta < \omega_\beta\}$ be the constituents of A, B with respect to the sieves $\{W_r, r \in Q\}$ and $\{Z_r, r \in Q\}$, respectively. For each $y \in Y$, let $\mathcal{G}(y)$ be the game associated with the

sieves $\{W_r, r \in Q\}$ and $\{Z_r, r \in Q\}$ as in Section 2. Let E and F be the sets defined in the lemma of Section 2. We shall prove that the sets E, F have the required properties.

First, by the lemma of Section 2, E and F are Souslin subsets of Y . Next, we note that

$$(1) \quad E = A \cup \left[\bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} (A_\alpha \cap \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} B_\beta) \right]$$

and

$$(2) \quad F = B \cup \left[\bigcup_{\beta < \omega_1} (B_\beta \cap \bigcup_{\alpha < \beta} A_\alpha) \right].$$

To see this, let $y \in A$. Then there exists a sequence $\{r_m\}$ of elements of Q such that for every $k \geq 1$, $r_{m_k} > r_{m_{k-1}}$ and $y \in W_{r_{m_k}}$. Now consider a strategy φ^* for player I defined by:

$$\varphi^*(r_{i_1}, r_{i'_1}, \dots, r_{i_{k-1}}, r_{i'_{k-1}}) = r_{m_k}.$$

It is easy to see that φ^* is a drawing strategy for player I in the game $G(y)$, so $y \in E$. Next suppose that $y \in A_\alpha \cap B_\beta$, where $\beta < \alpha < \omega_1$. Set $H_1 = \{r \in Q: y \in W_r\}$ and $H_2 = \{r \in Q: y \in Z_r\}$. Then H_1 and H_2 are of ordinal types α and β , respectively. Since $\beta < \alpha$, there is a similarity mapping (that is, a one-to-one and order-preserving mapping) g which takes H_2 onto a proper segment of H_1 . Choose an element $r^* \in H_1 - g(H_2)$ and define a strategy $\varphi^{*'}$ for player I (in the game $G(y)$) as follows:

$$\varphi^{*'}(e) = r^*$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^{*'}(r_{i_1}, r_{i'_1}, \dots, r_{i_k}, r_{i'_k}) &= g(r_{i'_k}) & \text{if } r_{i'_k} \in H_2 \\ &= r^* & \text{if } r_{i'_k} \in Q - H_2, \end{aligned}$$

where r^* is a fixed but arbitrary element of Q . It is not difficult to see that $\varphi^{*'}$ is a winning strategy for player I in the game $G(y)$, so $y \in E$. Thus $E \supset A \cup \left[\bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} (A_\alpha \cap \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} B_\beta) \right]$. Conversely, suppose

$$y \notin A \cup \left[\bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} (A_\alpha \cap \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} B_\beta) \right].$$

We distinguish two cases.

Case 1. $y \in B$. As $y \notin A$, it follows that $y \in A_\alpha$ for some $\alpha < \omega_1$. As H_1 is well-ordered while H_2 is not, it is clear that player II has a winning strategy in $G(y)$. Indeed, the set H_2 contains a strictly decreasing sequence $\{r_n\}$ so that the strategy φ^* for player II defined by

$$\varphi^*(r_{i_1}, r_{i'_1}, \dots, r_{i_{k-1}}, r_{i'_{k-1}}, r_{i_k}) = r_n$$

wins for player II in the game $G(y)$. Hence $y \notin E$.

Case 2. $y \notin B$. It now follows that $y \in A_\alpha \cap B_\beta$ where $\alpha \leq \beta < \omega_1$. Hence there is a similarity mapping g' from H_1 onto a segment of H_β .

Define a strategy $\psi^{*'}$ for player II as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^{*'}(r_{1_1}, r_{1'_1}, \dots, r_{1_{k-1}}, r_{1'_{k-1}}, r_{1_k}) &= g'(r_{1_k}) & \text{if } r_{1_k} \in H_1, \\ &= r' & \text{if } r_{1_k} \in Q - H_1, \end{aligned}$$

where r' is a fixed but arbitrary element of Q . It is clear that $\psi^{*'}$ is a winning strategy for player II in the game $G(y)$, so that $y \notin E$. We have thus proved that

$$E \subset A \cup \left[\bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} (A_\alpha \cap \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} B_\beta) \right],$$

from which equation (1) follows. Equation (2) follows analogously.

It is now straightforward to derive from equations (1)–(2) that $A \subset E$, $B \subset F$, $A \cap B = E \cap F$ and $E \cup F = Y$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 2. Fix an ordinal $\alpha_0 < \omega_1$ and choose a subset T of Q so that T is of ordinal type α_0 . Define $Z_r = Y$ if $r \in T$ and $Z_r = \emptyset$ if $r \notin T$. If B is the set sifted by $\{Z_r, r \in Q\}$, then plainly $B = \emptyset$. Moreover, $B_\beta = \emptyset$ if $\beta \neq \alpha_0$ and $\beta < \omega_1$ and $B_{\alpha_0} = Y$ if $\beta = \alpha_0$, where $\{B_\beta, \beta < \omega_1\}$ are the constituents of B relative to the sieve $\{Z_r, r \in Q\}$. Let $\{A_\alpha, \alpha < \omega_1\}$ be the constituents of A relative to the sieve $\{W_r, r \in Q\}$. For each $y \in Y$, let $G(y)$ be the game associated with the sieves $\{W_r, r \in Q\}$ and $\{Z_r, r \in Q\}$ as in Section 2. Let E, F be the sets defined in the lemma of Section 2.

By the lemma of Section 2, E and F are Souslin subsets of Y . Moreover, the proof of Theorem 1 shows that

$$E = A \cup \bigcup_{\alpha > \alpha_0} A_\alpha$$

and

$$F = \bigcup_{\alpha < \alpha_0} A_\alpha.$$

It follows that $\bigcup_{\alpha < \alpha_0} A_\alpha$ is a bi-Souslin subset of Y , since $E \cup F = Y$ and $E \cap F = \emptyset$. As α_0 was arbitrary, we have proved that for every ordinal $\delta < \omega_1$, $\bigcup_{\beta < \delta} A_\beta$ is bi-Souslin. Consequently,

$$A_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} A_\beta - \bigcup_{\delta < \alpha} \left(\bigcup_{\beta < \delta} A_\beta \right)$$

is a bi-Souslin subset of Y . This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

Remark 1. Theorem 2 can be proved by means of classical methods as follows. Let D be the Cantor set, which we shall think of as a countable product of copies of the two-element set $\{0, 1\}$. Define a sieve $\{P_r, r \in Q\}$ of closed subsets of D as follows: $P_{r_n} = \{t \in D : t_n = 1\}$ where t_n denotes the n th coordinate of t . Let G be the set sifted by $\{P_r, r \in Q\}$

and let $\{G_\alpha, \alpha < \omega_1\}$ be the constituents of G relative to $\{P, r \in Q\}$. Then it is known that the sets G_α are Borel subsets of D (see [2], p. 272). Now consider the characteristic function (in the sense of Marczewski)

of the sieve $\{W_r, r \in Q\}$, that is, $f(y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{3^n} I_{W_{r_n}}(y)$, $y \in X$, where $I_{W_{r_n}}$ is

the indicator of the set W_{r_n} . It is easy to verify that the function f is measurable between the spaces (Y, S) and (D, B) , where S is the σ -algebra of bi-Souslin subsets of Y and B the σ -algebra of Borel subsets of D . Moreover, for each $\alpha < \omega_1$, $A_\alpha = f^{-1}(G_\alpha)$ (cf. [2], p. 408). Consequently each A_α is bi-Souslin in Y .

Remark 2. It is true that Theorem 1 can also be obtained by imitating Kuratowski's method in [3]. But this involves suitably modifying the sieves $\{W_r, r \in Q\}$ and $\{Z_r, r \in Q\}$ with which we started and then the sets B and P are no longer as naturally related to the original sieves as in our proof.

Acknowledgments. I am indebted to Professor C. Byll-Nardzewski for several extremely valuable suggestions. Indeed his help borders on collaboration. Thanks are also due to B. V. Rao and K.P.S.B. Rao for many interesting discussions on this and related topics.

This article was written while I was visiting the Institute of Information Theory and Automation, Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, Prague and the Mathematics Institute of Wrocław University, Wrocław. I should like to record my gratitude to the authorities of the two Institutes for the facilities made available to me during my visit.

References

- [1] D. Blackwell, *Infinite games and analytic sets*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 58 (1967), pp. 1836-1837.
- [2] C. Kuratowski, *Topologie*, Vol. 1, 3-tome 6d., Warszawa 1952.
- [3] — *Sur les théorèmes de séparation dans la théorie des ensembles*, Fund. Math. 26 (1936), pp. 183-191.
- [4] C. A. Rogers and R. C. Willmott, *On the projection of Souslin sets*, Mathematika 13 (1966), pp. 147-160.
- [5] — *On the uniformization of sets in topological spaces*, Acta Math. 120 (1968), pp. 1-52.

INDIAN STATISTICAL INSTITUTE
Calcutta